



FEP Medical Policy Manual

FEP 7.01.18 Automated Percutaneous and Percutaneous Endoscopic Discectomy

Annual Effective Policy Date: October 1, 2024

Original Policy Date: June 2012

Related Policies:

7.01.72 - Percutaneous Intradiscal Electrothermal Annuloplasty, Radiofrequency Annuloplasty, Biacuplasty and Intraosseous Basivertebral Nerve Ablation

7.01.93 - Decompression of the Intervertebral Disc Using Laser Energy (Laser Discectomy) or Radiofrequency-Coblation (Nucleoplasty)

Automated Percutaneous and Percutaneous Endoscopic Discectomy

Description

Description

Surgical management of herniated intervertebral discs most commonly involves discectomy or microdiscectomy, performed manually through an open incision. Automated percutaneous discectomy involves placement of a probe within the intervertebral disc under image guidance with aspiration of disc material using a suction cutting device. Endoscopic discectomy involves the percutaneous placement of a working channel under image guidance, followed by visualization of the working space and instrumentation through an endoscope, and aspiration of disc material.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to evaluate whether the use of automated percutaneous discectomy or endoscopic percutaneous discectomy improves the net health outcome in individuals with herniated intervertebral discs.

POLICY STATEMENT

Automated percutaneous discectomy is considered **investigational** as a technique of intervertebral disc decompression in individuals with back pain and/or radiculopathy related to disc herniation in the lumbar, thoracic, or cervical spine.

Percutaneous endoscopic discectomy is considered **investigational** as a technique of intervertebral disc decompression in individuals with back pain and/or radiculopathy related to disc herniation in the lumbar, thoracic, or cervical spine.

POLICY GUIDELINES

None

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

Percutaneous discectomy may be performed by surgeons, but anesthesiologists or other physicians whose practices focus on pain management may also perform this procedure.

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

The Dekompressor Percutaneous Discectomy Probe (Stryker), Herniatome Percutaneous Discectomy Device (Gallini Medical Devices), and the Nucleotome (Clarus Medical) are examples of percutaneous discectomy devices that have been cleared for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the 510(k) process. The FDA indication for these products is for "aspiration of disc material during percutaneous discectomies in the lumbar, thoracic and cervical regions of the spine." FDA product code: HRX.

A variety of endoscopes and associated surgical instruments have also been cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k) process.

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have herniated intervertebral disc(s) who receive automated percutaneous discectomy, the evidence includes randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic reviews of observational studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. The published evidence from small RCTs is insufficient to evaluate the impact of automated percutaneous discectomy on the net health outcome. Well-designed and executed RCTs are needed to determine the benefits and risks of this procedure. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have herniated intervertebral disc(s) who receive percutaneous endoscopic discectomy, the evidence includes a number of RCTs, systematic reviews, and observational studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. Many of the more recent RCTs are conducted at institutions within China. There are few reports from the United States. Results do not reveal a consistently significant improvement in patient-reported outcomes and treatment-related morbidity with percutaneous endoscopic discectomy in comparison to other discectomy interventions. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

The NICE (2005) published guidance on automated percutaneous mechanical lumbar discectomy, indicating there was limited evidence of efficacy based on uncontrolled case series of heterogeneous groups of patients, and evidence from small randomized controlled trials (RCTs) showed conflicting results.⁹⁹ The guidance indicated that, in view of uncertainty about the efficacy of the procedure, it should not be done without special arrangements for consent and for audit or research. The guidance was considered for an update in 2009, but failed review criteria; the 2005 guidance is therefore considered current.

A NICE (2016) guidance on percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica was published.¹⁰⁰ The guidance stated that current evidence is adequate to support the use of percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica. Choice of operative procedure (open discectomy, microdiscectomy, or percutaneous endoscopic approaches) may be influenced by symptoms, location, and size of the prolapsed disc.

A NICE (2016) guidance on percutaneous interlaminar endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica was also published.¹⁰¹ The guidance stated that current evidence is adequate to support the use of percutaneous interlaminar endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica. Choice of operative procedure (open discectomy, microdiscectomy, or percutaneous endoscopic approaches) may be influenced by symptoms, location, and size of the prolapsed disc.

