

FEP Medical Policy Manual

FEP 6.01.25 Percutaneous Vertebroplasty and Sacroplasty

Effective Policy Date: July 1, 2021

Original Policy Date: December 2011

Related Policies:

6.01.38 - Percutaneous Balloon Kyphoplasty, Radiofrequency Kyphoplasty, and Mechanical Vertebral Augmentation

Percutaneous Vertebroplasty and Sacroplasty

Description

Description

Percutaneous vertebroplasty is an interventional technique involving the fluoroscopically guided injection of polymethyl methacrylate into a weakened vertebral body. The technique has been investigated to provide mechanical support and symptomatic relief in patients with osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures or those with osteolytic lesions of the spine (eg, multiple myeloma, metastatic malignancies); as a treatment for sacral insufficiency fractures; and as a technique to limit blood loss related to surgery.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to evaluate whether vertebroplasty or sacroplasty improves the net health outcome in individuals with osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures or sacral insufficiency fractures.

POLICY STATEMENT

Percutaneous vertebroplasty may be considered **medically necessary** for the treatment of symptomatic osteoporotic vertebral fractures that have failed to respond to conservative treatment (eg, analgesics, physical therapy, rest) for at least 6 weeks.

Percutaneous vertebroplasty may be considered **medically necessary** for the treatment of symptomatic osteoporotic vertebral fractures that are less than 6 weeks in duration that have led to hospitalization or persist at a level that prevents ambulation.

Percutaneous vertebroplasty may be considered **medically necessary** for the treatment of severe pain due to osteolytic lesions of the spine related to multiple myeloma or metastatic malignancies.

Percutaneous vertebroplasty is considered **investigational** for all other indications, including use in acute vertebral fractures due to osteoporosis or trauma.

Percutaneous sacroplasty is considered **investigational** for all indications, including use in sacral insufficiency fractures due to osteoporosis and sacral lesions due to multiple myeloma or metastatic malignancies.

POLICY GUIDELINES

None

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

Percutaneous vertebroplasty and sacroplasty may be performed by interventional radiologists or orthopedic surgeons.

Percutaneous vertebroplasty and sacroplasty is a specialized procedure, and thus some patients may seek out of network referral.

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

Vertebroplasty is a surgical procedure and, as such, is not subject to U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval.

Polymethylmethacrylate bone cement was available as a drug product before enactment of the FDA's device regulation and was at first considered what the FDA terms a "transitional device." It was transitioned to a class III device requiring premarketing applications. Several orthopedic companies have received approval of their bone cement products since 1976. In 1999, polymethylmethacrylate was reclassified from class III to class II, which requires future 510(k) submissions to meet "special controls" instead of "general controls" to assure safety and effectiveness. Thus, use of polymethylmethacrylate in vertebroplasty represented an off-label use of an FDA-regulated product before 2005. In 2005, polymethylmethacrylate bone cements such as Spine-Fix Biomimetic Bone Cement and Osteopal V were cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process for the fixation of pathologic fractures of the vertebral body using vertebroplasty procedures.

The use of polymethylmethacrylate in sacroplasty is an off-label use of an FDA-regulated product (bone cements such as Spine-Fix Biomimetic Bone Cement [Teknimed] and Osteopal V [Heraeus]) because the 510(k) approval was for the fixation of pathologic fractures of the vertebral body using vertebroplasty procedures. Sacroplasty was not included. FDA product code: NDN.

In 2009, Cortoss (Stryker) Bone Augmentation Material was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process. Cortoss is a nonresorbable synthetic material that is a composite resin-based, bis-glycidyl dimethacrylate. The FDA classifies this product as a polymethylmethacrylate bone cement.

In 2010, the Parallax Contour Vertebral Augmentation Device (ArthroCare) was cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k) process. The device creates a void in cancellous bone that can then be filled with bone cement. FDA product code: HXG.

