



FEP Medical Policy Manual

FEP 2.04.86 Genetic Testing for Duchenne and Becker Muscular Dystrophy

Effective Policy Date: July 1, 2022

Original Policy Date: September 2013

Related Policies:

None

Genetic Testing for Duchenne and Becker Muscular Dystrophy

Description

Description

Variants in the Duchenne muscular dystrophy (*DMD*) gene, which encodes the protein dystrophin, may result in a spectrum of X-linked muscle diseases, including the progressive diseases Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) and Becker muscular dystrophy (BMD) and dilated cardiomyopathy. Genetic testing can confirm a diagnosis of a dystrophinopathy and distinguish the less from more severe forms, as well as identify female carriers at risk.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to determine whether genetic testing improves the net health outcome in symptomatic males with dystrophinopathy. This policy does NOT evaluate individuals who are females with a relative with Duchenne muscular dystrophy (*DMD*)-associated dystrophinopathy, or individuals who are asymptomatic male offspring of a female *DMD* familial variant carrier, or male sibling of a patient with a *DMD*-associated dystrophinopathy. (see Policy Guidelines and Benefit Applications).

POLICY STATEMENT

Genetic testing for *DMD* gene variants may be considered **medically necessary** under the following conditions:

- In a male with signs and symptoms of a dystrophinopathy in order to confirm the diagnosis and direct treatment.

POLICY GUIDELINES

Genetic Counseling

Experts recommend formal genetic counseling for patients who are at risk for inherited disorders and who wish to undergo genetic testing. Interpreting the results of genetic tests and understanding risk factors can be difficult for some patients; genetic counseling helps individuals understand the impact of genetic testing, including the possible effects the test results could have on the individual or their family members. It should be noted that genetic counseling may alter the utilization of genetic testing substantially and may reduce inappropriate testing; further, genetic counseling should be performed by an individual with experience and expertise in genetic medicine and genetic testing methods.

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

Screening (other than the preventive services listed in the brochure) is not covered. Please see Section 6 General exclusions.

Benefits are available for specialized diagnostic genetic testing when it is medically necessary to diagnose and/or manage a patient's existing medical condition. Benefits are not provided for genetic panels when some or all of the tests included in the panel are not covered, are experimental or investigational, or are not medically necessary.

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

Some Plans may have contract or benefit exclusions for genetic testing.

Consensus recommendations from best practice guidelines for molecular diagnosis of Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophy have indicated that testing of an affected male (the index case) be performed so that carrier testing in female relatives at risk can focus on the known familial variant. Testing of at-risk asymptomatic males can focus on the known familial variant to diagnose a *DMD*-associated dystrophinopathy prior to disease manifestation. However, coverage for testing of the affected index case depends on contract benefit language.

Specific contract language must be reviewed and considered when determining coverage for testing. In some cases, coverage for testing the index case may be available through the contract that covers the at-risk individual who will benefit from knowing the results of genetic tests.

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA). Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the CLIA for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who are male and have signs and symptoms of a dystrophinopathy who receive genetic testing for *DMD* gene variants to confirm diagnosis without biopsy, the evidence includes case series and database entries describing screening and results of types of variants found in patients with clinical signs of DMD or BMD. Relevant outcomes are test accuracy and validity, symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, quality of life, medication use, and resource utilization. Virtually all males with DMD or BMD have identifiable *DMD* disease-associated variants, indicating a high clinical sensitivity for genetic testing. The clinical utility of *DMD* gene testing can be established for the index case to confirm the diagnosis without a muscle biopsy, to initiate effective treatment, and to distinguish between DMD and the less severe BMD. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in "Supplemental Information" if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

Duchenne muscular dystrophy Care Considerations Working Group

In 2010, an international working group comprised of 84 clinicians and scientists from government agencies, including the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and advocacy organizations provided recommendations for providing coordinated multidisciplinary care in the diagnosis and treatment of Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD).⁸ Per the working group, genetic testing should first be used to screen for deletions and duplications. If no deletion or duplication is detected, screening for single nucleotide variants should be performed. For patients diagnosed by genetic testing, muscle biopsy is optional to distinguish DMD from milder phenotypes.

In 2018, the DMD Care Considerations Working Group updated its Care Considerations recommendations.¹⁸ Their recommendations for genetic testing utilization in DMD diagnosis remained similar to their 2010 recommendations, with a recommendation to first screen for deletions and duplications, followed by genetic sequencing if no deletion or duplication is detected. A muscle biopsy is only recommended if genetic testing does not confirm a clinical diagnosis and DMD is still considered likely. The working group also recommended genetic counseling to family members of an individual with DMD to establish who is at risk of being a carrier. Carrier testing is recommended for female relatives of a male who has been genetically confirmed to have DMD.

