

FEP Medical Policy Manual

FEP 7.01.175 Temporarily Implanted Nitinol Device (iTind) for Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia

Annual Effective Policy Date: April 1, 2024

Original Policy Date: December 2022

Related Policies:

2.01.49 - Transurethral Water Vapor Thermal Therapy and Transurethral Water Jet Ablation (Aquablation) for Benign Prostatic Hypertrophy 7.01.151 - Prostatic Urethral Lift

Temporarily Implanted Nitinol Device (iTind) for Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia

Description

Description

Benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) is a common condition in older individuals that can lead to increased urinary frequency, an urgency to urinate, a hesitancy to urinate, nocturia, and a weak stream when urinating. Temporarily implanted nitinol devices have been proposed as a minimally invasive alternative to transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP), considered the traditional standard treatment for symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia. The device is temporarily implanted into the obstructed prostatic urethra to facilitate tissue reshaping and improve urine outflow. The implant is typically removed after 5 to 7 days of treatment.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to determine whether a temporarily implanted nitinol device improves the net health outcome in individuals with benign prostatic hyperplasia and lower urinary tract symptoms.

POLICY STATEMENT

The use of a temporarily implanted nitinol device (eg, iTind) is considered **investigational** as a treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms due to benign prostatic hyperplasia.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.

POLICY GUIDELINES

None

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

In April 2019, the iTind System (Olympus; previously, Medi-Tate Ltd., Hadera, Israel) was granted a de novo 510(k) classification by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (DEN190020; product code: QKA). The new classification applies to this device and substantially equivalent devices of this generic type (eg, K210138). The iTind System is intended for the treatment of symptoms due to urinary outflow obstruction secondary to BPH in men age 50 years and older.

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) with lower urinary tract symptoms who receive a temporarily implanted nitinol device (eg, iTind), the evidence includes a meta-analysis, 1 randomized controlled trial (RCT), and 2 single-arm, multicenter, international prospective studies. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, health status measures, quality of life, and treatment-related morbidity. One network meta-analysis compared the safety and efficacy of various minimally-invasive treatments for lower urinary tract symptoms associated with BPH, finding that iTind may result in worse urologic symptoms scores compared to transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) at short-term follow-up. One RCT compared the iTind device with a sham procedure and reported an improvement of at least 3 points on the International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS) scale at 3 months in 78.6% versus 60% of participants, respectively (p=.029). However, corresponding changes in overall IPSS, IPSS quality of life, peak urinary flow rate, Sexual Health Inventory for Men (SHIM), and International Index of Erectile Function scores were not significantly different between groups. One single-arm study reported significant improvements in symptoms and functional outcomes through >4 years. A subsequent single-arm study enrolling men desiring to preserve ejaculatory function reported no significant change in the SHIM total score and a statistically significant improvement on the Male Sexual Health Questionnaire for Ejaculatory Dysfunction questionnaire at 6 months. No studies have directly compared iTind to established alternatives; however, an RCT comparing iTind with the UroLift prostatic urethral lift procedure is currently ongoing. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

American Urological Association

In 2021, the American Urological Association (AUA) published guidelines on the surgical evaluation and treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) attributed to benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH).^{5,} These guidelines do not address the use of temporarily implanted nitinol devices.

A 2023 amendment to the 2021 AUA guideline stated that temporary implanted prostatic devices are an option for individuals with BPH, LUTS, prostate volume of 25 to 75 grams, and who lack an obstructive median lobe.^{25,} This recommendation was based on expert opinion due to an absence of sufficient evidence.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

In 2022, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) issued an interventional procedures guidance on prostatic urethral temporary implant insertion for lower urinary tract symptoms caused by BPH.^{26,} The recommendation noted that the evidence on the use of these devices is limited in quantity and quality. Therefore, the procedure should only be used with special arrangements for clinical governance, consent, and audit or research.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