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have symptomatic osteoporotic vertebral fractures between 6 weeks and 1 year old who receive vertebroplasty, the evidence includes 2 randomized sham-controlled trials, nonblinded randomized controlled trials (RCTs) comparing vertebroplasty with conservative management, and several meta-analyses. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, hospitalizations, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. Despite the completion of multiple RCTs, including 2 with sham controls, the efficacy of vertebroplasty for painful osteoporotic compression fractures remains uncertain. Two meta-analysis studies, which included the 2 sham-controlled trials have demonstrated mixed results. The 2 studies had methodologic issues, including the choice of sham procedure and the potential of the sham procedure to have a therapeutic effect by reducing pain. Questions have also been raised about the low percentage of patients screened who participated in the trial, the volume of polymethylmethacrylate injected, and the inclusion of patients with chronic pain. Other meta-analyses had numerous limitations due to the heterogeneity of included studies or not specifying the timeframe for osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures. Overall, conclusions about the effect of vertebroplasty remain unclear. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with symptomatic osteoporotic vertebral fractures less than 6 weeks old who receive vertebroplasty, the evidence includes a randomized sham-controlled trial and nonblinded RCTs comparing vertebroplasty with conservative management. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, hospitalizations, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. For acute fractures, conservative therapy consisting of rest, analgesics, and physical therapy is an option, and symptoms will resolve in a large percentage of patients with conservative treatment only. However, a sham-controlled randomized trial in patients who had severe pain of fewer than 6 weeks in duration found a significant benefit of vertebroplasty for the treatment of osteoporotic vertebral fracture at the thoracolumbar junction. Other RCTs without sham controls have reported that vertebroplasty is associated with significant improvements in pain and reductions in the duration of bed rest. Given the high morbidity associated with extended bed rest in older adults, this procedure is considered to have a significant health benefit. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with sacral insufficiency fractures who receive sacroplasty, the evidence includes 2 prospective cohort studies and a case series. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, hospitalizations, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. No RCTs have been reported. The available evidence includes a prospective cohort study and a retrospective series of 243 patients. These studies have reported rapid and sustained decreases in pain following percutaneous sacroplasty. Additional literature has mostly reported immediate improvements following the procedure. However, due to the small size of the evidence base, the harms associated with sacroplasty have not been adequately studied. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in "Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

American College of Radiology

In 2020, the American College of Radiology (ACR) revised its Appropriateness Criteria for the use of percutaneous vertebral augmentation in the management of vertebral compression fractures. $\frac{46}{100}$ Table 1 shows the appropriateness categories for each variant.

Table 1. ACR Appropriateness Criteria for the Use of Percutaneous Vertebral Augmentation for the Management of Vertebral Compression Fractures

]
Variants	Appropriateness Category
"New symptomatic compression fracture identified on radiographs or CT. No known malignancy."	May Be Appropriate
"Osteoporotic compression fracture, with or without edema on MRI and no <91>red flags.' With or without spinal deformity, worsening symptoms, or pulmonary dysfunction."	
"Asymptomatic pathologic spinal fracture with or without edema on MRI."	
"Pathologic spinal fracture with severe and worsening pain."	Usually Appropriate
"Pathologic spinal fracture with spinal deformity or pulmonary dysfunction."	Usually Appropriate

ACR: American College of Radiology; CT: computed tomography; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging.

In 2014, the ACR and 7 other medical specialty associations, including the Society for Interventional Radiology, updated a 2012 joint position statement on percutaneous vertebral augmentation. The statement indicated that "percutaneous vertebral augmentation with the use of vertebroplasty or kyphoplasty is a safe, efficacious, and durable procedure in appropriate patients with symptomatic osteoporotic and neoplastic fractures, when performed in accordance with published standards...only when nonoperative medical therapy has not provided adequate pain relief or pain is significantly altering the patient's quality of life. "

Society of Interventional Radiology

In a 2014 quality improvement guideline for percutaneous vertebroplasty from the Society of Interventional Radiology, failure of medical therapy was defined as follows 47.:

- 1. "For a patient rendered nonambulatory as a result of pain from a weakened or fractured vertebral body, pain persisting at a level that prevents ambulation despite 24 hours of analgesic therapy;
- 2. For a patient with sufficient pain from a weakened or fractured vertebral body that physical therapy is intolerable, pain persisting at that level despite 24 hours of analgesic therapy; or
- 3. For any patient with a weakened or fractured vertebral body, unacceptable side effects such as excessive sedation, confusion, or constipation as a result of the analgesic therapy necessary to reduce pain to a tolerable level."

American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons

In 2011, the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) published practice guidelines on the treatment of osteoporotic spinal compression fractures. 48. The AAOS approved "a Strong recommendation against the use of vertebroplasty for patients who present with an acute osteoporotic spinal compression fracture and are neurologically intact."

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

In 2003, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) concluded in its guidance on percutaneous vertebroplasty that the current evidence on the safety and efficacy of vertebroplasty for vertebral compression fractures appeared "adequate to support the use of this procedure" to "provide pain relief for people with severe painful osteoporosis with loss of height and/or compression fractures of the vertebral body...."

