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Genetic Testing for Epilepsy

Description

Epilepsy is a disorder characterized by unprovoked seizures. It is a heterogeneous condition that encompasses many types of seizures, and that varies in age of onset and severity. Many genetic epilepsies are thought to have a complex, multifactorial genetic basis. There are also numerous rare epileptic syndromes associated with global developmental delay and/or cognitive impairment that occur in infancy, or early childhood and that may be caused by a single-gene pathogenic variants. Genetic testing is commercially available for a large number of genes that may be related to epilepsy.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to determine whether genetic testing improves the net health outcome in individuals with infantile- or early-childhood-onset epileptic encephalopathy or with presumed genetic epilepsy.

POLICY STATEMENT

Genetic testing for genes associated with infantile- and early-childhood onset epilepsy syndromes in individuals with infantile- and early-childhood-onset epilepsy syndromes in which epilepsy is the core clinical symptom (see Policy Guidelines section) may be considered medically necessary if positive test results may:

- Lead to changes in medication management; AND/OR
- Lead to changes in diagnostic testing such that alternative potentially invasive tests are avoided; AND/OR
- Lead to changes in reproductive decision making.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.
Genetic testing for epilepsy is considered investigational for all other situations.

POLICY GUIDELINES

Policy Scope

Included Tests and Conditions

This policy addresses testing for epilepsy that might have a genetic etiology. The International League Against Epilepsy has classified epilepsy as having underlying genetic cause or etiology when, as best understood, the epilepsy is the direct result of a known or presumed genetic defect and seizures are the core symptom of the disorder and for which there is no structural or metabolic defect predisposing to epilepsy (Berg et al, 2010).

This policy also addresses the rare epilepsy syndromes that present in infancy or early childhood, in which epilepsy is the core clinical symptom (eg, Dravet syndrome, early infantile epileptic encephalopathy, generalized epilepsy with febrile seizures plus, epilepsy and intellectual disability limited to females, nocturnal frontal lobe epilepsy). Other clinical manifestations may be present in these syndromes but are generally secondary to the epilepsy itself.

Excluded Tests and Conditions

This policy does not address testing for genetic syndromes that have a wider range of symptomatology, of which seizures may be one, such as the neurocutaneous disorders (eg, neurofibromatosis, tuberous sclerosis) or genetic syndromes associated with cerebral malformations or abnormal cortical development, or metabolic or mitochondrial disorders. Genetic testing for these syndromes may be specifically addressed in other evidence reviews (see Related Policies links).

Testing that is limited to genotyping of CYP450 genes is addressed separately (evidence review 2.04.38).

This policy does not address the use of genotyping for the HLA-B*1502 allelic variant in patients of Asian ancestry prior to considering drug treatment with carbamazepine due to risks of severe dermatologic reactions. This testing is recommended by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) labeling for carbamazepine (Food and Drug Administration, 2014).

This policy also does not address the testing for variants in the mitochondrial DNA polymerase gamma (POLG) gene in patients with clinically suspected mitochondrial disorders prior to initiation of therapy with valproate. Valproate's label contains a black box warning related to increased risk of acute liver failure associated with the use of valproate in patients with POLG gene-related hereditary neurometabolic syndromes. FDA labeling states that valproate "is contraindicated in patients known to have mitochondrial disorders caused by POLG mutations and children under two years of age who are clinically suspected of having a POLG-related disorder" (Food and Drug Administration, 2015).

Epilepsy Syndrome Definitions and Testing Strategy

The policy statement refers to epilepsy syndromes that present in infancy or early childhood, are severe, and are characterized by epilepsy as the primary manifestation, without associated metabolic or brain structural abnormalities. As defined by the International League Against Epilepsy, these include epileptic encephalopathies, which are electroclinical syndrome associated with a high probability of encephalopathic features that present or worsen after the onset of epilepsy. Other clinical manifestations, including developmental delay and/or intellectual disability, may be present secondary to the epilepsy itself. Specific clinical syndromes based on the International League Against Epilepsy classification include:

- Dravet syndrome (also known as severe myoclonic epilepsy in infancy or polymorphic myoclonic epilepsy in infancy)
- EFMR syndrome (epilepsy limited to females with mental retardation)
- Epileptic encephalopathy with continuous spike-and-wave during sleep
- GEFS+ syndrome (generalized epilepsies with febrile seizures plus)
- Ohtahara syndrome (also known as early infantile epileptic encephalopathy with burst suppression pattern)
- Landau-Kleffner syndrome
- West syndrome
- Glucose transporter type 1 deficiency syndrome.
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Variants in a large number of genes have been associated with early-onset epilepsies. Some of them are summarized in Table PG1.

