BlueCross BlueShield Federal Employee Program.

# FEP Medical Policy Manual

## FEP 2.04.61 Gene Expression Profile Testing and Circulating Tumor DNA Testing for Predicting Recurrence in Colon Cancer

### Effective Policy Date: January 1, 2023

### Original Policy Date: December 2011

### Related Policies:

2.04.53 - Somatic Biomarker Testing (Including Liquid Biopsy) for Targeted Treatment and Immunotherapy in Metastatic Colorectal Cancer (KRAS, NRAS, BRAF, MMR/MSI, HER2, and TMB)

## Gene Expression Profile Testing and Circulating Tumor DNA Testing for Predicting Recurrence in Colon Cancer

### Description

### Description

Gene expression profile (GEP) and circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) tests have been developed for use as prognostic markers of stage II or III colon cancer to help identify patients who are at high-risk for recurrent disease and could be candidates for adjuvant chemotherapy.

## OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to determine whether gene expression profile testing or circulating tumor DNA testing improves the net health outcome in individuals with stage II or III colon cancer who are being considered for adjuvant chemotherapy.

# POLICY STATEMENT

Gene expression assays for determining the prognosis of stage II or III colon cancer following surgery are considered **investigational**.

Circulating tumor DNA assays for determining the prognosis of stage II or III colon cancer following surgery are considered **investigational**.

# POLICY GUIDELINES

## Genetics Nomenclature Update

The Human Genome Variation Society nomenclature is used to report information on variants found in DNA and serves as an international standard in DNA diagnostics. It is being implemented for genetic testing medical evidence review updates starting in 2017 (see Table PG1). The Society's nomenclature is recommended by the Human Variome Project, the Human Genome Organization, and by the Human Genome Variation Society itself.

The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology standards and guidelines for interpretation of sequence variants represent expert opinion from both organizations, in addition to the College of American Pathologists. These recommendations primarily apply to genetic tests used in clinical laboratories, including genotyping, single genes, panels, exomes, and genomes. Table PG2 shows the recommended standard terminology-"pathogenic," "likely pathogenic," "uncertain significance," "likely benign," and "benign"- to describe variants identified that cause Mendelian disorders.

### Table PG1. Nomenclature to Report on Variants Found in DNA

| Previous | Updated | Definition |
|---|---|---|
| Mutation | Disease-associated variant | Disease-associated change in the DNA sequence |
| | Variant | Change in the DNA sequence |
| | Familial variant | Disease-associated variant identified in a proband for use in subsequent targeted genetic testing in first-degree relatives |

### Table PG2. ACMG-AMP Standards and Guidelines for Variant Classification

| Variant Classification | Definition |
|---|---|
| Pathogenic | Disease-causing change in the DNA sequence |
| Likely pathogenic | Likely disease-causing change in the DNA sequence |
| Variant of uncertain significance | Change in DNA sequence with uncertain effects on disease |
| Likely benign | Likely benign change in the DNA sequence |
| Benign | Benign change in the DNA sequence |

ACMG: American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics; AMP: Association for Molecular Pathology.

## Genetic Counseling

Genetic counseling is primarily aimed at patients who are at risk for inherited disorders, and experts recommend formal genetic counseling in most cases when genetic testing for an inherited condition is considered. The interpretation of the results of genetic tests and the understanding of risk factors can be very difficult and complex. Therefore, genetic counseling will assist individuals in understanding the possible benefits and harms of genetic testing, including the possible impact of the information on the individual's family. Genetic counseling may alter the utilization of genetic testing substantially and may reduce inappropriate testing. Genetic counseling should be performed by an individual with experience and expertise in genetic medicine and genetic testing methods.

# BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

Screening (other than the preventive services listed in the brochure) is not covered. Please see Section 6 General exclusions.

Benefits are available for specialized diagnostic genetic testing when it is medically necessary to diagnose and/or manage a patient"s existing medical condition. Benefits are not provided for genetic panels when some or all of the tests included in the panel are not covered, are experimental or investigational, or are not medically necessary.

