



FEP Medical Policy Manual

FEP 2.01.98 Orthopedic Applications of Platelet-Rich Plasma

Effective Policy Date: July 1, 2022

Original Policy Date: September 2015

Related Policies:

2.01.26 - Prolotherapy

8.01.52 - Orthopedic Applications of Stem Cell Therapy (Including Allografts and Bone Substitutes Used With Autologous Bone Marrow)

Orthopedic Applications of Platelet-Rich Plasma

Description

Description

The use of platelet-rich plasma has been proposed as a treatment for various musculoskeletal conditions and as an adjunctive procedure in orthopedic surgeries. The potential benefit of platelet-rich plasma has received considerable interest due to the appeal of a simple, safe, low-cost, and minimally invasive method of applying growth factors.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to determine whether the use of platelet-rich plasma improves the net health outcome in individuals with musculoskeletal conditions and those undergoing orthopedic surgical procedures.

POLICY STATEMENT

Use of platelet-rich plasma is considered **investigational** for all orthopedic indications. This includes, but is not limited to, use in the following situations:

- Primary use (injection) for the following conditions:
 - Achilles tendinopathy
 - Lateral epicondylitis
 - Plantar fasciitis
 - Osteochondral lesions
 - Osteoarthritis.
- Adjunctive use in the following surgical procedures:
 - Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction
 - Hip fracture
 - Long-bone nonunion
 - Patellar tendon repair
 - Rotator cuff repair
 - Spinal fusion
 - Subacromial decompression surgery
 - Total knee arthroplasty.

POLICY GUIDELINES

None

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulates human cells and tissues intended for implantation, transplantation, or infusion through the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research, under Code of Federal Regulation, title 21, parts 1,270 and 1,271. Blood products such as platelet-rich plasma are included in these regulations. Under these regulations, certain products including blood products such as platelet-rich plasma are exempt and therefore do not follow the traditional FDA regulatory pathway. To date, the FDA has not attempted to regulate activated platelet-rich plasma.

A number of platelet-rich plasma preparation systems are available, many of which were cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process for producing platelet-rich preparations intended to be mixed with bone graft materials to enhance the bone grafting properties in orthopedic practices. The use of platelet-rich plasma outside of this setting (eg, an office injection) would be considered off-label. The Aurix System™ (previously called AutoloGel™; Cytomedix) and SafeBlood (SafeBlood Technologies) are 2 related but distinct autologous blood-derived preparations that can be used at the bedside for immediate application. Both AutoloGel™ and SafeBlood have been specifically marketed for wound healing. Other devices may be

used during surgery (eg, Medtronic Electromedics, Elmd-500 Autotransfusion system, the Plasma Saver device, the SmartPREP [Harvest Technologies] device). The Magellan™ Autologous Platelet Separator System (Medtronic Sofamor Danek) includes a disposable kit for use with the Magellan™ Autologous Platelet Separator portable tabletop centrifuge. GPSII (BioMet Biologics), a gravitational platelet separation system, was cleared for marketing by the FDA through the 510(k) process for use as disposable separation tube for centrifugation and a dual cannula tip to mix the platelets and thrombin at the surgical site. Filtration or plasmapheresis may also be used to produce platelet-rich concentrates. The use of different devices and procedures can lead to variable concentrations of activated platelets and associated proteins, increasing variability between studies of clinical efficacy.