American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians

The guidelines from the American Society of Interventional Pain Physicians (2013) indicated that the evidence for percutaneous disc decompression with the Dekompressor was limited.³ There were no recommended indications for the Dekompressor.

North American Spine Society

The North American Spine Society (2014) published clinical guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of lumbar disc herniation.¹⁰² Table 1 summarizes recommendations specific to percutaneous endoscopic discectomy and automated percutaneous discectomy.

Table 1. Recommendations for Lumbar Disc Herniation With Radiculopathy

Recommendations	Grade or LOE ^a
Endoscopic percutaneous discectomy is suggested for carefully selected patients to reduce early postoperative disability and reduce opioid use compared with open discectomy.	B
There is insufficient evidence to make a recommendation for or against the use of automated percutaneous discectomy compared with open discectomy.	I
Endoscopic percutaneous discectomy may be considered for treatment.	C
Automated percutaneous discectomy may be considered for treatment.	C
Patients undergoing percutaneous endoscopic discectomy experience better outcomes if <40 years and symptom duration <3 months.	II

LOE: level of evidence.

^a Grade B: fair evidence (level II or III studies with consistent findings; grade C: poor quality evidence (level IV or V studies). Level of evidence II: lesser quality randomized controlled trial (eg, <80% follow-up, no blinding, or improper randomization), prospective comparative study, systematic review of level II studies or level I studies with inconsistent results; level of evidence III: case control, retrospective, systematic review of level III studies; level of evidence IV: case series; level of evidence V: expert opinion.

American Pain Society

The clinical practice guidelines from the American Pain Society (2009) found insufficient evidence to evaluate alternative surgical methods to standard open discectomy and microdiscectomy, including laser or endoscopic-assisted techniques, various percutaneous techniques, coblation nucleoplasty, or the Dekompressor.¹⁰³

American Society of Pain and Neuroscience

The American Society of Pain and Neuroscience (ASPN; 2022) published clinical guidance for interventional treatments for low back pain.¹⁰⁴ The guideline states that discectomy procedures (such as percutaneous and endoscopic disc procedures) have favorable safety and efficacy profiles for the treatment of lumbar disc herniation with persistent radicular symptoms; however, it is stated that further research is needed to evaluate complications rates in order for these procedures to supplant classic open microdiscectomy. Recommendations specific to percutaneous endoscopic discectomy are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Recommendations for Percutaneous and Endoscopic Procedures

Recommendation	Grade ^a	Level of Evidence ^b	Level of Certainty [Net Benefit] ^c
Percutaneous Endoscopic Discectomy	B	I-a	High

^a Grade B: The ASPN Back Group recommends the service. There is high certainty that the net benefit is moderate or there is moderate certainty that the net benefit is moderate to substantial.

^b Evidence Level: I-A: At least one controlled and randomized clinical trial, properly designed

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

REFERENCES

1. Lewis RA, Williams NH, Sutton AJ, et al. Comparative clinical effectiveness of management strategies for sciatica: systematic review and network meta-analyses. *Spine J.* Jun 01 2015; 15(6): 1461-77. PMID 24412033
2. Haines SJ, Jordan N, Boen JR, et al. Discectomy strategies for lumbar disc herniation: results of the LAPDOG trial. *J Clin Neurosci.* Jul 2002; 9(4): 411-7. PMID 12217670
3. Manchikanti L, Abdi S, Atluri S, et al. An update of comprehensive evidence-based guidelines for interventional techniques in chronic spinal pain. Part II: guidance and recommendations. *Pain Physician.* Apr 2013; 16(2 Suppl): S49-283. PMID 23615883
4. Phan K, Xu J, Schultz K, et al. Full-endoscopic versus micro-endoscopic and open discectomy: A systematic review and meta-analysis of outcomes and complications. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg.* Mar 2017; 154: 1-12. PMID 28086154
5. Shi R, Wang F, Hong X, et al. Comparison of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy versus microendoscopic discectomy for the treatment of lumbar disc herniation: a meta-analysis. *Int Orthop.* Apr 2019; 43(4): 923-937. PMID 30547214

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.