The guidance also recommended that the procedure be limited to patients whose pain is refractory to more conservative treatment. A 2013 NICE guidance indicated that percutaneous vertebroplasty and percutaneous balloon kyphoplasty "are recommended as options for treating osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures" in persons having "severe, ongoing pain after a recent, unhealed vertebral fracture despite optimal pain management" and whose "pain has been confirmed to be at the level of the fracture by physical examination and imaging."

50.

In 2008, NICE issued guidance on the diagnosis and management of adults with metastatic spinal cord compression. ⁵¹. This guidance indicated that vertebroplasty or kyphoplasty should be considered for "patients who have vertebral metastases and no evidence of metastatic spinal cord compression or spinal instability if they have: mechanical pain resistant to conventional pain management, or vertebral body collapse."

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

REFERENCES

- 1. Gotis-Graham I, McGuigan L, Diamond T, et al. Sacral insufficiency fractures in the elderly. J Bone Joint Surg Br. Nov 1994; 76(6): 882-6. PMID 7983111
- 2. Lin J, Lachmann E, Nagler W. Sacral insufficiency fractures: a report of two cases and a review of the literature. J Womens Health Gend Based Med. Sep 2001; 10(7): 699-705. PMID 11571100
- 3. Bae H, Hatten HP, Linovitz R, et al. A prospective randomized FDA-IDE trial comparing Cortoss with PMMA for vertebroplasty: a comparative effectiveness research study with 24-month follow-up. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). Apr 01 2012; 37(7): 544-50. PMID 21738093
 4. Dehdashti AR, Martin JB, Jean B, et al. PMMA cementoplasty in symptomatic metastatic lesions of the S1 vertebral body. Cardiovasc Intervent
- Radiol. May-Jun 2000; 23(3): 235-7. PMID 10821903

 5. Marcy PY Palussiere J. Descamps B. et al. Percutaneous cementoplasty for pelvic bone metastasis. Support Care Cancer. Nov 2000; 8(6):
- 5. Marcy PY, Palussiere J, Descamps B, et al. Percutaneous cementoplasty for pelvic bone metastasis. Support Care Cancer. Nov 2000; 8(6): 500-3. PMID 11094996
- 6. Aretxabala I, Fraiz E, Perez-Ruiz F, et al. Sacral insufficiency fractures. High association with pubic rami fractures. Clin Rheumatol. 2000; 19(5): 399-401. PMID 11055834
- 7. Leroux JL, Denat B, Thomas E, et al. Sacral insufficiency fractures presenting as acute low-back pain. Biomechanical aspects. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). Dec 1993; 18(16): 2502-6. PMID 8303454
- 8. Newhouse KE, el-Khoury GY, Buckwalter JA. Occult sacral fractures in osteopenic patients. J Bone Joint Surg Am. Dec 1992; 74(10): 1472-7. PMID 1364816
- 9. Stratford PW, Binkley J, Solomon P, et al. Defining the minimum level of detectable change for the Roland-Morris questionnaire. Phys Ther. Apr 1996; 76(4): 359-65; discussion 366-8. PMID 8606899
- 10. Katz J, Melzack R. Measurement of pain. Surg Clin North Am. Apr 1999; 79(2): 231-52. PMID 10352653
- 11. Barr JD, Jensen ME, Hirsch JA, et al. Position statement on percutaneous vertebral augmentation: a consensus statement developed by the Society of Interventional Radiology (SIR), American Association of Neurological Surgeons (AANS) and the Congress of Neurological Surgeons (CNS), American College of Radiology (ACR), American Society of Neuroradiology (ASNR), American Society of Spine Radiology (ASSR), Canadian Interventional Radiology Association (CIRA), and the Society of NeuroInterventional Surgery (SNIS). J Vasc Interv Radiol. Feb 2014; 25(2): 171-81. PMID 24325929
- 12. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Percutaneous vertebroplasty for vertebral fractures caused by osteoporosis or malignancy. TEC Assessments. 2005; Volume 20:Tab 6.
- 13. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Percutaneous vertebroplasty for vertebral fractures caused by osteoporosis, malignancy, or hemangioma. TEC Assessments. 2004; Volume 19:Tab 13.
- 14. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Percutaneous vertebroplasty for vertebral fractures caused by osteoporosis. TEC Assessments. 2010;Volume 25:Tab 9.
- 15. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Percutaneous vertebroplasty or kyphoplasty for vertebral fractures caused by osteoporosis or malignancy. TEC Assessments. 2008; Volume 23:Tab 5.