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

Primary Treatment for Tendinopathies

For individuals with tendinopathy who receive platelet-rich plasma injections, the evidence includes multiple randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic reviews with meta-analyses. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Findings from meta-analyses of RCTs have been mixed and have generally found that platelet-rich plasma did not have a statistically and/or clinically significant impact on symptoms (ie, pain) or functional outcomes. Findings from subsequently published RCTs have also been mixed. In RCTs that have found significantly improved pain outcomes for platelet-rich plasma injections, important relevancy gaps and study conduct limitations preclude reaching strong conclusions based on their findings. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Primary Treatment for Non-Tendon Soft Tissue Injury or Inflammation

For individuals with non-tendon soft tissue injury or inflammation (eg, plantar fasciitis) who receive platelet-rich plasma injections, the evidence includes several small RCTs, multiple prospective observational studies, and a systematic review. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The systematic review, which identified 3 RCTs on platelet-rich plasma for plantar fasciitis, did not pool study findings. Results among the remaining RCTs were inconsistent. The largest RCT showed that treatment using platelet-rich plasma compared with corticosteroid injection resulted in statistically significant improvement in pain and disability, but not quality of life. Larger RCTs completed over a sufficient duration of time (i.e., 2 years) are still needed to address important uncertainties in efficacy and safety. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Primary Treatment for Osteochondral Lesions

For individuals with osteochondral lesions who receive platelet-rich plasma injections, the evidence includes an open-labeled quasi-randomized study. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The quasi-randomized study found a statistically significant greater impact on outcomes in the platelet-rich plasma group than in the hyaluronic acid group. Limitations of the evidence base include lack of adequately randomized studies, lack of blinding, lack of sham controls, and comparison only to an intervention of uncertain efficacy. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Primary Treatment for Knee or Hip Osteoarthritis

For individuals with knee or hip osteoarthritis who receive platelet-rich plasma injections, the evidence includes multiple RCTs and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Most trials have compared platelet-rich plasma with hyaluronic acid for knee osteoarthritis. Systematic reviews have generally found that platelet-rich plasma was more effective than placebo or hyaluronic acid in reducing pain and improving function. However, systematic review authors have noted that their findings should be interpreted with caution due to important limitations including significant residual statistical heterogeneity, questionable clinical significance, and high risk of bias in study conduct. RCTs with a follow-up of at least 12 months published subsequent to the systematic reviews found statistically significantly greater 12-month reductions in pain and function outcomes, but these findings were also limited by important study conduct flaws including potential inadequate control for selection bias and limited or unclear blinding. Also, benefits were not maintained at 5 years. Using hyaluronic acid as a comparator is questionable because the evidence demonstrating the benefit of hyaluronic acid treatment for osteoarthritis is not robust. Two systematic reviews evaluating hip osteoarthritis did not report any statistically or clinically significant differences in pain or functional outcomes compared to hyaluronic acid, corticosteroids, or placebo. Additional studies comparing platelet-rich plasma with placebo and with alternatives other than hyaluronic acid are needed to determine the efficacy of platelet-rich plasma for knee and hip osteoarthritis. Studies are also needed to determine the optimal protocol for delivering platelet-rich plasma. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Adjunct to Surgery

