Measurement of Serum Antibodies to Selected Biologic Agents

Description

Biologic agents used to treat autoimmune diseases include infliximab, adalimumab, vedolizumab, and ustekinumab. Infliximab (Remicade) is an intravenous tumor necrosis factor α blocking agent approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, Crohn’s disease, ankylosing spondylitis, psoriatic arthritis, plaque psoriasis, and ulcerative colitis. Adalimumab (Humira) is a subcutaneous tumor necrosis factor α inhibitor that is FDA approved for the treatment of Crohn disease and ulcerative colitis in adults and those with juvenile idiopathic arthritis. Vedolizumab (Entyvio) is an intravenous integrin receptor antagonist that is FDA approved for treatment of ulcerative colitis and Crohn Disease in adults. Ustekinumab (Stelara) is an intravenous and subcutaneous human interleukin-12 and -23 antagonist that is FDA approved for the treatment of psoriatic psoriasis, Crohn disease, and ulcerative colitis in adults, and plaque psoriasis in adolescents and adults. Following the primary response to these medications, some patients become secondary nonresponders. The development of antidrug antibodies is considered a cause of this secondary nonresponse.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to evaluate and compare the net health outcome of 2 types of treatment: the first, when serum antibody testing for infliximab, adalimumab, vedolizumab, or ustekinumab is used in patients being managed with those drugs; the second, when a patient receives standard of care to manage conditions (eg, rheumatoid arthritis, Crohn disease, ulcerative colitis) associated with the aforementioned drugs.
POLICY STATEMENT

Measurement of antidrug antibodies in a patient receiving treatment with a biologic agent, either alone or as a combination test, which includes the measurement of serum TNF blocking agent levels, is considered investigational.

POLICY GUIDELINES

Currently U.S. Food and Drug Administration approved biologic agents include infliximab, adalimumab, vedolizumab, and ustekinumab.

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

Prometheus Laboratories, a College of American Pathologists-accredited lab under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments, offers 4 non-radio-labeled, fluid-phase homogenous mobility shift assay tests: called Anser IFX (for infliximab), Anser ADA (for adalimumab), Anser VDZ (for vedolizumab), and Anser UST (for ustekinumab). The tests measure both serum drug concentrations and ADA. They are not based on an ELISA test, and can measure ADA in the presence of detectable drug levels, improving on a major limitation of the ELISA method.

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, or juvenile idiopathic arthritis; inflammatory bowel disease (eg, Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis); ankylosing spondylitis; or plaque psoriasis who receive evaluation for serum antibodies to infliximab, adalimumab, vedolizumab, or ustekinumab, the evidence includes multiple systematic reviews, a randomized controlled trial, and observational studies. Relevant outcomes are test validity, change in disease status, health status measures, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Antibodies to biologic agents develop in a substantial proportion of treated patients and are believed to neutralize or enhance clearance of the drugs. Considerable evidence has demonstrated an association between antidrug antibodies and secondary nonresponse as well as injection-site and infusion-site reactions. The clinical usefulness of measuring antidrug antibodies hinges on whether test results inform management changes, thereby leading to improved outcomes, compared with management directed by symptoms, clinical assessment, and standard laboratory evaluation. Limited evidence has described
management changes after measuring antidrug antibodies. A small randomized controlled trial in patients with Crohn disease comparing antidrug antibody-informed management of relapse with standard dose escalation did not demonstrate improved outcomes with the antidrug antibody-informed approach. Additionally, many assays, some having significant limitations, have been used in studies; antidrug antibody threshold values that are informative for discriminating treatment responses have not been established. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

American College of Gastroenterology Institute

In 2017, the American College of Gastroenterology Institute published guidelines on therapeutic drug monitoring in inflammatory bowel disease. The guidelines note that "When anti-drug antibodies are detected, it is unclear what antibody level is clinically meaningful.... the reporting of anti-drug antibodies is variable between commercial assays, with some assays being very sensitive for detecting very-low-titer antibodies of limited clinical significance. Uniform thresholds for clinically relevant antibody titers are lacking. At this time, it is unclear how antibodies affect drug efficacy when both active drug and antibodies are detected. In cases of low trough concentrations and low or high anti-drug antibodies, the evidence to clarify optimal management is lacking."

The guidelines did not address therapeutic drug monitoring in patients treated with vedolizumab or ustekinumab.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

In 2016, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence issued guidance on therapeutic monitoring of tumor necrosis factor α inhibitors in the treatment of patients with Crohn disease. The Institute recommended that laboratories monitoring tumor necrosis factor α inhibitors in patients with Crohn disease who have lost response to the treatment should "work with clinicians to collect data through a prospective study, for local audit, or for submission to an existing registry."

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.
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