6. Yu P, Qiang H, Zhou J, et al. Percutaneous Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy versus Micro-Endoscopic Discectomy for Lumbar Disc Herniation. *Med Sci Monit.* Mar 30 2019; 25: 2320-2328. PMID 30927349
7. Zhao XM, Yuan QL, Liu L, et al. Is It Possible to Replace Microendoscopic Discectomy with Percutaneous Transforaminal Discectomy for Treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation? A Meta-Analysis Based on Recurrence and Revision Rate. *J Korean Neurosurg Soc.* Jul 2020; 63(4): 477-486. PMID 32380585
8. Xu J, Li Y, Wang B, et al. Minimum 2-Year Efficacy of Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy versus Microendoscopic Discectomy: A Meta-Analysis. *World Neurosurg.* Jun 2020; 138: 19-26. PMID 32109644
9. Bai X, Lian Y, Wang J, et al. Percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy compared with other surgeries for lumbar disc herniation: A meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore).* Mar 05 2021; 100(9): e24747. PMID 33655938
10. Gadjradj PS, Harhangi BS, Amelink J, et al. Percutaneous Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy Versus Open Microdiscectomy for Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* Apr 15 2021; 46(8): 538-549. PMID 33290374
11. Zhao XM, Chen AF, Lou XX, et al. Comparison of Three Common Intervertebral Disc Discectomies in the Treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Based on Multiple Data. *J Clin Med.* Nov 08 2022; 11(22). PMID 36431083
12. Ma C, Li H, Zhang T, et al. Comparison of Percutaneous Endoscopic Interlaminar Discectomy and Open Fenestration Discectomy for Single-Segment Huge Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Two-year Follow-up Retrospective Study. *J Pain Res.* 2022; 15: 1061-1070. PMID 35444463
13. Wang SF, Hung SF, Tsai TT, et al. Better Functional Outcome and Pain Relief in the Far-Lateral-Outside-in Percutaneous Endoscopic Transforaminal Discectomy. *J Pain Res.* 2021; 14: 3927-3934. PMID 35002312
14. Rajamani PA, Goparaju P, Kulkarni AG, et al. A 2-Year Outcomes and Complications of Various Techniques of Lumbar Discectomy: A Multicentric Retrospective Study. *World Neurosurg.* Dec 2021; 156: e319-e328. PMID 34555576
15. Jing Z, Li L, Song J. Percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy versus microendoscopic discectomy for upper lumbar disc herniation: a retrospective comparative study. *Am J Transl Res.* 2021; 13(4): 3111-3119. PMID 34017479
16. Jarebi M, Awaf A, Lefranc M, et al. A matched comparison of outcomes between percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy and open lumbar microdiscectomy for the treatment of lumbar disc herniation: a 2-year retrospective cohort study. *Spine J.* Jan 2021; 21(1): 114-121. PMID 32683107
17. Meyer G, DA Rocha ID, Cristante AF, et al. Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy Versus Microdiscectomy for the Treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation: Pain, Disability, and Complication Rate-A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Int J Spine Surg.* Feb 2020; 14(1): 72-78. PMID 32128306
18. Chen Z, Zhang L, Dong J, et al. Percutaneous Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy Versus Microendoscopic Discectomy for Lumbar Disc Herniation: Two-Year Results of a Randomized Controlled Trial. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* Apr 15 2020; 45(8): 493-503. PMID 31703056
19. Kim CH, Chung CK, Choi Y, et al. The Long-term Reoperation Rate Following Surgery for Lumbar Herniated Intervertebral Disc Disease: A Nationwide Sample Cohort Study With a 10-year Follow-up. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* Oct 01 2019; 44(19): 1382-1389. PMID 30973508
