



## FEP Medical Policy Manual

### FEP 2.04.127 Multitarget Polymerase Chain Reaction Testing for Diagnosis of Bacterial Vaginosis

**Effective Policy Date: April 1, 2022**

**Original Policy Date: December 2014**

**Related Policies:**

2.04.10 - Identification of Microorganisms Using Nucleic Acid Probes

## Multitarget Polymerase Chain Reaction Testing for Diagnosis of Bacterial Vaginosis

### Description

Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is a common medical condition resulting from an imbalance in the normal vaginal flora. Although the identification of *Gardnerella vaginalis* has traditionally been associated with BV, there is no single etiologic agent. Most cases are asymptomatic, and most symptomatic cases can be diagnosed using clinical and microscopic evaluation. Multitarget polymerase chain reaction (PCR) testing is proposed as an alternative to currently available laboratory tests to diagnose BV. This test may improve outcomes if it is a more accurate and reliable method to diagnose BV.

### Bacterial Vaginosis

BV is a condition caused by an imbalance in the normal bacteria vaginal flora. It is common, especially in women of reproductive age. While there is no single known etiologic agent, there is a shift in vaginal flora that involves depletion of hydrogen peroxide-producing *Lactobacillus* species with a rise in vaginal pH and overgrowth of other bacteria, including *Gardnerella vaginalis*, *Mycoplasma hominis*, *Peptostreptococcus*, *Mobiluncus* species, and other anaerobic gram-negative rods.

Vaginal culture is not an appropriate diagnostic method to identify BV because BV is not caused by the presence of a particular bacterial species.

Various commercial tests provide rapid and accurate pH evaluation and amine detection. For example, automated devices that measure the volatile gases produced from vaginal samples and a colorimetric pH test are commercially available.

Nucleic acid probes of DNA fragments are available to detect and quantify specific bacteria in vaginal fluid samples. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods extract and amplify the DNA fragments using either universal or specific primers. The result can be qualitative (to assess whether a specific microorganism is present) or quantitative (to assess how many microorganisms are present). The technology can be used to measure multiple organisms (eg, those known to be associated with BV) at the same time and is commercially available as multitarget PCR testing.

(Evidence review 2.04.10 addresses the use of direct or amplified nucleic acid probes with or without quantification to detect microorganisms of clinical significance, including single microorganisms associated with BV.

## Proposed Multitarget PCR Tests

The SureSwab Total (Quest Diagnostics) test involves obtaining vaginal swab specimens, extracting total DNA, and quantitating the 4 types of bacteria using PCR. Results are reported as log cells per milliliter for each organism and concentrations of all *Lactobacilli* species are reported together then classified into 1 of the following 3 categories: not supportive, equivocal, and supportive.

A classification of *not supportive* of BV diagnosis is based on:

- The presence of *Lactobacillus* species, *G. vaginalis* levels <6.0 log cells/mL, and absence of *Atopobium vaginae* and *Megasphaera* species; or
- The absence of *Lactobacillus* species, *G. vaginalis* levels <6.0 log cells/mL, and absence of *A. vaginae* and *Megasphaera* species; or
- The absence of all targeted organisms.

A classification of equivocal is based on:

- The presence of *Lactobacillus* species, plus *G. vaginalis* at least 6.0 log cells/mL, and/or presence of *A. vaginae* and/or *Megasphaera* species.

A classification of supportive of BV diagnosis is based on the absence of *Lactobacillus* species, and presence of *G. vaginalis* levels of at least 6.0 log cells/mL, and presence of *A. vaginae* and/or *Megasphaera* species.

Another product, the BD Max (Becton, Dickinson), tests for markers of BV and vaginitis. The test uses a similar process to that described for SureSwab. Vaginal swab specimens are collected, DNA is extracted, and real-time PCR is used to quantitate targeted organisms. Results of BV marker tests are not reported for individual organisms. Instead, qualitative BV results are reported as positive or negative for BV based on the relative quantity of the various organisms. The Aptima BV Assay was cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration with the BD Max as the predicate device. The Aptima assay is a nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT) for detection and quantitation of ribosomal RNA.