For individuals with anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction who receive platelet-rich plasma injections plus orthopedic surgery, the evidence includes several systematic reviews of multiple RCTs and prospective studies and a retrospective matched case-control study. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, morbid events, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. In 2 systematic reviews that conducted a meta-analysis, adjunctive platelet-rich plasma treatment did not result in a significant effect on International Knee Documentation Committee scores, a patient-reported, knee-specific outcome measure that assesses pain and functional activity. Individual trials have shown mixed results. A retrospective matched case-control study found no differences in knee function scores or time to return of activity between platelet-rich plasma and matched-control groups at 2 years; however, the platelet-rich plasma group demonstrated a higher rate of postoperative knee motion loss compared with the control group. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with hip fracture who receive platelet-rich plasma injections plus orthopedic surgery, the evidence includes an open-labeled RCT. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, morbid events, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. The single open-labeled RCT failed to show a statistically significant reduction in the need for surgical revision with the addition of platelet-rich plasma treatment. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with long bone nonunion who receive platelet-rich plasma injections plus orthopedic surgery, the evidence includes 3 RCTs. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, morbid events, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. One trial with a substantial risk of bias failed to show significant differences in patient-reported or clinician-assessed functional outcome scores between patients who received platelet-rich plasma plus allogenic bone graft versus those who received only allogenic bone graft. While the trial showed statistically significant increases in the proportion of bones that healed in patients receiving platelet-rich plasma in a modified intention-to-treat analysis, the results did not differ in the intention-to-treat analysis. An RCT that compared platelet-rich plasma with rhBMP-7 also failed to show any clinical and radiologic benefits of platelet-rich plasma over rhBMP-7. The third RCT found no difference in the number of unions or time to union in patients receiving platelet-rich plasma injections or no treatment. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with rotator cuff repair who receive platelet-rich plasma injections plus orthopedic surgery, the evidence includes multiple RCTs and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, morbid events, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. Although systematic reviews consistently found significant reductions in pain with platelet-rich plasma at 12 months, important study conduct and relevance weaknesses limit interpretation of these findings. While the systematic reviews and meta-analyses generally failed to show a statistically and/or clinically significant impact on other outcomes, 1 meta-analysis found a statistically significant reduction in retear rate in a subgroup analysis of 4 RCTs that were at least 24 months in duration. The findings of a subsequently published 10-year follow-up of a small RCT failed to demonstrate the superiority of platelet-rich plasma over control for clinical and radiologic outcomes. The variability in platelet-rich plasma preparation techniques and platelet-rich plasma administration limits the generalizability of the available evidence. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals undergoing spinal fusion who receive platelet-rich plasma injections plus orthopedic surgery, the evidence includes a single small RCT and a few observational studies. Relevant outcomes include symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, morbid events, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. Studies have generally failed to show a statistically and/or clinically significant impact on symptoms (ie, pain). The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with subacromial decompression surgery who receive platelet-rich plasma injections plus orthopedic surgery, the evidence includes a small RCT. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, morbid events, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. A single small RCT failed to show a reduction in self-assessed or physician-assessed spinal instability scores with platelet-rich plasma injections. However, subjective pain, use of pain medications, and objective measures of range of motion showed clinically significant improvements with platelet-rich plasma. Larger trials are required to confirm these benefits. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with total knee arthroplasty who receive platelet-rich plasma injections plus orthopedic surgery, the evidence includes a systematic review. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, morbid events, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. The review showed no significant differences between the platelet-rich plasma and untreated control groups in range of motion, functional outcomes, and long-term pain. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons

In 2021, the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) guidelines for the management of osteoarthritis of the knee made the following recommendation.⁶³

- "Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) may reduce pain and improve function in patients with symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee. (Strength of Recommendation: Limited)" The variability of study findings was noted to have contributed to the low strength of recommendation rating.

did not recommend for or against growth factor injections and/or platelet-rich plasma for patients with symptomatic osteoarthritis of the knee.[American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Managemen.... g.pdf. Accessed March 2, 2022.] A recommendation of inconclusive was based on a single low-quality study and conflicting findings. The AAOS recommendation was based on 3 studies published before May 2012.

In 2017, the AAOS issued evidence-based guidelines on the management of osteoarthritis of the hip.⁶⁴ In the section on intra-articular injectables, the guidelines stated there is strong evidence supporting the use of intra-articular corticosteroids to improve function and reduce pain in the short term for patients with osteoarthritis of the hip. There was also strong evidence that the use of intra-articular hyaluronic acid does not perform better than placebo in improving function, stiffness, and pain in patients with hip osteoarthritis. The guidelines also noted that there were no high-quality studies comparing platelet-rich plasma with placebo for the treatment of osteoarthritis of the hip.