# FDA REGULATORY STATUS

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA). Multigene expression assay testing and ctDNA testing for predicting recurrent colon cancer is available under the auspices of CLIA. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the CLIA for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

Gene expression profile and ctDNA tests for colon cancer currently commercially available include:

- GeneFx Colon (Helomics Therapeutics; also known as ColDx, Almac Diagnostics)
- Oncotype DX Colon Recurrence Score (Genomic Health)
- Colvera ctDNA test (Clinical Genomics)

# RATIONALE

## Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have stage II or III colon cancer who receive gene expression profile (GEP) testing, the evidence includes development and validation studies and decision-impact studies. Relevant outcomes are disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, and change in disease status. The available evidence has shown that GEP testing for colon cancer can improve risk prediction, particularly the risk of recurrence in patients with stage II or III colon cancer. However, the degree of difference in risk conferred by the test is small. Evidence to date does not permit conclusions on whether GEP classification is sufficient to modify treatment decisions in stage II or III patients. Studies showing management changes as a consequence of testing have not demonstrated whether such changes improve outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have stage II or III colon cancer who receive circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) testing, the evidence includes cohort studies. Relevant outcomes are disease-specific survival, test accuracy and validity, and change in disease status. Several cohort studies have reported an association between positive ctDNA results and risk of recurrence of colon cancer. However, while these studies showed an association between ctDNA results and risk of recurrence, they are limited by their observational design and relatively small numbers of patients. Management decisions were not based on ctDNA test results. There are no controlled studies of management changes made in response to ctDNA test results compared to other risk factors, and no studies showing whether testing improved outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

## Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

### National Comprehensive Cancer Network

Current clinical practice guidelines from the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (v. 2.2022 ) on colon cancer state that "there are insufficient data to recommend the use of multigene assays...or post-surgical ctDNA to estimate risk of recurrence or determine adjuvant therapy" in patients with stage II or III colon cancer.[4,]

## U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

## Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

# REFERENCES


1. National Cancer Institute, Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results Program. Cancer Stat Facts: Colorectal Cancer. n.d.; https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/colorect.html. Accessed June 22, 2022.
2. Musselwhite LW, May FP, Salem ME, et al. Colorectal Cancer: In the Pursuit of Health Equity. Am Soc Clin Oncol Educ Book. Mar 2021; 41: 108-117. PMID 34010044
3. Figueredo A, Coombes ME, Mukherjee S. Adjuvant therapy for completely resected stage II colon cancer. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Jul 16 2008; (3): CD005390. PMID 18646127
4. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). Clinical practice guidelines in oncology: colon cancer. Version 2.2022. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/colon.pdf. Accessed November 8, 2022.
5. Vilar E, Gruber SB. Microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer-the stable evidence. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. Mar 2010; 7(3): 153-62. PMID 20142816
6. Makhoul R, Alva S, Wilkins KB. Surveillance and Survivorship after Treatment for Colon Cancer. Clin Colon Rectal Surg. Dec 2015; 28(4): 262-70. PMID 26648797
7. Kennedy RD, Bylesjo M, Kerr P, et al. Development and independent validation of a prognostic assay for stage II colon cancer using formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue. J Clin Oncol. Dec 10 2011; 29(35): 4620-6. PMID 22067406
8. Niedzwiecki D, Frankel WL, Venook AP, et al. Association Between Results of a Gene Expression Signature Assay and Recurrence-Free Interval in Patients With Stage II Colon Cancer in Cancer and Leukemia Group B 9581 (Alliance). J Clin Oncol. Sep 01 2016; 34(25): 3047-53. PMID 27432924
9. O'Connell MJ, Lavery I, Yothers G, et al. Relationship between tumor gene expression and recurrence in four independent studies of patients with stage II/III colon cancer treated with surgery alone or surgery plus adjuvant fluorouracil plus leucovorin. J Clin Oncol. Sep 01 2010; 28(25): 3937-44. PMID 20679606
10. Gray RG, Quirke P, Handley K, et al. Validation study of a quantitative multigene reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction assay for assessment of recurrence risk in patients with stage II colon cancer. J Clin Oncol. Dec 10 2011; 29(35): 4611-9. PMID 22067390
11. Venook AP, Niedzwiecki D, Lopatin M, et al. Biologic determinants of tumor recurrence in stage II colon cancer: validation study of the 12-gene recurrence score in cancer and leukemia group B (CALGB) 9581. J Clin Oncol. May 10 2013; 31(14): 1775-81. PMID 23530100
12. Yothers G, O'Connell MJ, Lee M, et al. Validation of the 12-gene colon cancer recurrence score in NSABP C-07 as a predictor of recurrence in patients with stage II and III colon cancer treated with fluorouracil and leucovorin (FU/LV) and FU/LV plus oxaliplatin. J Clin Oncol. Dec 20 2013; 31(36): 4512-9. PMID 24220557
13. Reimers MS, Kuppen PJ, Lee M, et al. Validation of the 12-gene colon cancer recurrence score as a predictor of recurrence risk in stage II and III rectal cancer patients. J Natl Cancer Inst. Nov 2014; 106(11). PMID 25261968