20. Ahn Y, Lee SG, Son S, et al. Transforaminal Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy Versus Open Lumbar Microdiscectomy: A Comparative Cohort Study with a 5-Year Follow-Up. *Pain Physician.* May 2019; 22(3): 295-304. PMID 31151337
21. Liu X, Yuan S, Tian Y, et al. Comparison of percutaneous endoscopic transforaminal discectomy, microendoscopic discectomy, and microdiscectomy for symptomatic lumbar disc herniation: minimum 2-year follow-up results. *J Neurosurg Spine.* Mar 2018; 28(3): 317-325. PMID 29303471
22. Sun Y, Zhang W, Qie S, et al. Comprehensive comparing percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy with posterior lumbar internal fixation for treatment of adjacent segment lumbar disc prolapse with stable retrolisthesis: A retrospective case-control study. *Medicine (Baltimore).* Jul 2017; 96(29): e7471. PMID 28723757
23. Jeong, J.S.; Lee, S.H.; Lee, S.J.; Hwang, B.W. The Clinical Comparison between Open Surgery and Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy in Extraforaminal Lumbar Disc Herniation. *J. Korean Neurosurg. Soc.* 2006, 39, 413-418.
24. Akakaya MO, Yrkoğlu AG, Aydoseli A, et al. Serum creatine phosphokinase levels as an indicator of muscle injury following lumbar disc surgery: Comparison of fully endoscopic discectomy and microdiscectomy. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg.* Jun 2016; 145: 74-8. PMID 27101087
25. Choi KC, Shim HK, Hwang JS, et al. Comparison of Surgical Invasiveness Between Microdiscectomy and 3 Different Endoscopic Discectomy Techniques for Lumbar Disc Herniation. *World Neurosurg.* Aug 2018; 116: e750-e758. PMID 29787880
26. Dai HJ, Zhang X, Wang LT, et al. The effect of percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy (PTED) on serum inflammatory factors and pain in patients with lumbar disc herniation after surgery. *Int J Clin Exp Med* 2020;13:597603.
27. Krappel FA, Schmitz R, Bauer E, et al. Open or endoscopic nucleotomy?. *Orthopadische Praxis* 2001;37:1649.
28. Tacconi L, Giordan E. Endoscopic transforaminal discectomy vs. far lateral discectomy for extraforaminal disc protrusions: our experience. *NeuroQuantology* 2019;17:1822.
29. Tacconi L, Signorelli F, Giordan E. Is Full Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy Less Invasive Than Conventional Surgery? A Randomized MRI Study. *World Neurosurg.* Jun 2020; 138: e867-e875. PMID 32251813
30. Tao XZ, Jing L, Li JH. Therapeutic effect of transforaminal endoscopic spine system in the treatment of prolapse of lumbar intervertebral disc. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci.* Jul 2018; 22(1 Suppl): 103-110. PMID 30004561
31. Wang H, Song Y, Cai L. Effect of percutaneous transforaminal lumbar spine endoscopic discectomy on lumbar disc herniation and its influence on indexes of oxidative stress. *Biomed Res* 2017;28:.
32. Xu G, Zhang C, Zhu K, et al. Endoscopic removal of nucleus pulposus of intervertebral disc on lumbar intervertebral disc protrusion and the influence on inflammatory factors and immune function. *Exp Ther Med.* Jan 2020; 19(1): 301-307. PMID 31853303
33. Ahn SS, Kim SH, Kim DW, et al. Comparison of Outcomes of Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy and Open Lumbar Microdiscectomy for Young Adults: A Retrospective Matched Cohort Study. *World Neurosurg.* Feb 2016; 86: 250-8. PMID 26409086
34. Chang F, Zhang T, Gao G, et al. Therapeutic effect of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy on lumbar disc herniation and its effect on oxidative stress in patients with lumbar disc herniation. *Exp Ther Med.* Jan 2018; 15(1): 295-299. PMID 29250152