In 2019, the AAOS issued evidence-based guidelines on the management of rotator cuff injuries.⁶⁵ The guideline noted the following recommendations related to the use of platelet-rich plasma in this setting:

- "There is limited evidence supporting the routine use of platelet-rich plasma for the treatment of cuff tendinopathy or partial tears (Strength of Recommendation: Limited)." The variability of study findings was noted to have contributed to the low strength of recommendation rating.
- "Strong evidence does not support biological augmentation of rotator cuff repair with platelet-derived products on improving patient reported outcomes; however, limited evidence supports the use of liquid platelet rich plasma in the context of decreasing re-tear rates (Strength of Recommendation: Strong)."
- "In the absence of reliable evidence, it is the consensus of the work group that we do not recommend the routine use of platelet rich plasma in the non-operative management of full-thickness rotator cuff tears. (Strength of Recommendation: Consensus)"

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

In 2013, the NICE issued guidance on the use of autologous blood injection for tendinopathy.⁶⁶ The NICE concluded that the current evidence on the safety and efficacy of autologous blood injection for tendinopathy was "inadequate" in quantity and quality.

In 2013, the NICE also issued guidance on the use of autologous blood injection (with or without techniques for producing platelet-rich plasma) for plantar fasciitis.⁶⁷ The NICE concluded that the evidence on autologous blood injection for plantar fasciitis raised no major safety concerns but that the evidence on efficacy was "inadequate in quantity and quality."

In 2019, the NICE issued guidance on the use of platelet-rich plasma for osteoarthritis of the knee.⁶⁸ The NICE concluded that current evidence on platelet-rich plasma injections for osteoarthritis of the knee raised "no major safety concerns"; however, the "evidence on efficacy is limited in quality." Therefore, NICE recommended that "this procedure should only be used with special arrangements for clinical governance, consent, and audit or research."

