



## FEP Medical Policy Manual

### FEP 2.01.100 Dry Needling of Trigger Points for Myofascial Pain

**Effective Policy Date: July 1, 2022**

**Original Policy Date: December 2019**

**Related Policies:**

None

## Dry Needling of Trigger Points for Myofascial Pain

### Description

Trigger points are discrete, focal, hyperirritable spots within a taut band of skeletal muscle fibers that produce local and/or referred pain when stimulated. Dry needling refers to a procedure whereby a fine needle is inserted into the trigger point to induce a twitch response and relieve the pain.

### OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to evaluate whether dry needling of myofascial trigger points improves the net health outcome in patients with myofascial pain.

### POLICY STATEMENT

Dry needling of trigger points for the treatment of myofascial pain is considered **investigational**.

### POLICY GUIDELINES

None

## BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

## FDA REGULATORY STATUS

Dry needling is considered a procedure and, as such, is not subject to regulation by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

## RATIONALE

### Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have myofascial trigger points associated with neck and/or shoulder pain who receive dry needling of trigger points, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. A systematic review of techniques to treat myofascial pain included 15 studies of dry needling for neck or shoulder pain published through 2017. Studies had multiple methodological limitations, and the reviewers concluded that the evidence for dry needling was not greater than placebo. In more recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses, dry needling was not associated with clinically important reductions in shoulder or neck pain when compared to other physical therapy modalities. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have myofascial trigger points associated with plantar heel pain who receive dry needling of trigger points, the evidence includes a systematic review of randomized trials. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The systematic review included 6 randomized trials enrolling 395 patients and found no overall difference in pain intensity in those treated with dry needling compared with active control, placebo, or no intervention. However, pain intensity after at least 3 sessions, long-term pain intensity, and pain-related disability were improved. The systematic review rated the evidence as low to moderate. The evidence for dry needling in patients with plantar heel pain is limited by small patient populations and lack of blinding; therefore, additional RCTs are needed to strengthen the evidence base. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have myofascial trigger points associated with temporomandibular myofascial pain who receive dry needling of trigger points, the evidence includes an RCT. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. One double-blind, sham-controlled randomized trial was identified; it found that 1 week after completing the intervention, there were no statistically significant differences between groups in pain scores or function (unassisted jaw opening without pain). There was a significantly higher pain pressure threshold in the treatment group. Additional RCTs, especially those with a sham-control group, are needed. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

### Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

#### American Academy of Orthopaedic Physical Therapists

In 2009, the American Academy of Orthopaedic Physical Therapists issued a statement that dry needling fell within the scope of physical therapist practice.<sup>15</sup> In support of this position, the Academy stated that "dry needling is a neurophysiological evidence-based treatment technique that requires effective manual assessment of the neuromuscular system.... Research supports that dry needling improves pain control, reduces muscle tension, normalizes biochemical and electrical dysfunction of motor endplates, and facilitates an accelerated return to active rehabilitation."

## American Physical Therapy Association

In 2012, an educational resource paper by the American Physical Therapy Association defined dry needling as "an invasive technique used by physical therapists (where allowed by state law) to treat myofascial pain that uses a dry needle, without medication or injection, which is inserted into areas of the muscle known as trigger points."<sup>16</sup>,