**Table PG1. Single Genes Associated With Epileptic Syndromes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syndrome</th>
<th>Associated Genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dravet syndrome</td>
<td>SCN1A, SCN9A, GABRA1, STXBP1, PCDH19, SCN1B, CHD2, HCN1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy limited to females with mental retardation</td>
<td>PCDH19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epileptic encephalopathy with continuous spike-and-wave during sleep</td>
<td>GRIN2A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetic epilepsy with febrile seizures plus</td>
<td>SCN1A, SCN9A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early infantile epileptic encephalopathy with suppression burst (Ohtahara syndrome)</td>
<td>KCNQ2, SLC25A22, STXBP1, CDKL5, ARX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landau-Kleffner syndrome</td>
<td>GRIN2A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West syndrome</td>
<td>ARX, TSC1, TSC2, CDKL5, ALG13, MAGI2, STXBP1, SCN1A, SCN2A, GABA, GABRB3, DNM1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose transporter type 1 deficiency syndrome</td>
<td>SLC2A1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Application of the Policy Statement**

Although there is no standard definition of epileptic encephalopathies, they are generally characterized by at least some of the following: (1) onset in early childhood (often in infancy); (2) refractory to therapy; (3) associated with developmental delay or regression; and (4) severe electroencephalogram (EEG) abnormalities. There is a challenge in defining the population appropriate for testing given that specific epileptic syndromes may be associated with different EEG abnormalities, which may change over time, and patients may present with severe seizures prior to the onset or recognition of developmental delay or regression. However, for this policy, the medically necessary policy statement would apply for patients with:

- Onset of seizures in early childhood (i.e., before the age of 5 years); AND
- Clinically severe seizures that affect daily functioning and/or interictal EEG abnormalities; AND
- No other clinical syndrome that would potentially better explain the patient’s symptoms.

**Testing Strategy**

There is clinical and genetic overlap for many of the electroclinical syndromes previously discussed. If there is suspicion for a specific syndrome based on history, EEG findings, and other test results, testing should begin with targeted variant testing for the candidate gene most likely to be involved, followed by sequential testing for other candidate genes. In particular, if an SCN1A-associated syndrome is suspected (Dravet syndrome, GEFS+), molecular genetic testing of SCN1A with sequence analysis of the SCN1A coding region, followed by deletion and duplication analysis if a pathogenic variant is not identified, should be obtained.

Given the genetic heterogeneity of early-onset epilepsy syndromes, a testing strategy that uses a multigene covered panel may be considered reasonable. Criteria for use of whole exome sequencing are outlined in evidence review 2.04.102 (whole exome and
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whole genome sequencing for diagnosis of genetic disorders).

Genetics Nomenclature Update

The Human Genome Variation Society nomenclature is used to report information on variants found in DNA and serves as an international standard in DNA diagnostics. It is being implemented for genetic testing medical evidence review updates starting in 2017 (see Table PG2). The Society's nomenclature is recommended by the Human Variome Project, the Human Genome Organization, and by the Human Genome Variation Society itself.

The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology standards and guidelines for interpretation of sequence variants represent expert opinion from both organizations, in addition to the College of American Pathologists. These recommendations primarily apply to genetic tests used in clinical laboratories, including genotyping, single genes, panels, exomes, and genomes. Table PG3 shows the recommended standard terminology—"pathogenic," "likely pathogenic," "uncertain significance," "likely benign," and "benign"—to describe variants identified that cause Mendelian disorders.

Table PG2. Nomenclature to Report on Variants Found in DNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous</th>
<th>Updated</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mutation</td>
<td>Disease-associated variant</td>
<td>Disease-associated change in the DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variant</td>
<td>Change in the DNA sequence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial variant</td>
<td>Disease-associated variant identified in a proband for use in subsequent targeted genetic testing in first-degree relatives</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table PG3. ACMG-AMP Standards and Guidelines for Variant Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variant Classification</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pathogenic</td>
<td>Disease-causing change in the DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely pathogenic</td>
<td>Likely disease-causing change in the DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variant of uncertain significance</td>
<td>Change in DNA sequence with uncertain effects on disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely benign</td>
<td>Likely benign change in the DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>Benign change in the DNA sequence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics; AMP: Association for Molecular Pathology.

Genetic Counseling

Experts recommend formal genetic counseling for patients who are at risk for inherited disorders and who wish to undergo genetic testing. Interpreting the results of genetic tests and understanding risk factors can be difficult for some patients; genetic counseling helps individuals understand the impact of genetic testing, including the possible effects the test results could have on the individual or their family members. It should be noted that genetic counseling may alter the utilization of genetic testing substantially and may
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reduce inappropriate testing; further, genetic counseling should be performed by an individual with experience and expertise in genetic medicine and genetic testing methods.

**BENEFIT APPLICATION**

Screening (other than the preventive services listed in the brochure) is not covered. Please see Section 6 General exclusions.

Benefits are available for specialized diagnostic genetic testing when it is medically necessary to diagnose and/or manage a patient’s existing medical condition. Benefits are not provided for genetic panels when some or all of the tests included in the panel are not covered, are experimental or investigational, or are not medically necessary.