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

REFERENCES

1. Crovetti G, Martinelli G, Issi M, et al. Platelet gel for healing cutaneous chronic wounds. *Transfus Apher Sci.* Apr 2004; 30(2): 145-51. PMID 15062754
2. Eppley BL, Woodell JE, Higgins J. Platelet quantification and growth factor analysis from platelet-rich plasma: implications for wound healing. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* Nov 2004; 114(6): 1502-8. PMID 15509939
3. Kevy SV, Jacobson MS. Comparison of methods for point of care preparation of autologous platelet gel. *J Extra Corpor Technol.* Mar 2004; 36(1): 28-35. PMID 15095838
4. Castillo TN, Pouliot MA, Kim HJ, et al. Comparison of growth factor and platelet concentration from commercial platelet-rich plasma separation systems. *Am J Sports Med.* Feb 2011; 39(2): 266-71. PMID 21051428
5. Mazzucco L, Balbo V, Cattana E, et al. Not every PRP-gel is born equal. Evaluation of growth factor availability for tissues through four PRP-gel preparations: Fibrinet, RegenPRP-Kit, Plateltex and one manual procedure. *Vox Sang.* Aug 2009; 97(2): 110-8. PMID 19392780
6. Hsu WK, Mishra A, Rodeo SR, et al. Platelet-rich plasma in orthopaedic applications: evidence-based recommendations for treatment. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* Dec 2013; 21(12): 739-48. PMID 24292930
7. Dai W, Yan W, Leng X, et al. Efficacy of Platelet-Rich Plasma Versus Placebo in the Treatment of Tendinopathy: A Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Clin J Sport Med.* Aug 02 2021. PMID 34342296
8. Muthu S, Patel S, Gobbur A, et al. Platelet-rich plasma therapy ensures pain reduction in the management of lateral epicondylitis - a PRISMA-compliant network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Expert Opin Biol Ther.* Jan 31 2022: 1-12. PMID 35078375
9. Johal H, Khan M, Yung SP, et al. Impact of Platelet-Rich Plasma Use on Pain in Orthopaedic Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Sports Health.* Jul/Aug 2019; 11(4): 355-366. PMID 31136726
10. Miller LE, Parrish WR, Roides B, et al. Efficacy of platelet-rich plasma injections for symptomatic tendinopathy: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised injection-controlled trials. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med.* 2017; 3(1): e000237. PMID 29177072
11. Tsikopoulos K, Tsikopoulos I, Simeonidis E, et al. The clinical impact of platelet-rich plasma on tendinopathy compared to placebo or dry needling injections: A meta-analysis. *Phys Ther Sport.* Jan 2016; 17: 87-94. PMID 26621224
12. Balasubramaniam U, Dissanayake R, Annabell L. Efficacy of platelet-rich plasma injections in pain associated with chronic tendinopathy: A systematic review. *Phys Sportsmed.* Jul 2015; 43(3): 253-61. PMID 25599747
13. Andia I, Latorre PM, Gomez MC, et al. Platelet-rich plasma in the conservative treatment of painful tendinopathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled studies. *Br Med Bull.* Jun 2014; 110(1): 99-115. PMID 24795364
14. Gupta PK, Acharya A, Khanna V, et al. PRP versus steroids in a deadlock for efficacy: long-term stability versus short-term intensity-results from a randomised trial. *Musculoskelet Surg.* Dec 2020; 104(3): 285-294. PMID 31448392
15. Scott A, LaPrade RF, Harmon KG, et al. Platelet-Rich Plasma for Patellar Tendinopathy: A Randomized Controlled Trial of Leukocyte-Rich PRP or Leukocyte-Poor PRP Versus Saline. *Am J Sports Med.* Jun 2019; 47(7): 1654-1661. PMID 31038979
16. Fitzpatrick J, Bulsara MK, O'Donnell J, et al. Leucocyte-Rich Platelet-Rich Plasma Treatment of Gluteus Medius and Minimus Tendinopathy: A Double-Blind Randomized Controlled Trial With 2-Year Follow-up. *Am J Sports Med.* Apr 2019; 47(5): 1130-1137. PMID 30840831
17. Martin JI, Atilano L, Bully P, et al. Needle tenotomy with PRP versus lidocaine in epicondylopathy: clinical and ultrasonographic outcomes over twenty months. *Skeletal Radiol.* Sep 2019; 48(9): 1399-1409. PMID 30826853
18. Kearney RS, Ji C, Warwick J, et al. Effect of Platelet-Rich Plasma Injection vs Sham Injection on Tendon Dysfunction in Patients With Chronic Midportion Achilles Tendinopathy: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA.* Jul 13 2021; 326(2): 137-144. PMID 34255009
19. Franceschi F, Papalia R, Franceschetti E, et al. Platelet-rich plasma injections for chronic plantar fasciopathy: a systematic review. *Br Med Bull.* Dec 2014; 112(1): 83-95. PMID 25239050
20. Monto RR. Platelet-rich plasma efficacy versus corticosteroid injection treatment for chronic severe plantar fasciitis. *Foot Ankle Int.* Apr 2014; 35(4): 313-8. PMID 24419823
21. Peerbooms JC, Lodder P, den Oudsten BL, et al. Positive Effect of Platelet-Rich Plasma on Pain in Plantar Fasciitis: A Double-Blind Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial. *Am J Sports Med.* Nov 2019; 47(13): 3238-3246. PMID 31603721
22. Shetty SH, Dhond A, Arora M, et al. Platelet-Rich Plasma Has Better Long-Term Results Than Corticosteroids or Placebo for Chronic Plantar Fasciitis: Randomized Control Trial. *J Foot Ankle Surg.* Jan 2019; 58(1): 42-46. PMID 30448183
23. Johnson-Lynn S, Cooney A, Ferguson D, et al. A Feasibility Study Comparing Platelet-Rich Plasma Injection With Saline for the Treatment of Plantar Fasciitis Using a Prospective, Randomized Trial Design. *Foot Ankle Spec.* Apr 2019; 12(2): 153-158. PMID 29779399
24. Tabrizi A, Dindarian S, Mohammadi S. The Effect of Corticosteroid Local Injection Versus Platelet-Rich Plasma for the Treatment of Plantar Fasciitis in Obese Patients: A Single-Blind, Randomized Clinical Trial. *J Foot Ankle Surg.* Jan 2020; 59(1): 64-68. PMID 31882151
25. Mei-Dan O, Carmont MR, Laver L, et al. Platelet-rich plasma or hyaluronate in the management of osteochondral lesions of the talus. *Am J Sports Med.* Mar 2012; 40(3): 534-41. PMID 22253252
26. Anil U, Markus DH, Hurley ET, et al. The efficacy of intra-articular injections in the treatment of knee osteoarthritis: A network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Knee.* Oct 2021; 32: 173-182. PMID 34500430