## U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

## Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

## REFERENCES

1. American Physical Therapy Association (APTA). Dry Needling. n.d.; <https://www.apta.org/patient-care/interventions/dry-needling>. Accessed February 11, 2022.
2. Alvarez DJ, Rockwell PG. Trigger points: diagnosis and management. *Am Fam Physician*. Feb 15 2002; 65(4): 653-60. PMID 11871683
3. Charles D, Hudgins T, MacNaughton J, et al. A systematic review of manual therapy techniques, dry cupping and dry needling in the reduction of myofascial pain and myofascial trigger points. *J Bodyw Mov Ther*. Jul 2019; 23(3): 539-546. PMID 31563367
4. Navarro-Santana MJ, Sanchez-Infante J, Fernandez-de-Las-Penas C, et al. Effectiveness of Dry Needling for Myofascial Trigger Points Associated with Neck Pain Symptoms: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Clin Med*. Oct 14 2020; 9(10). PMID 33066556
5. Navarro-Santana MJ, Gomez-Chiguano GF, Cleland JA, et al. Effects of Trigger Point Dry Needling for Nontraumatic Shoulder Pain of Musculoskeletal Origin: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Phys Ther*. Feb 04 2021; 101(2). PMID 33340405
6. Llurda-Almuzara L, Labata-Lezaun N, Meca-Rivera T, et al. Is Dry Needling Effective for the Management of Plantar Heel Pain or Plantar Fasciitis? An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Pain Med*. Jul 25 2021; 22(7): 1630-1641. PMID 33760098
7. Bagcier F, Yilmaz N. The Impact of Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy and Dry Needling Combination on Pain and Functionality in the Patients Diagnosed with Plantar Fasciitis. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. Jul 2020; 59(4): 689-693. PMID 32340838
8. Cotchett MP, Munteanu SE, Landorf KB. Effectiveness of trigger point dry needling for plantar heel pain: a randomized controlled trial. *Phys Ther*. Aug 2014; 94(8): 1083-94. PMID 24700136
9. Eftekharsadat B, Babaei-Ghazani A, Zeinolabedinzadeh V. Dry needling in patients with chronic heel pain due to plantar fasciitis: A single-blinded randomized clinical trial. *Med J Islam Repub Iran*. 2016; 30: 401. PMID 27683642
10. Rahbar M, Kargar A, Eslamian F, Dolatkhah N. Comparing the efficacy of dry needling and extracorporeal shock wave therapy in treatment of plantar fasciitis. *J Mazandaran Univ Med Sci*. 2018;28(164):53-62.
11. Rastegar S, Baradaran Mahdavi S, Hoseinzadeh B, et al. Comparison of dry needling and steroid injection in the treatment of plantar fasciitis: a single-blind randomized clinical trial. *Int Orthop*. Jan 2018; 42(1): 109-116. PMID 29119296
12. Uygur E, Aktas B, Eceviz E, et al. Preliminary Report on the Role of Dry Needling Versus Corticosteroid Injection, an Effective Treatment Method for Plantar Fasciitis: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Foot Ankle Surg*. Mar 2019; 58(2): 301-305. PMID 30850099
13. Diracoglu D, Vural M, Karan A, et al. Effectiveness of dry needling for the treatment of temporomandibular myofascial pain: a double-blind, randomized, placebo controlled study. *J Back Musculoskelet Rehabil*. 2012; 25(4): 285-90. PMID 23220812
14. Brady S, McEvoy J, Dommerholt J, et al. Adverse events following trigger point dry needling: a prospective survey of chartered physiotherapists. *J Man Manip Ther*. Aug 2014; 22(3): 134-40. PMID 25125935
15. American Academy of Orthopaedic Physical Therapists. AAOMPT position statement on dry needling. 2009; [http://aaompt.org/Main/About\\_Us/Position\\_Statements/Main/About\\_Us/Position\\_Statements.aspx?hkey=03f5a333-3-f28d-4715-b355-cb25fa9bac2c](http://aaompt.org/Main/About_Us/Position_Statements/Main/About_Us/Position_Statements.aspx?hkey=03f5a333-3-f28d-4715-b355-cb25fa9bac2c). Accessed February 11, 2022.
16. American Physical Therapy Association (APTA). Physical Therapists and the Performance of Dry Needling. 2012; <https://www.mptalliance.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/APTA-PTs-and-Dry-Needling-Resource-Paper.pdf>. Accessed February 11, 2022.

**POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:**

Date	Action	Description
December 2019	New policy	Policy created with literature review through February 5, 2019. Dry needling of trigger points for the treatment of myofascial pain is considered investigational.
June 2020	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through February 24, 2020; reference added. Policy statement unchanged. Title changed to "Dry Needling of Trigger Points for Myofascial Pain."
June 2021	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through February 18, 2021; references added; some references removed. Policy statement unchanged.
June 2022	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through February 11, 2022; references added. Policy statement unchanged.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.