- 16. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Percutaneous vertebroplasty or kyphoplasty for vertebral fractures caused by osteoporosis. TEC Assessments. 2009; Volume 24:Tab 7.
- Buchbinder R, Johnston RV, Rischin KJ, et al. Percutaneous vertebroplasty for osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Apr 04 2018; 4: CD006349. PMID 29618171
- 18. Staples MP, Kallmes DF, Comstock BA, et al. Effectiveness of vertebroplasty using individual patient data from two randomised placebo controlled trials: meta-analysis. BMJ. Jul 12 2011; 343: d3952. PMID 21750078
- 19. Xie L, Zhao ZG, Zhang SJ, et al. Percutaneous vertebroplasty versus conservative treatment for osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures: An updated meta-analysis of prospective randomized controlled trials. Int J Surg. Nov 2017; 47: 25-32. PMID 28939236
- Hinde K, Maingard J, Hirsch JA, et al. Mortality Outcomes of Vertebral Augmentation (Vertebroplasty and/or Balloon Kyphoplasty) for Osteoporotic Vertebral Compression Fractures: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Radiology. Apr 2020; 295(1): 96-103. PMID 32068503
- 21. Zhang L, Zhai P. A Comparison of Percutaneous Vertebroplasty Versus Conservative Treatment in Terms of Treatment Effect for Osteoporotic Vertebral Compression Fractures: A Meta-Analysis. Surg Innov. Feb 2020; 27(1): 19-25. PMID 31423902
- 22. Chang M, Zhang C, Shi J, et al. Comparison Between 7 Osteoporotic Vertebral Compression Fractures Treatments: Systematic Review and Network Meta-analysis. World Neurosurg. Jan 2021; 145: 462-470.e1. PMID 32891841
- 23. Buchbinder R, Osborne RH, Ebeling PR, et al. A randomized trial of vertebroplasty for painful osteoporotic vertebral fractures. N Engl J Med. Aug 06 2009; 361(6): 557-68. PMID 19657121
- 24. Kallmes DF, Comstock BA, Heagerty PJ, et al. A randomized trial of vertebroplasty for osteoporotic spinal fractures. N Engl J Med. Aug 06 2009; 361(6): 569-79. PMID 19657122
- 25. Kroon F, Staples M, Ebeling PR, et al. Two-year results of a randomized placebo-controlled trial of vertebroplasty for acute osteoporotic vertebral fractures. J Bone Miner Res. Jun 2014; 29(6): 1346-55. PMID 24967454
- 26. Ostelo RW, Deyo RA, Stratford P, et al. Interpreting change scores for pain and functional status in low back pain: towards international consensus regarding minimal important change. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). Jan 01 2008; 33(1): 90-4. PMID 18165753
- 27. Comstock BA, Sitlani CM, Jarvik JG, et al. Investigational vertebroplasty safety and efficacy trial (INVEST): patient-reported outcomes through 1 year. Radiology. Oct 2013; 269(1): 224-31. PMID 23696683
- 28. Firanescu CE, de Vries J, Lodder P, et al. Vertebroplasty versus sham procedure for painful acute osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (VERTOS IV): randomised sham controlled clinical trial. BMJ. May 09 2018; 361: k1551. PMID 29743284
- 29. Chen D, An ZQ, Song S, et al. Percutaneous vertebroplasty compared with conservative treatment in patients with chronic painful osteoporotic spinal fractures. J Clin Neurosci. Mar 2014; 21(3): 473-7. PMID 24315046
- 30. Farrokhi MR, Alibai E, Maghami Z. Randomized controlled trial of percutaneous vertebroplasty versus optimal medical management for the relief of pain and disability in acute osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures. J Neurosurg Spine. May 2011; 14(5): 561-9. PMID 21375382
- 31. Edidin AA, Ong KL, Lau E, et al. Mortality risk for operated and nonoperated vertebral fracture patients in the medicare population. J Bone Miner Res. Jul 2011; 26(7): 1617-26. PMID 21308780
- 32. Edidin AA, Ong KL, Lau E, et al. Morbidity and Mortality After Vertebral Fractures: Comparison of Vertebral Augmentation and Nonoperative Management in the Medicare Population. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). Aug 01 2015; 40(15): 1228-41. PMID 26020845
- 33. Lin JH, Chien LN, Tsai WL, et al. Early vertebroplasty associated with a lower risk of mortality and respiratory failure in aged patients with painful vertebral compression fractures: a population-based cohort study in Taiwan. Spine J. Sep 2017; 17(9): 1310-1318. PMID 28483705
- 34. Clark W, Bird P, Gonski P, et al. Safety and efficacy of vertebroplasty for acute painful osteoporotic fractures (VAPOUR): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. Oct 01 2016; 388(10052): 1408-1416. PMID 27544377
- 35. Klazen CA, Lohle PN, de Vries J, et al. Vertebroplasty versus conservative treatment in acute osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures (Vertos II): an open-label randomised trial. Lancet. Sep 25 2010; 376(9746): 1085-92. PMID 20701962
- 36. Yi X, Lu H, Tian F, et al. Recompression in new levels after percutaneous vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty compared with conservative treatment. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. Jan 2014; 134(1): 21-30. PMID 24287674
- 37. Leali PT, Solla F, Maestretti G, et al. Safety and efficacy of vertebroplasty in the treatment of osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures: a prospective multicenter international randomized controlled study. Clin Cases Miner Bone Metab. Sep-Dec 2016; 13(3): 234-236. PMID 28228788
- 38. Yang EZ, Xu JG, Huang GZ, et al. Percutaneous Vertebroplasty Versus Conservative Treatment in Aged Patients With Acute Osteoporotic Vertebral Compression Fractures: A Prospective Randomized Controlled Clinical Study. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). Apr 2016; 41(8): 653-60. PMID 26630417
- 39. Lourie H. Spontaneous osteoporotic fracture of the sacrum. An unrecognized syndrome of the elderly. JAMA. Aug 13 1982; 248(6): 715-7. PMID 7097924
- 40. Frey ME, Depalma MJ, Cifu DX, et al. Percutaneous sacroplasty for osteoporotic sacral insufficiency fractures: a prospective, multicenter, observational pilot study. Spine J. Mar-Apr 2008; 8(2): 367-73. PMID 17981097
- 41. Kortman K, Ortiz O, Miller T, et al. Multicenter study to assess the efficacy and safety of sacroplasty in patients with osteoporotic sacral insufficiency fractures or pathologic sacral lesions. J Neurointerv Surg. Sep 01 2013; 5(5): 461-6. PMID 22684691
- 42. Frey ME, Warner C, Thomas SM, et al. Sacroplasty: A Ten-Year Analysis of Prospective Patients Treated with Percutaneous Sacroplasty: Literature Review and Technical Considerations. Pain Physician. Nov 2017; 20(7): E1063-E1072. PMID 29149151
- 43. Dougherty RW, McDonald JS, Cho YW, et al. Percutaneous sacroplasty using CT guidance for pain palliation in sacral insufficiency fractures. J Neurointerv Surg. Jan 2014; 6(1): 57-60. PMID 23345629
- 44. Zaman FM, Frey M, Slipman CW. Sacral stress fractures. Curr Sports Med Rep. Feb 2006; 5(1): 37-43. PMID 16483515
- 45. Denis F, Davis S, Comfort T. Sacral fractures: an important problem. Retrospective analysis of 236 cases. Clin Orthop Relat Res. Feb 1988; 227: 67-81. PMID 3338224