Medical Diagnostics Laboratory offers a Bacterial Vaginosis Panel. Markers are assessed using real-time PCR and *Lactobacillus* is profiled using quantitative PCR. GenPath Diagnostics also offers a bacterial vaginosis test.

The NuSwab® Select BV test (Laboratory Corporation of America) uses semiquantitative PCR analysis of 3 predictive marker organisms of vaginal dysbiosis to generate a total score that is associated with the presence or absence of BV. In this test system, samples with a total score of 0 to 1 are considered negative for BV, samples with a score of 3 to 6 are positive for BV, and samples with a score of 2 are indeterminate for BV.

Several of the manufacturers of the BV tests also have extensions that include other causes of vaginitis such as *Trichomonas vaginalis* and *Candidiasis* species.

## OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to evaluate whether the technical performance, diagnostic accuracy, and clinical utility of multitarget polymerase chain reaction testing improve net health outcomes in patients with signs or symptoms of BV.

## POLICY STATEMENT

Multitarget polymerase chain reaction testing for the diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis is considered **investigational**.

## POLICY GUIDELINES

None

## BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

No applicable information.

## FDA REGULATORY STATUS

In October 2016, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration completed a review of a de novo request for classification of the BD Max™ Vaginal Panel (Becton, Dickinson). The test was granted class II designation, marketing authorization, and is indicated for the direct detection of DNA targets from bacteria associated with bacterial vaginosis (DEN160001). In 2019, the Aptima BV Assay (Hologic, Inc.) received 510(k) clearance (K190452) with the BD Max as the predicate device. Product code: PQA, NSU, PMN.

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act (CLIA). Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the CLIA for high-complexity testing.

## RATIONALE

### Summary of Evidence

In individuals who have signs or symptoms of bacterial vaginosis (BV) who receive multitarget polymerase chain reaction (PCR) testing, the evidence includes several prospective studies on technical performance and diagnostic accuracy. Relevant outcomes are test validity, symptoms, and change in disease status. Several studies have evaluated the diagnostic accuracy of multitarget PCR tests for BV, including 5 studies evaluating commercially available tests. The studies found sensitivities between 84% and 95% and specificities between 85% and 97% compared with standard methods of diagnosis. Most studies used a combination of the Amsel criteria and Nugent scoring as the reference standard. There is a lack of direct evidence on the clinical utility of PCR testing for BV (ie, studies showing that testing leads to better patient management decisions and/or better health outcomes than current approaches). Moreover, a chain of evidence does not currently support multitarget testing because most symptomatic women can be diagnosed with a standard workup. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcomes.

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

### Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

#### American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists

Published in 2012 and reaffirmed in 2018, the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) has produced a Practice Bulletin on the prediction of preterm birth. The Bulletin stated that BV testing is not recommended as a screening strategy in asymptomatic pregnant women at increased risk of preterm birth.<sup>21</sup>

Published in 2020, the ACOG has issued a Practice Bulletin on vaginitis in nonpregnant patients.<sup>22</sup> The Bulletin made the following recommendations on the initial evaluation of patients with symptoms of vaginitis, citing CDC guidelines:

"A complete medical history, physical examination of the vulva and vagina, and clinical testing of vaginal discharge (ie, pH testing, a potassium hydroxide "whiff test," and microscopy) are recommended for the initial evaluation of patients with vaginitis symptoms."

The Bulletin noted that single-swab multiplex PCR testing "may be a promising alternative to microscopy," but that its clinical utility is still under evaluation.

#### Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

In 2021, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention updated its guidelines on sexually transmitted infections.<sup>23</sup> Regarding the diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis (BV), the guidelines stated:

"BV can be diagnosed by...clinical criteria (i.e., Amsel's Diagnostic Criteria) or by determining the Nugent score from a vaginal Gram stain. Vaginal Gram stain, considered the reference standard laboratory method for diagnosing BV, is used to determine the relative concentration of lactobacilli ..."