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

**FDA REGULATORY STATUS**

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Commercially available genetic tests for epilepsy are available under the auspices of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

**RATIONALE**

**Summary of Evidence**

For individuals who have infantile- or early-childhood-onset epileptic encephalopathy who receive testing for genes associated with epileptic encephalopathies, the evidence includes prospective and retrospective cohort studies describing the testing yield. Relevant outcomes are test validity, symptoms, quality of life, functional outcomes, medication use, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. For Dravet syndrome, which appears to have the largest body of associated literature, the sensitivity of testing for SCN1A disease-associated variants is high (80%). For other early-onset epileptic encephalopathies, the true clinical sensitivity and specificity of testing are not well-defined. However, studies reporting on the overall testing yield in populations with early-onset epileptic encephalopathies and early-onset epilepsy have reported detection rates for clinically significant variants ranging from 7.5% to 57%. The clinical utility of genetic testing occurs primarily when there is a positive test for a known pathogenic variant. The presence of a pathogenic variant may lead to targeted medication management, avoidance of other diagnostic tests, and/or informed reproductive planning. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in a meaningful improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have presumed genetic epilepsy who receive testing for genetic variants associated with genetic epilepsies, the evidence includes prospective and retrospective cohort studies describing testing yields. Relevant outcomes are test validity, changes in reproductive decision making, symptoms, quality of life, functional outcomes, medication use, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. For most genetic epilepsies, which are thought to have a complex, multifactorial basis, the association between specific genetic variants and the risk of epilepsy is uncertain. Despite a large body of literature on associations between genetic variants and epilepsies, the clinical validity of genetic testing is poorly understood. Published literature is characterized by weak and inconsistent associations, which have not been replicated independently or by meta-analyses. A number of studies have also reported associations between genetic variants and antiepileptic drug (AED) treatment response, AED adverse effect risk, epilepsy phenotype, and risk of sudden unexplained death in epilepsy. The largest number of these studies is related to AED pharmacogenomics, which has generally reported some association between variants in a number of genes (including SCN1A, SCN2A, ABCC2, EPHX1, CYP2C9, CYP2C19) and AED response. Similarly, genetic associations between a number of genes and AED-related adverse events have been reported. However, no empirical evidence on the clinical utility of testing for the genetic epilepsies was identified, and the changes in clinical management that might occur as a result of testing are not well-defined. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

**Practice Guidelines and Position Statements**
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American Academy of Neurology et al

In 2006, the American Academy of Neurology and Child Neurology Society published joint guidelines on the diagnostic assessment of children with status epilepticus. These guidelines were reviewed and reaffirmed in 2016. With regard to whether genetic testing should be routinely ordered for children with status epilepticus, the guidelines stated: “There is insufficient evidence to support or refute whether such studies should be done routinely.”

In 2000, American Academy of Neurology, Child Neurology Society, and the American Epilepsy Society published joint guidelines for evaluating a first nonfebrile seizure in children. This guidance was reviewed and reaffirmed in 2014. Routine electroencephalography was recommended as part of the diagnostic evaluation; genetic testing was not addressed.

International League Against Epilepsy

In 2015, the International League Against Epilepsy issued a report with recommendations on the management of infantile seizures, which included the following related to genetic testing in epilepsy:

- Genetic screening should not be undertaken at a primary or secondary level of care, as the screening to identify those in need of specific genetic analysis is based on tertiary settings.
- Standard care should permit genetic counseling by trained personnel to be undertaken at all levels of care (primary to quaternary).
- Genetic evaluation for Dravet syndrome and other infantile-onset epileptic encephalopathies should be available at tertiary and quaternary levels of care (optimal intervention would permit an extended genetic evaluation).
- Early diagnosis of some mitochondrial conditions may alter long-term outcome, but whether screening at quaternary level is beneficial is unknown.

European Federation of Neurological Societies

In 2010, the European Federation of Neurological Societies issued guidelines on the molecular diagnosis of channelopathies, epilepsies, migraine, stroke, and dementias. The guidelines made the following recommendations on epilepsy:

- There is good evidence to suggest that a thorough clinical and electrophysiological investigation may lead to the choice of the gene to be tested in patients with periodic paralysis (Level B). In myotonic disorders, it is recommended to first search for myotonic dystrophy and use clinical and electrophysiological phenotype characterization to guide for molecular genetic testing (Level B).
- Molecular investigations are possible and may help in some cases to diagnose the condition but cannot be considered as a routine procedure with regard to the large number of different mutations [variants] in different genes. Furthermore, diagnosis can be made more easily by clinical and physiological investigation (Good Practice Point). One exception of note is the diagnosis of severe myoclonic epilepsy of infancy (SMEI), in which mutations [variants] are found in SCN1A in 80% of the patients (Level B).

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.
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### POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>March 2014</td>
<td>New policy</td>
<td>Genetic testing for epilepsy is considered investigational.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2015</td>
<td>Replace policy</td>
<td>Policy updated. Policy statement added that genetic testing for early-onset epileptic encephalopathy syndromes may be considered medically necessary with conditions. References 1 and 40 added.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2018</td>
<td>Replace policy</td>
<td>Policy updated with literature review through December 21, 2016; references 9, 15-21, 26-29, 31, 35-37, 39, 44, 47, 49-50 and 59-61 added. The policy is revised with updated genetics nomenclature. Policy statements unchanged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2019</td>
<td>Replace policy</td>
<td>Policy updated with literature review through December 6, 2018; references 19-20, and 34-37 added. Policy statements unchanged.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>