35. Liu C, Zhou Y. Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy and Minimally Invasive Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion for Recurrent Lumbar Disk Herniation. *World Neurosurg.* Feb 2017; 98: 14-20. PMID 27773858
36. Pan Z, Ha Y, Yi S, et al. Efficacy of Transforaminal Endoscopic Spine System (TESSYS) Technique in Treating Lumbar Disc Herniation. *Med Sci Monit.* Feb 18 2016; 22: 530-9. PMID 26887645
37. Yao Y, Zhang H, Wu J, et al. Comparison of Three Minimally Invasive Spine Surgery Methods for Revision Surgery for Recurrent Herniation After Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy. *World Neurosurg.* Apr 2017; 100: 641-647.e1. PMID 28153616
38. Yao Y, Zhang H, Wu J, et al. Minimally Invasive Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion Versus Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy: Revision Surgery for Recurrent Herniation After Microendoscopic Discectomy. *World Neurosurg.* Mar 2017; 99: 89-95. PMID 27919762
39. Gibson JNA, Subramanian AS, Scott CEH. A randomised controlled trial of transforaminal endoscopic discectomy vs microdiscectomy. *Eur Spine J.* Mar 2017; 26(3): 847-856. PMID 27885470
40. Hsu HT, Chang SJ, Yang SS, et al. Learning curve of full-endoscopic lumbar discectomy. *Eur Spine J.* Apr 2013; 22(4): 727-33. PMID 23076645
41. Kim MJ, Lee SH, Jung ES, et al. Targeted percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy in 295 patients: comparison with results of microscopic discectomy. *Surg Neurol.* Dec 2007; 68(6): 623-631. PMID 18053857
42. Qu JX, Li QZ, Chen M : Comparative study of PTED and MED for monosegmentnlumbar disc herniation. *Chin J Bone Joint Inj* 32 : 70-71,2017
43. Wang H, Cheng J, Xiao H, et al. Adolescent lumbar disc herniation: experience from a large minimally invasive treatment centre for lumbar degenerative disease in Chongqing, China. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg.* Aug 2013; 115(8): 1415-9. PMID 23419406
44. Zhao W, Li CQ, Zhou Y, Wang J, Zheng WJ : Surgical treatment of thelumbar disc herniated discs using transforaminal endoscopic surgerysystem. *Orthop J China* 20 : 1191-1195, 2012
45. Yoon SM, Ahn SS, Kim KH, et al. Comparative Study of the Outcomes of Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy and Microscopic Lumbar Discectomy Using the Tubular Retractor System Based on the VAS, ODI, and SF-36. *Korean J Spine.* Sep 2012; 9(3): 215-22. PMID 25983818
46. Li M, Yang H, Yang Q. Full-Endoscopic Technique Discectomy Versus Microendoscopic Discectomy for the Surgical Treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation. *Pain Physician.* 2015; 18(4): 359-63. PMID 26218939
47. Sinkemani A, Hong X, Gao ZX, et al. Outcomes of Microendoscopic Discectomy and Percutaneous Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy for the Treatment of Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Comparative Retrospective Study. *Asian Spine J.* Dec 2015; 9(6): 833-40. PMID 26713113
48. Song HP, Sheng HF, Xu WX. A case-control study on the treatment of protrusion of lumbar intervertebral disc through PELD and MED. *Exp Ther Med.* Oct 2017; 14(4): 3708-3712. PMID 29042967
49. Tu Z, Li YW, Wang B, et al. Clinical Outcome of Full-endoscopic Interlaminar Discectomy for Single-level Lumbar Disc Herniation: A Minimum of 5-year Follow-up. *Pain Physician.* Mar 2017; 20(3): E425-E430. PMID 28339442
50. Li H, Jiang C, Mu X, et al. Comparison of MED and PELD in the Treatment of Adolescent Lumbar Disc Herniation: A 5-Year Retrospective Follow-Up. *World Neurosurg.* Apr 2018; 112: e255-e260. PMID 29325949
51. Abudurexiti T, Qi L, Muheremu A, et al. Micro-endoscopic discectomy versus percutaneous endoscopic surgery for lumbar disk herniation. *J Int Med Res.* Sep 2018; 46(9): 3910-3917. PMID 29900752
52. Chen Z, Zhang L, Dong J, et al. Percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy compared with microendoscopic discectomy for lumbar disc herniation: 1-year results of an ongoing randomized controlled trial. *J Neurosurg Spine.* Mar 2018; 28(3): 300-310. PMID 29303469
53. Liu T, Zhou Y, Wang J, et al. Clinical efficacy of three different minimally invasive procedures for far lateral lumbar disc herniation. *Chin Med J (Engl).* Mar 2012; 125(6): 1082-8. PMID 22613535
54. Wu XC, Zhou Y, Li CQ. Percutaneous tranforaminal endoscopic discectomy versus microendoscopic discectomy for lumbar disc herniation: a prospective randomized controlled study. *J Third Mil Med Univ.* 2009;31(9):843-846.
55. Yang L, Liao XQ, Zhao XJ, et al. Comparison of surgical outcomes between percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy and microendoscopic discectomy for lumbar disc herniation. *China J Endosc.* 2015;21(9):962-965
56. Duan XF, Jin W, Chen JJ, et al. Contrast observation of comparing microendoscopic discectomy with percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy for the treatment of simple lumbar disc herniation. *Chin J Clin.* 2016;10(1):144-147
57. Zhao XW, Han K, Ji ZW, et al. Comparison of efficacy between microendoscopic discectomy and percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy for treatment of lumbar disc herniation. *Prog Mod Biomed.* 2016;16(23):4454-4457
58. Ding YZ, Hu JN, Zhou Y, et al. Study on the effect contrast between microendoscopic discectomy and percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy using TESSYS technique for the treatment of lumbar disc herniation. *J Cervicodynia & Lumbodynia.* 2017;38(5):492-493
59. Li ZY, Guo PG, Han D, et al. Analysis of curative effects and prognosis in different procedures of discectomy for patients with lumbar disc herniation. *J Clin Med Pract.* 2017;21(15):149-150,158
60. Liu HP, Hao DJ, Wang XD, et al. Comparison of two surgeries in treatment of lumbar disc herniation. *Chin J Pain Med.* 2017;23(6):438-442
61. Luo DK, Zhou NX, Zhao HW, et al. Clinical effectiveness of minimally invasive treatment for lumbar disc herniation. *Orthopaedics.* 2017;8(6):439-444
62. Qu JX, Li QZ, Chem M, et al. Comparison of the efficacies between percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy and microendoscopic discectomy for the treatment of single-segmental lumbar disc herniation. *Chin J Bone Jt Inj.* 2017;32(1):70-71
63. Chen Q, Qin L, Li MW, et al. Comparison of the therapeutic effect of percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy and posterior discectomy on senile single segmental lumbar disc herniation. *Chin J Front Med Sci.* 2018;10(2):60-64
64. Wu YM, Bai M, Yin HP, et al. Comparison of the efficacies between two kinds of minimally invasive procedures for the treatment of simple lumbar disc herniation. *J Pract Orthop.* 2018;24(4):357-360
65. Belykh E, Giers MB, Preul MC, et al. Prospective Comparison of Microsurgical, Tubular-Based Endoscopic, and Endoscopically Assisted Discectomies: Clinical Effectiveness and Complications in Railway Workers. *World Neurosurg.* Jun 2016; 90: 273-280. PMID 26898494