- 46. Shah LM, Jennings JW, Kirsch CFE, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria (R) Management of Vertebral Compression Fractures. J Am Coll Radiol. Nov 2018; 15(11S): S347-S364. PMID 30392604
- 47. Baerlocher MO, Saad WE, Dariushnia S, et al. Quality improvement guidelines for percutaneous vertebroplasty. J Vasc Interv Radiol. Feb 2014; 25(2): 165-70. PMID 24238815
- 48. McGuire R. AAOS Clinical Practice Guideline: the Treatment of Symptomatic Osteoporotic Spinal Compression Fractures. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. Mar 2011; 19(3): 183-4. PMID 21368100
- 49. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Percutaneous vertebroplasty [IPG12]. 2003; https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg12. Accessed February 24, 2021.
- 50. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Percutaneous vertebroplasty and percutaneous balloon kyphoplasty for treating osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures [TA279]. 2013; https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta279. Accessed February 24, 2021.
- 51. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Metastatic spinal cord compression in adults: risk assessment, diagnosis and management [CG75]. 2008; https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg75/chapter/1- guidance. Accessed February 24, 2021.

POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

Date	Action	Description
December 2011	New policy	
June 2013	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review, References added, reordered and some removed. Policy statements unchanged.
June 2014	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review; references 22, 31, 40-42, 45, and 46 added; policy statements unchanged.
June 2015	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review; references 18 and 27 added; policy statements unchanged.
March 2018	Archive policy	Policy updated with literature review through March 23, 2017; references 9, 16, 26-27, and 30-31 added; vertebroplasty may be medically necessary in vertebral fractures of less than 6 weeks in duration that prevent ambulation.
June 2020	Reactivate policy	Policy updated with literature review through February 11, 2020; references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
June 2021	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through February 24, 2021; references added. Investigational policy statement edited for clarity. Policy statements otherwise unchanged.