27. Trams E, Kulinski K, Kozar-Kaminska K, et al. The Clinical Use of Platelet-Rich Plasma in Knee Disorders and Surgery-A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Life (Basel)*. Jun 25 2020; 10(6). PMID 32630404
28. Laudy AB, Bakker EW, Rekers M, et al. Efficacy of platelet-rich plasma injections in osteoarthritis of the knee: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med*. May 2015; 49(10): 657-72. PMID 25416198
29. Chang KV, Hung CY, Aliwarga F, et al. Comparative effectiveness of platelet-rich plasma injections for treating knee joint cartilage degenerative pathology: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. Mar 2014; 95(3): 562-75. PMID 24291594
30. Meheux CJ, McCulloch PC, Lintner DM, et al. Efficacy of Intra-articular Platelet-Rich Plasma Injections in Knee Osteoarthritis: A Systematic Review. *Arthroscopy*. Mar 2016; 32(3): 495-505. PMID 26432430
31. Lai LP, Stitik TP, Foye PM, et al. Use of Platelet-Rich Plasma in Intra-Articular Knee Injections for Osteoarthritis: A Systematic Review. *PM R*. Jun 2015; 7(6): 637-48. PMID 25687110
32. Cole BJ, Karas V, Hussey K, et al. Hyaluronic Acid Versus Platelet-Rich Plasma: A Prospective, Double-Blind Randomized Controlled Trial Comparing Clinical Outcomes and Effects on Intra-articular Biology for the Treatment of Knee Osteoarthritis. *Am J Sports Med*. Feb 2017; 45(2): 339-346. PMID 28146403
33. Duymus TM, Mutlu S, Dernek B, et al. Choice of intra-articular injection in treatment of knee osteoarthritis: platelet-rich plasma, hyaluronic acid or ozone options. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. Feb 2017; 25(2): 485-492. PMID 27056686
34. Kanchanatawan W, Arirachakaran A, Chaijenkij K, et al. Short-term outcomes of platelet-rich plasma injection for treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. May 2016; 24(5): 1665-77. PMID 26387122
35. Xu Z, Luo J, Huang X, et al. Efficacy of Platelet-Rich Plasma in Pain and Self-Report Function in Knee Osteoarthritis: A Best-Evidence Synthesis. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. Nov 2017; 96(11): 793-800. PMID 28398969
36. Belk JW, Houck DA, Littlefield CP, et al. Platelet-Rich Plasma Versus Hyaluronic Acid for Hip Osteoarthritis Yields Similarly Beneficial Short-Term Clinical Outcomes: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Level I and II Randomized Controlled Trials. *Arthroscopy*. Nov 14 2021. PMID 34785294
37. Gazendam A, Ekhtiari S, Bozzo A, et al. Intra-articular saline injection is as effective as corticosteroids, platelet-rich plasma and hyaluronic acid for hip osteoarthritis pain: a systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *Br J Sports Med*. Mar 2021; 55(5): 256-261. PMID 32829298
38. Sdeek M, Sabry D, El-Sdeek H, et al. Intra-articular injection of Platelet rich plasma versus Hyaluronic acid for moderate knee osteoarthritis. A prospective, double-blind randomized controlled trial on 189 patients with follow-up for three years. *Acta Orthop Belg*. Dec 2021; 87(4): 729-734. PMID 35172440
39. Reyes-Sosa R, Lugo-Radillo A, Cruz-Santiago L, et al. Clinical comparison of platelet-rich plasma injection and daily celecoxib administration in the treatment of early knee osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. *J Appl Biomed*. 2020;18(2-3):41-45. doi: 10.32725/jab.2020.012.