The guidelines state that multiplex PCR assays are available, but noted that traditional methods of BV diagnosis, including the Amsel criteria, Nugent score, and the Affirm VP III assay, remain useful for diagnosing symptomatic BV because of their lower cost and ability to provide a rapid diagnosis. The guidelines also stated that BV nucleic acid amplification tests should be used among symptomatic women only (eg, women with vaginal discharge, odor, or itch) because their accuracy is not well defined for asymptomatic women.

#### National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE; 2008) updated its clinical guideline on antenatal care for uncomplicated pregnancies in 2019.<sup>24</sup> Regarding the screening of asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis, the guidelines stated:

"Pregnant women should not be offered routine screening for bacterial vaginosis because the evidence suggests that the identification and treatment of asymptomatic bacterial vaginosis does not lower the risk of preterm birth and other adverse reproductive outcomes."

#### U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

The USPSTF (2020) recommendations on screening for BV in pregnancy<sup>25</sup> have stated that:

"The USPSTF recommends against screening for bacterial vaginosis in pregnant persons who are not at increased risk for preterm delivery." (Grade D recommendation)

"The USPSTF concludes that the current evidence is insufficient to assess the balance of benefits and harms of screening for bacterial vaginosis in pregnant persons who are at increased risk for preterm delivery." (I statement)

## Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

## REFERENCES

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Bacterial Vaginosis (BV) Statistics. <http://www.cdc.gov/std/bv/stats.htm>. Accessed November 18, 2021.
- Amsel R, Totten PA, Spiegel CA, et al. Nonspecific vaginitis. Diagnostic criteria and microbial and epidemiologic associations. *Am J Med.* Jan 1983; 74(1): 14-22. PMID 6600371
- Landers DV, Wiesenfeld HC, Heine RP, et al. Predictive value of the clinical diagnosis of lower genital tract infection in women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* Apr 2004; 190(4): 1004-10. PMID 15118630
- Nugent RP, Krohn MA, Hillier SL. Reliability of diagnosing bacterial vaginosis is improved by a standardized method of gram stain interpretation. *J Clin Microbiol.* Feb 1991; 29(2): 297-301. PMID 1706728
- Ison CA, Hay PE. Validation of a simplified grading of Gram stained vaginal smears for use in genitourinary medicine clinics. *Sex Transm Infect.* Dec 2002; 78(6): 413-5. PMID 12473800
- Hilbert DW, Smith WL, Chadwick SG, et al. Development and Validation of a Highly Accurate Quantitative Real-Time PCR Assay for Diagnosis of Bacterial Vaginosis. *J Clin Microbiol.* Apr 2016; 54(4): 1017-24. PMID 26818677
- Thompson A, Timm K, Borders N, et al. Diagnostic performance of two molecular assays for the detection of vaginitis in symptomatic women. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* Jan 2020; 39(1): 39-44. PMID 31502121
- Gaydos CA, Beqaj S, Schwebke JR, et al. Clinical Validation of a Test for the Diagnosis of Vaginitis. *Obstet Gynecol.* Jul 2017; 130(1): 181-189. PMID 28594779
- Schwebke JR, Gaydos CA, Nyirjesy P, et al. Diagnostic Performance of a Molecular Test versus Clinician Assessment of Vaginitis. *J Clin Microbiol.* Jun 2018; 56(6). PMID 29643195
- Aguirre-Quinonero A, Castillo-Sedano IS, Calvo-Muro F, et al. Accuracy of the BD MAX vaginal panel in the diagnosis of infectious vaginitis. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* May 2019; 38(5): 877-882. PMID 30685805
- van den Munckhof EHA, van Sitter RL, Boers KE, et al. Comparison of Amsel criteria, Nugent score, culture and two CE-IVD marked quantitative real-time PCRs with microbiota analysis for the diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* May 2019; 38(5): 959-966. PMID 30903536
- Food and Drug Administration. Evaluation of Automatic Class III Designation For BD Max Vaginal Panel: Decision Summary. 2016; [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\\_docs/reviews/DEN160001.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/reviews/DEN160001.pdf). Accessed November 18, 2021.
- Cartwright CP, Pherson AJ, Harris AB, et al. Multicenter study establishing the clinical validity of a nucleic-acid amplification-based assay for the diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* Nov 2018; 92(3): 173-178. PMID 29937222
- Cartwright CP, Lembke BD, Ramachandran K, et al. Development and validation of a semiquantitative, multitarget PCR assay for diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis. *J Clin Microbiol.* Jul 2012; 50(7): 2321-9. PMID 22535982
- Schwebke JR, Taylor SN, Ackerman R, et al. Clinical Validation of the Aptima Bacterial Vaginosis and Aptima Candida/Trichomonas Vaginitis Assays: Results from a Prospective Multicenter Clinical Study. *J Clin Microbiol.* Jan 28 2020; 58(2). PMID 31748322
- Richter SS, Otiso J, Goje OJ, et al. Prospective Evaluation of Molecular Assays for Diagnosis of Vaginitis. *J Clin Microbiol.* Dec 23 2019; 58(1). PMID 31694966
- Kusters JG, Reuland EA, Bouter S, et al. A multiplex real-time PCR assay for routine diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* Sep 2015; 34(9): 1779-85. PMID 26143346
- Rumyantseva TA, Bellen G, Romanuk TN, et al. Utility of microscopic techniques and quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction for the diagnosis of vaginal microflora alterations. *J Low Genit Tract Dis.* Apr 2015; 19(2): 124-8. PMID 25023332
- Rumyantseva T, Shipitsyna E, Guschin A, et al. Evaluation and subsequent optimizations of the quantitative AmpliSens Florocenosis/Bacterial vaginosis-FRT multiplex real-time PCR assay for diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis. *APMIS.* Dec 2016; 124(12): 1099-1108. PMID 27714844
- van der Veer C, van Houdt R, van Dam A, et al. Accuracy of a commercial multiplex PCR for the diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis. *J Med Microbiol.* Sep 2018; 67(9): 1265-1270. PMID 29985123
- Committee on Practice Bulletins-Obstetrics, The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Practice bulletin no. 130: prediction and prevention of preterm birth. *Obstet Gynecol.* Oct 2012; 120(4): 964-73. PMID 22996126
- Vaginitis in Nonpregnant Patients: ACOG Practice Bulletin, Number 215. *Obstet Gynecol.* Jan 2020; 135(1): e1-e17. PMID 31856123
- Workowski KA, Bachmann LH, Chan PA, et al. Sexually Transmitted Infections Treatment Guidelines, 2021. *MMWR Recomm Rep.* Jul 23 2021; 70(4): 1-187. PMID 34292926
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Clinical Guideline: Antenatal Care for Uncomplicated Pregnancies [CG62]. 2008; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg62/>. Updated February 4, 2019. Accessed November 18, 2021.
- U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Bacterial Vaginosis in Pregnancy to Prevent Preterm Delivery: Screening. 2020; <https://www.uspreventiveservicestaskforce.org/uspstf/recommendation/bacterial-vaginosis-in-pregnancy-to-prevent-preterm-delivery-screening#:~:text=The%20USPSTF%20recommends%20against%20screening%20for%20bacterial%20vaginosis,who%20are%20at%20increased%20risk%20for%20preterm%20delivery..> Accessed November 19, 2021.

**POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Action</b>	<b>Description</b>
December 2014	New policy	Policy created with literature review. Multitarget polymerase chain reaction (PCR) testing for diagnosis of bacterial vaginosis is considered investigational.
March 2019	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 1, 2018; references 3- 7, 9-10 and 15-16 added; reference 18 updated. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2020	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 18, 2019; references added. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2021	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through September 21, 2020; references added. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2022	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through November 18, 2021; references added. Policy statement unchanged.