66. Chen HC, Lee CH, Wei L, et al. Comparison of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy and open lumbar surgery for adjacent segment degeneration and recurrent disc herniation. *Neurol Res Int*. 2015; 2015: 791943. PMID 25861474
67. Choi KC, Kim JS, Park CK. Percutaneous Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy as an Alternative to Open Lumbar Microdiscectomy for Large Lumbar Disc Herniation. *Pain Physician*. Feb 2016; 19(2): E291-300. PMID 26815256
68. Garg B, Nagraja UB, Jayaswal A. Microendoscopic versus open discectomy for lumbar disc herniation: a prospective randomised study. *J Orthop Surg (Hong Kong)*. Apr 2011; 19(1): 30-4. PMID 21519072
69. Hermantin FU, Peters T, Quartararo L, et al. A prospective, randomized study comparing the results of open discectomy with those of video-assisted arthroscopic microdiscectomy. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. Jul 1999; 81(7): 958-65. PMID 10428127
70. Huang TJ, Hsu RW, Li YY, et al. Less systemic cytokine response in patients following microendoscopic versus open lumbar discectomy. *J Orthop Res*. Mar 2005; 23(2): 406-11. PMID 15734255
71. Hussein M, Abdeldayem A, Mattar MM. Surgical technique and effectiveness of microendoscopic discectomy for large uncontained lumbar disc herniations: a prospective, randomized, controlled study with 8 years of follow-up. *Eur Spine J*. Sep 2014; 23(9): 1992-9. PMID 24736930
72. Kleinpeter G, Markowitsch MM, Bck F. Percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy: minimally invasive, but perhaps only minimally useful?. *Surg Neurol*. Jun 1995; 43(6): 534-9; discussion 540-1. PMID 7482230
73. Lee DY, Shim CS, Ahn Y, et al. Comparison of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy and open lumbar microdiscectomy for recurrent disc herniation. *J Korean Neurosurg Soc*. Dec 2009; 46(6): 515-21. PMID 20062565
74. Martn-Lez R, Martnez-Ageros JA, Surez-Fernndez D, et al. Complications of endoscopic microdiscectomy using the EASYGO! system: is there any difference with conventional discectomy during the learning-curve period?. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)*. Jun 2012; 154(6): 1023-32. PMID 22446750
75. Mayer HM, Brock M. Percutaneous endoscopic discectomy: surgical technique and preliminary results compared to microsurgical discectomy. *J Neurosurg*. Feb 1993; 78(2): 216-25. PMID 8267686
76. Ohya J, Oshima Y, Chikuda H, et al. Does the microendoscopic technique reduce mortality and major complications in patients undergoing lumbar discectomy? A propensity score-matched analysis using a nationwide administrative database. *Neurosurg Focus*. Feb 2016; 40(2): E5. PMID 26828886
77. Pan L, Zhang P, Yin Q. Comparison of tissue damages caused by endoscopic lumbar discectomy and traditional lumbar discectomy: a randomised controlled trial. *Int J Surg*. 2014; 12(5): 534-7. PMID 24583364
78. Righesso O, Falavigna A, Avanzi O. Comparison of open discectomy with microendoscopic discectomy in lumbar disc herniations: results of a randomized controlled trial. *Neurosurgery*. Sep 2007; 61(3): 545-9; discussion 549. PMID 17881967
79. Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H, et al. Full-endoscopic interlaminar and transforaminal lumbar discectomy versus conventional microsurgical technique: a prospective, randomized, controlled study. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. Apr 20 2008; 33(9): 931-9. PMID 18427312
80. Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H, et al. Recurrent lumbar disc herniation after conventional discectomy: a prospective, randomized study comparing full-endoscopic interlaminar and transforaminal versus microsurgical revision. *J Spinal Disord Tech*. Apr 2009; 22(2): 122-9. PMID 19342934