40. Elksnins-Finogejevs A, Vidal L, Peredistijs A. Intra-articular platelet-rich plasma vs corticosteroids in the treatment of moderate knee osteoarthritis: a single-center prospective randomized controlled study with a 1-year follow up. *J Orthop Surg Res*. Jul 10 2020; 15(1): 257. PMID 32650801
41. Dallari D, Stagni C, Rani N, et al. Ultrasound-Guided Injection of Platelet-Rich Plasma and Hyaluronic Acid, Separately and in Combination, for Hip Osteoarthritis: A Randomized Controlled Study. *Am J Sports Med*. Mar 2016; 44(3): 664-71. PMID 26797697
42. Trueba Vasavilbaso C, Rosas Bello CD, Medina Lopez E, et al. Benefits of different postoperative treatments in patients undergoing knee arthroscopic debridement. *Open Access Rheumatol*. 2017; 9: 171-179. PMID 29026341
43. Dallari D, Savarino L, Stagni C, et al. Enhanced tibial osteotomy healing with use of bone grafts supplemented with platelet gel or platelet gel and bone marrow stromal cells. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Nov 2007; 89(11): 2413-20. PMID 17974883
44. Moraes VY, Lenza M, Tamaoki MJ, et al. Platelet-rich therapies for musculoskeletal soft tissue injuries. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Dec 23 2013; (12): CD010071. PMID 24363098
45. Figueroa D, Figueroa F, Calvo R, et al. Platelet-rich plasma use in anterior cruciate ligament surgery: systematic review of the literature. *Arthroscopy*. May 2015; 31(5): 981-8. PMID 25595696
46. Nin JR, Gasque GM, Azcarate AV, et al. Has platelet-rich plasma any role in anterior cruciate ligament allograft healing?. *Arthroscopy*. Nov 2009; 25(11): 1206-13. PMID 19896041
47. Bailey L, Weldon M, Kleihege J, et al. Platelet-Rich Plasma Augmentation of Meniscal Repair in the Setting of Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction. *Am J Sports Med*. Oct 2021; 49(12): 3287-3292. PMID 34477016
48. Griffin XL, Achten J, Parsons N, et al. Platelet-rich therapy in the treatment of patients with hip fractures: a single centre, parallel group, participant-blinded, randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Open*. Jun 25 2013; 3(6). PMID 23801709
49. Griffin XL, Wallace D, Parsons N, et al. Platelet rich therapies for long bone healing in adults. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Jul 11 2012; (7): CD009496. PMID 22786528
50. Calori GM, Tagliabue L, Gala L, et al. Application of rhBMP-7 and platelet-rich plasma in the treatment of long bone non-unions: a prospective randomised clinical study on 120 patients. *Injury*. Dec 2008; 39(12): 1391-402. PMID 19027898
51. Samuel G, Menon J, Thimmaiah S, et al. Role of isolated percutaneous autologous platelet concentrate in delayed union of long bones. *Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol*. Jul 2018; 28(5): 985-990. PMID 29167980
52. Zhao JG, Zhao L, Jiang YX, et al. Platelet-rich plasma in arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Arthroscopy*. Jan 2015; 31(1): 125-35. PMID 25278352
53. Yang J, Sun Y, Xu P, et al. Can patients get better clinical outcomes by using PRP in rotator cuff repair: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*. Nov 2016; 56(11): 1359-1367. PMID 26473444
54. Chen X, Jones IA, Park C, et al. The Efficacy of Platelet-Rich Plasma on Tendon and Ligament Healing: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis With Bias Assessment. *Am J Sports Med*. Jul 2018; 46(8): 2020-2032. PMID 29268037