REFERENCES

- 1. Sarma AV, Wei JT. Clinical practice. Benign prostatic hyperplasia and lower urinary tract symptoms. N Engl J Med. Jul 19 2012; 367(3): 248-57. PMID 22808960
- 2. Barry MJ, Fowler FJ, O'Leary MP, et al. Measuring disease-specific health status in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia. Measurement Committee of The American Urological Association. Med Care. Apr 1995; 33(4 Suppl): AS145-55. PMID 7536866
- 3. O'leary MP. Validity of the "bother score" in the evaluation and treatment of symptomatic benign prostatic hyperplasia. Rev Urol. 2005; 7(1): 1-10. PMID 16985801
- 4. Djavan B, Marberger M. A meta-analysis on the efficacy and tolerability of alpha1-adrenoceptor antagonists in patients with lower urinary tract symptoms suggestive of benign prostatic obstruction. Eur Urol. 1999; 36(1): 1-13. PMID 10364649
- 5. Lerner LB, McVary KT, Barry MJ, et al. Management of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms Attributed to Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia: AUA GUIDELINE PART II-Surgical Evaluation and Treatment. J Urol. Oct 2021; 206(4): 818-826. PMID 34384236
- 6. Foster HE, Barry MJ, Dahm P, et al. Surgical Management of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms Attributed to Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia: AUA Guideline. J Urol. Sep 2018; 200(3): 612-619. PMID 29775639
- 7. Reich O, Gratzke C, Bachmann A, et al. Morbidity, mortality and early outcome of transurethral resection of the prostate: a prospective multicenter evaluation of 10,654 patients. J Urol. Jul 2008; 180(1): 246-9. PMID 18499179
- 8. Amparore D, De Cillis S, Volpi G, et al. First- and Second-Generation Temporary Implantable Nitinol Devices As Minimally Invasive Treatments for BPH-Related LUTS: Systematic Review of the Literature. Curr Urol Rep. Jul 05 2019; 20(8): 47. PMID 31278441
- 9. Fiori C, De Cillis S, Volpi G, et al. iTIND for BPH: Technique and procedural outcomes: A narrative review of current literature. Turk J Urol. Nov 2021; 47(6): 470-481. PMID 35118965
- 10. Balakrishnan D, Jones P, Somani BK. iTIND: the second-generation temporary implantable nitinol device for minimally invasive treatment of benign prostatic hyperplasia. Ther Adv Urol. 2020; 12: 1756287220934355. PMID 32655690
- 11. Rosen RC, Catania JA, Althof SE, et al. Development and validation of four-item version of Male Sexual Health Questionnaire to assess ejaculatory dysfunction. Urology. May 2007; 69(5): 805-9. PMID 17482908
- 12. Cappelleri JC, Rosen RC. The Sexual Health Inventory for Men (SHIM): a 5-year review of research and clinical experience. Int J Impot Res. 2005; 17(4): 307-19. PMID 15875061
- Snksen J, Barber NJ, Speakman MJ, et al. Prospective, randomized, multinational study of prostatic urethral lift versus transurethral resection of the prostate: 12-month results from the BPH6 study. Eur Urol. Oct 2015; 68(4): 643-52. PMID 25937539
- Barry MJ, Williford WO, Chang Y, et al. Benign prostatic hyperplasia specific health status measures in clinical research: how much change in the American Urological Association symptom index and the benign prostatic hyperplasia impact index is perceptible to patients?. J Urol. Nov 1995; 154(5): 1770-4. PMID 7563343
- 15. Roehrborn CG, Wilson TH, Black LK. Quantifying the contribution of symptom improvement to satisfaction of men with moderate to severe benign prostatic hyperplasia: 4-year data from the CombAT trial. J Urol. May 2012; 187(5): 1732-8. PMID 22425127
- Porpiglia F, Fiori C, Bertolo R, et al. Temporary implantable nitinol device (TIND): a novel, minimally invasive treatment for relief of lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) related to benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH): feasibility, safety and functional results at 1 year of follow-up. BJU Int. Aug 2015; 116(2): 278-87. PMID 25382816
- 17. Porpiglia F, Fiori C, Bertolo R, et al. 3-Year follow-up of temporary implantable nitinol device implantation for the treatment of benign prostatic obstruction. BJU Int. Jul 2018; 122(1): 106-112. PMID 29359881
- 18. Franco JV, Jung JH, Imamura M, et al. Minimally invasive treatments for lower urinary tract symptoms in men with benign prostatic hyperplasia: a network meta-analysis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Jul 15 2021; 7(7): CD013656. PMID 34693990
- 19. Chughtai B, Elterman D, Shore N, et al. The iTind Temporarily Implanted Nitinol Device for the Treatment of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms Secondary to Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia: A Multicenter, Randomized, Controlled Trial. Urology. Jul 2021; 153: 270-276. PMID 33373708

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.

- 20. Amparore D, Fiori C, Valerio M, et al. 3-Year results following treatment with the second generation of the temporary implantable nitinol device in men with LUTS secondary to benign prostatic obstruction. Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis. Jun 2021; 24(2): 349-357. PMID 33005003
- 21. Amparore D, De Cillis S, Schulman Č, et al. Temporary implantable nitinol device for benign prostatic hyperplasia-related lower urinary tract symptoms: over 48-month results. Minerva Urol Nephrol. Dec 2023; 75(6): 743-751. PMID 37350585
- 22. De Nunzio C, Cantiello F, Fiori C, et al. Urinary and sexual function after treatment with temporary implantable nitinol device (iTind) in men with LUTS: 6-month interim results of the MT-06-study. World J Urol. Jun 2021; 39(6): 2037-2042. PMID 32851439
- Porpiglia F, Fiori C, Amparore D, et al. Second-generation of temporary implantable nitinol device for the relief of lower urinary tract symptoms due to benign prostatic hyperplasia: results of a prospective, multicentre study at 1 year of follow-up. BJU Int. Jun 2019; 123(6): 1061-1069. PMID 30382600
- 24. Kadner G, Valerio M, Giannakis I, et al. Second generation of temporary implantable nitinol device (iTind) in men with LUTS: 2 year results of the MT-02-study. World J Urol. Dec 2020; 38(12): 3235-3244. PMID 32124019
- 25. Sandhu JS, Bixler BR, Dahm P, et al. Management of Lower Urinary Tract Symptoms Attributed to Benign Prostatic Hyperplasia (BPH): AUA Guideline Amendment 2023. J Urol. Jan 2024; 211(1): 11-19. PMID 37706750
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Interventional procedures guidance: prostatic urethral temporary implant insertion for lower urinary tract symptoms caused by benign prostatic hyperplasia [IPG737]. September 21, 2022; https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg737. Accessed November 22, 2023.

POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

Date	Action	Description
March 2023	New policy - Add to Urology section	Policy created with literature review through November 15, 2022. The use of a temporarily implanted nitinol device (eg, iTind) is considered investigational as a treatment of lower urinary tract symptoms due to benign prostatic hyperplasia.
March 2024	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through November 17, 2023. Policy statements unchanged.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.