81. Sasaoka R, Nakamura H, Konishi S, et al. Objective assessment of reduced invasiveness in MED. Compared with conventional one-level laminotomy. *Eur Spine J*. May 2006; 15(5): 577-82. PMID 15926058
82. Schizas C, Tsiridis E, Saksena J. Microendoscopic discectomy compared with standard microsurgical discectomy for treatment of uncontained or large contained disc herniations. *Neurosurgery*. Oct 2005; 57(4 Suppl): 357-60; discussion 357-60. PMID 16234685
83. Teli M, Lovi A, Brayda-Bruno M, et al. Higher risk of dural tears and recurrent herniation with lumbar micro-endoscopic discectomy. *Eur Spine J*. Mar 2010; 19(3): 443-50. PMID 20127495
84. Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H, et al. Use of newly developed instruments and endoscopes: full-endoscopic resection of lumbar disc herniations via the interlaminar and lateral transforaminal approach. *J Neurosurg Spine*. Jun 2007; 6(6): 521-30. PMID 17561740
85. Ruetten S, Komp M, Merk H, et al. Full-endoscopic cervical posterior foraminotomy for the operation of lateral disc herniations using 5.9-mm endoscopes: a prospective, randomized, controlled study. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. Apr 20 2008; 33(9): 940-8. PMID 18427313
86. Lee SH, Chung SE, Ahn Y, et al. Comparative radiologic evaluation of percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy and open microdiscectomy: a matched cohort analysis. *Mt Sinai J Med*. Sep 2006; 73(5): 795-801. PMID 17008941
87. Gadjradj PS, Rubinstein SM, Peul WC, et al. Full endoscopic versus open discectomy for sciatica: randomised controlled non-inferiority trial. *BMJ*. Feb 21 2022; 376: e065846. PMID 35190388
88. Ran B, Wei J, Yang J, et al. Quantitative Evaluation of the Trauma of CT Navigation PELD and OD in the Treatment of HLDH: A Randomized, Controlled Study. *Pain Physician*. Jul 2021; 24(4): E433-E441. PMID 34213868
89. Wang F, Guo D, Sun T, et al. A comparative study on short-term therapeutic effects of percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic discectomy and microendoscopic discectomy on lumbar disc herniation. *Pak J Med Sci*. 2019; 35(2): 426-431. PMID 31086527
90. Liu Y, Kim Y, Park CW, et al. Interlaminar Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy Versus Microscopic Lumbar Discectomy: A Preliminary Analysis of L5-S1 Lumbar Disc Herniation Outcomes in Prospective Randomized Controlled Trials. *Neurospine*. Dec 2023; 20(4): 1457-1468. PMID 38171312
91. Yang X, Zhang S, Su J, et al. Comparison of Clinical and Radiographic Outcomes Between Transforaminal Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy and Microdiscectomy: A Follow-up Exceeding 5 Years. *Neurospine*. Mar 2024; 21(1): 303-313. PMID 38317550
92. Saghebdoust S, Khadivar F, Ekrami M, et al. Transforaminal Endoscopic Lumbar Discectomy versus Open Microdiscectomy for Symptomatic Lumbar Disk Herniation: A Comparative Cohort Study on Costs and Long-Term Outcomes. *J Neurol Surg A Cent Eur Neurosurg*. Oct 25 2023. PMID 37879346
93. Lee DY, Lee SH. Learning curve for percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy. *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo)*. Sep 2008; 48(9): 383-8; discussion 388-9. PMID 18812679
94. Wang B, L G, Patel AA, et al. An evaluation of the learning curve for a complex surgical technique: the full endoscopic interlaminar approach for lumbar disc herniations. *Spine J*. Feb 2011; 11(2): 122-30. PMID 21296295