55. Chen X, Jones IA, Togashi R, et al. Use of Platelet-Rich Plasma for the Improvement of Pain and Function in Rotator Cuff Tears: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis With Bias Assessment. *Am J Sports Med.* Jul 2020; 48(8): 2028-2041. PMID 31743037
56. Li Y, Li T, Li J, et al. Platelet-Rich Plasma Has Better Results for Retear Rate, Pain, and Outcome Than Platelet-Rich Fibrin After Rotator Cuff Repair: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Arthroscopy.* Feb 2022; 38(2): 539-550. PMID 34052384
57. Fu CJ, Sun JB, Bi ZG, et al. Evaluation of platelet-rich plasma and fibrin matrix to assist in healing and repair of rotator cuff injuries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Rehabil.* Feb 2017; 31(2): 158-172. PMID 26928856
58. Randelli PS, Stoppani CA, Santarsiero G, et al. Platelet-Rich Plasma in Arthroscopic Rotator Cuff Repair: Clinical and Radiological Results of a Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial Study at 10-Year Follow-Up. *Arthroscopy.* Jan 2022; 38(1): 51-61. PMID 34052372
59. Kubota G, Kamoda H, Orita S, et al. Platelet-rich plasma enhances bone union in posterolateral lumbar fusion: A prospective randomized controlled trial. *Spine J.* Feb 2019; 19(2): e34-e40. PMID 28735763
60. Carreon LY, Glassman SD, Anekstein Y, et al. Platelet gel (AGF) fails to increase fusion rates in instrumented posterolateral fusions. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* May 01 2005; 30(9): E243-6; discussion E247. PMID 15864142
61. Tsai CH, Hsu HC, Chen YJ, et al. Using the growth factors-enriched platelet glue in spinal fusion and its efficiency. *J Spinal Disord Tech.* Jun 2009; 22(4): 246-50. PMID 19494743
62. Everts PA, Devilee RJ, Brown Mahoney C, et al. Exogenous application of platelet-leukocyte gel during open subacromial decompression contributes to improved patient outcome. A prospective randomized double-blind study. *Eur Surg Res.* 2008; 40(2): 203-10. PMID 17998780
63. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Management of Osteoarthritis of the Knee (Non-Arthroplasty). 2021; <https://www.aaos.org/globalassets/quality-and-practice-resources/osteoarthritis-of-the-knee/oak3cpg.pdf>. Accessed March 2, 2022.
64. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Management of Osteoarthritis of the Hip: Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. 2017; https://www.aaos.org/globalassets/quality-and-practice-resources/osteoarthritis-of-the-hip/oa-hip-cpg_6-11-19.pdf. Accessed March 1, 2022.
65. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. Management of Rotator Cuff Injuries Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guideline. <https://www.orthoguidelines.org/topic?id=1027>. Published March 11, 2019. Accessed March 3, 2022.
66. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Autologous blood injection for tendinopathy [IPG438]. 2013; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg438..> Accessed March 2, 2022.
67. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Autologous blood injection for plantar fasciitis [IPG437]. 2013; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg437>. Accessed March 1, 2022.
68. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Platelet-rich plasma injections for knee osteoarthritis [IPG637]. 2019; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg637>. Accessed March 3, 2022.

POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

Date	Action	Description
September 2015	New policy	Policy created on the orthopedic applications of platelet-rich plasma that were previously described in Policy No. 2.01.16
June 2018	Archive policy	Policy updated with literature review through February 5, 2018; references 7, 21, 26, 33, 37, 40, and 46 added. Policy statement unchanged.
June 2020	Reactive policy	Policy updated with literature review through February 25, 2020; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
June 2021	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through March 5, 2021; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
June 2022	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through February 25, 2022; references added. Policy statements unchanged.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.