14. Yamanaka T, Oki E, Yamazaki K, et al. 12-Gene Recurrence Score Assay Stratifies the Recurrence Risk in Stage II/III Colon Cancer With Surgery Alone: The SUNRISE Study. J Clin Oncol. Aug 20 2016; 34(24): 2906-13. PMID 27325854
15. Kapiteijn E, Marijnen CA, Nagtegaal ID, et al. Preoperative radiotherapy combined with total mesorectal excision for resectable rectal cancer. N Engl J Med. Aug 30 2001; 345(9): 638-46. PMID 11547717
16. Black ER, Falzon L, Aronson N. Gene Expression Profiling for Predicting Outcomes in Stage II Colon Cancer (Technical Brief. No. 13). Rockville, MD: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2012.
17. Washington State Health Care Authority. Gene Expression Profile Testing of Cancer Tissue: Final Evidence Report. 2018. https://www.hca.wa.gov/assets/program/gene-expression-final-rpt-20180220_0.pdf. Accessed June 22, 2022.
18. Oki E, Watanabe J, Sato T, et al. Impact of the 12-gene recurrence score assay on deciding adjuvant chemotherapy for stage II and IIIA/B colon cancer: the SUNRISE-DI study. ESMO Open. Jun 2021; 6(3): 100146. PMID 33984677
19. Brenner B, Geva R, Rothney M, et al. Impact of the 12-Gene Colon Cancer Assay on Clinical Decision Making for Adjuvant Therapy in Stage II Colon Cancer Patients. Value Health. Jan 2016; 19(1): 82-7. PMID 26797240
20. Cartwright T, Chao C, Lee M, et al. Effect of the 12-gene colon cancer assay results on adjuvant treatment recommendations in patients with stage II colon cancer. Curr Med Res Opin. Feb 2014; 30(2): 321-8. PMID 24127781
21. Srivastava G, Renfro LA, Behrens RJ, et al. Prospective multicenter study of the impact of oncotype DX colon cancer assay results on treatment recommendations in stage II colon cancer patients. Oncologist. May 2014; 19(5): 492-7. PMID 24710310
22. Young GP, Pedersen SK, Mansfield S, et al. A cross-sectional study comparing a blood test for methylated BCAT1 and IKZF1 tumor-derived DNA with CEA for detection of recurrent colorectal cancer. Cancer Med. Oct 2016; 5(10): 2763-2772. PMID 27726312
23. Murray DH, Symonds EL, Young GP, et al. Relationship between post-surgery detection of methylated circulating tumor DNA with risk of residual disease and recurrence-free survival. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol. Sep 2018; 144(9): 1741-1750. PMID 29992492
24. Symonds EL, Pedersen SK, Murray D, et al. Circulating epigenetic biomarkers for detection of recurrent colorectal cancer. Cancer. Apr 01 2020; 126(7): 1460-1469. PMID 31909823
25. Musher BL, Melson JE, Amato G, et al. Evaluation of Circulating Tumor DNA for Methylated BCAT1 and IKZF1 to Detect Recurrence of Stage II/Stage III Colorectal Cancer (CRC). Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. Dec 2020; 29(12): 2702-2709. PMID 32958500

## POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

| Date | Action | Description |
|---|---|---|
| December 2011 | New policy | |
| December 2012 | Replace policy | Policy and references updated, rationale rewritten, no change to policy statement. |
| December 2013 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review; references 3, 17-19, and 22 added, reference 2 corrected. No change to policy statement. |
| December 2014 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review; references 18-19, 24-26, and 28added; references 2, 21, and 27 updated. No changes to policy statement. |
| December 2015 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review through June 30, 2015; references3, 23, and 28-31 added. Stage 3 colon cancer added to investigational policy statement. |
| December 2016 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review; reference 31 added. Policy statement unchanged. |
| December 2017 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review through June 22, 2017; references20, 23, and 31 added; reference 2 updated. Policy statement unchanged. |
| December 2018 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review through June 7, 2018; reference 1added; reference 3 updated. Policy statements unchanged. |
| December 2019 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review through June 13, 2019; references added. Policy statement unchanged. |
| December 2020 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review through July 8, 2020; references added. Added new intervention and policy statement: Circulating tumor DNA testing is considered investigational. Policy title changed to "Gene Expression Profile Testing and Circulating Tumor DNA Testing for Predicting Recurrence in Colon Cancer." |
| December 2021 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review through June 14, 2021; references added and updated. Policy statement unchanged. |
| December 2022 | Replace policy | Policy updated with literature review through June 22, 2022; references added and updated. Language regarding Signatera deleted as this has been added to policy 2.04.153. Policy statements unchanged. |