95. Tenenbaum S, Arzi H, Herman A, et al. Percutaneous Posterolateral Transforaminal Endoscopic Discectomy: Clinical Outcome, Complications, and Learning Curve Evaluation. *Surg Technol Int*. Dec 2011; 21: 278-83. PMID 22505002
96. Casal-Moro R, Castro-Mendoza M, Hernandez-Blanco M, et al. Long-term outcome after microendoscopic discectomy for lumbar disk herniation: a prospective clinical study with a 5-year follow-up. *Neurosurgery*. Jun 2011; 68(6): 1568-75; discussion 1575. PMID 21311384
97. Wang M, Zhou Y, Wang J, et al. A 10-year follow-up study on long-term clinical outcomes of lumbar microendoscopic discectomy. *J Neurol Surg A Cent Eur Neurosurg*. Aug 2012; 73(4): 195-8. PMID 22825836
98. Choi KC, Lee JH, Kim JS, et al. Unsuccessful percutaneous endoscopic lumbar discectomy: a single-center experience of 10,228 cases. *Neurosurgery*. Apr 2015; 76(4): 372-80; discussion 380-1; quiz 381. PMID 25599214
99. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Automated percutaneous mechanical lumbar discectomy-guidance [IPG141]. 2005; <http://guidance.nice.org.uk/IPG141/Guidance/pdf/English>. Accessed April 17, 2024.
100. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Percutaneous interlaminar endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica [IPG555]. 2016; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg555>. Accessed April 17, 2024.
101. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Percutaneous transforaminal endoscopic lumbar discectomy for sciatica [IPG556]. 2016; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg556>. Accessed April 17, 2024.
102. Kreiner DS, Hwang SW, Easa JE, et al. An evidence-based clinical guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of lumbar disc herniation with radiculopathy. *Spine J*. Jan 2014; 14(1): 180-91. PMID 24239490
103. Chou R, Loeser JD, Owens DK, et al. Interventional therapies, surgery, and interdisciplinary rehabilitation for low back pain: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline from the American Pain Society. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. May 01 2009; 34(10): 1066-77. PMID 19363457
104. Sayed D, Grider J, Strand N, et al. The American Society of Pain and Neuroscience (ASPN) Evidence-Based Clinical Guideline of Interventional Treatments for Low Back Pain. *J Pain Res*. 2022; 15: 3729-3832. PMID 36510616

POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

Date	Action	Description
June 2012	New policy	Percutaneous and Endoscopic discectomy are considered investigational.
September 2013	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review; references 1-4, 10, 22-23, 25, and 27-29 added; policy statement clarified to read "back pain and/or radiculopathy"
June 2014	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review, references 13, 14, 18 added; policy statements unchanged.
June 2015	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review, references 17-18, 27, and 34 added; policy statement changed to investigational from not medically necessary
June 2017	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through March 6, 2017; references 10, 15-16, 18 and 21 added. Policy statements unchanged. Policy title changed to "Automated Percutaneous and Percutaneous Endoscopic Discectomy".
March 2019	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through June 4, 2018; reference 21 added. Policy statements unchanged.
September 2019	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through April 18, 2019; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
September 2020	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through May 7, 2020; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
September 2021	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through April 26, 2021; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
September 2022	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through April 22, 2022; references added. Minor editorial refinements to policy statements; intent unchanged.
September 2023	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through May 5, 2023; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
September 2024	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through April 15, 2024; references added. Policy statements unchanged.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.