The European Molecular Genetics Quality Network and EuroGenTest

In 2010, a meeting of 29 senior scientists from the United States, Europe, India, and Australia established consensus best practice guidelines for the molecular diagnosis of Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophy.¹¹ Recommendations for testing were: if there is a clinical suspicion of a dystrophinopathy, first screen for deletions and duplications. If no deletion or duplication is detected, but the clinical diagnosis is verified, screen for single nucleotide variants.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

REFERENCES

1. Verma S, Anziska Y, Cracco J. Review of Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) for the pediatricians in the community. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*. Nov 2010; 49(11): 1011-7. PMID 20724320
2. Mah JK, Korngut L, Dykeman J, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis on the epidemiology of Duchenne and Becker muscular dystrophy. *Neuromuscul Disord*. Jun 2014; 24(6): 482-91. PMID 24780148
3. Darras BT, Miller DT, Urion DK. Dystrophinopathies. In: Adam MP, Ardinger HH, Pagon RA, et al., eds. *GeneReviews*. Seattle, WA: University of Washington; 2014.
4. Yoon J, Kim SH, Ki CS, et al. Carrier woman of Duchenne muscular dystrophy mimicking inflammatory myositis. *J Korean Med Sci*. Apr 2011; 26(4): 587-91. PMID 21468271
5. Soltanzadeh P, Friez MJ, Dunn D, et al. Clinical and genetic characterization of manifesting carriers of DMD mutations. *Neuromuscul Disord*. Aug 2010; 20(8): 499-504. PMID 20630757
6. Bonilla E, Schmidt B, Samitt CE, et al. Normal and dystrophin-deficient muscle fibers in carriers of the gene for Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Am J Pathol*. Dec 1988; 133(3): 440-5. PMID 3059802
7. Yoshioka M, Yorifuji T, Mituyoshi I. Skewed X inactivation in manifesting carriers of Duchenne muscular dystrophy. *Clin Genet*. Feb 1998; 53(2): 102-7. PMID 9611069
8. Bushby K, Finkel R, Birnkrant DJ, et al. Diagnosis and management of Duchenne muscular dystrophy, part 1: diagnosis, and pharmacological and psychosocial management. *Lancet Neurol*. Jan 2010; 9(1): 77-93. PMID 19945913
9. Mah JK, Selby K, Campbell C, et al. A population-based study of dystrophin mutations in Canada. *Can J Neurol Sci*. May 2011; 38(3): 465-74. PMID 21515508
10. Griggs RC, Moxley RT, Mendell JR, et al. Prednisone in Duchenne dystrophy. A randomized, controlled trial defining the time course and dose response. *Clinical Investigation of Duchenne Dystrophy Group*. *Arch Neurol*. Apr 1991; 48(4): 383-8. PMID 2012511
11. Abbs S, Tuffery-Giraud S, Bakker E, et al. Best practice guidelines on molecular diagnostics in Duchenne/Becker muscular dystrophies. *Neuromuscul Disord*. Jun 2010; 20(6): 422-7. PMID 20466545
12. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). FDA News Release: FDA grants accelerated approval to first drug for Duchenne muscular dystrophy. 2016; <https://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ucm521263.htm>. Accessed January 17, 2022.
13. Food and Drug Administration. VYONDYS 53 (golodirsen) Prescribing Information. 2019 https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/211970s000lbl.pdf Accessed January 19, 2022.
14. Food and Drug Administration. VILTEPSO (viltolarsen) Prescribing Information. 2020. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/212154Orig1s000lbl.pdf. Accessed January 18, 2022.
15. Monaco AP, Bertelson CJ, Liechti-Gallati S, et al. An explanation for the phenotypic differences between patients bearing partial deletions of the DMD locus. *Genomics*. Jan 1988; 2(1): 90-5. PMID 3384440
16. Aartsma-Rus A, Van Deutekom JC, Fokkema IF, et al. Entries in the Leiden Duchenne muscular dystrophy mutation database: an overview of mutation types and paradoxical cases that confirm the reading-frame rule. *Muscle Nerve*. Aug 2006; 34(2): 135-44. PMID 16770791
17. Ross LF, Ross LF, Saal HM, et al. Technical report: Ethical and policy issues in genetic testing and screening of children. *Genet Med*. Mar 2013; 15(3): 234-45. PMID 23429433
18. Birnkrant DJ, Bushby K, Bann CM, et al. Diagnosis and management of Duchenne muscular dystrophy, part 1: diagnosis, and neuromuscular, rehabilitation, endocrine, and gastrointestinal and nutritional management. *Lancet Neurol*. Mar 2018; 17(3): 251-267. PMID 29395989

POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

Date	Action	Description
September 2013	New policy	
June 2014	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review. No change to policy statement. References 11, 14, 17, and 18 added.
June 2015	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review, references 19-21 added; reference deleted. Policy statements unchanged.
June 2018	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through January 8, 2018; references 2, 10, and 12 were added; references 8 and 13-22 were deleted. Policy statement for at-risk female relatives removed. Objective section added stating: This policy does NOT evaluate individuals who are females with a relative of a patient with a DMD-associated dystrophinopathy, or individuals who are asymptomatic male offspring of a female DMD familial variant carrier or male sibling of a patient with a DMD-associated dystrophinopathy (see Policy Guidelines and Benefit Applications).
June 2019	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through January 6, 2019. no references added. Policy statements unchanged.
June 2020	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through January 13, 2020; no references added. Policy statements unchanged.
June 2021	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through January 13, 2021; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
June 2022	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through January 19, 2022; references added. Policy statements unchanged.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.