



FEP Medical Policy Manual

FEP 2.04.65 Novel Biomarkers in Risk Assessment and Management of Cardiovascular Disease

Annual Effective Policy Date: April 1, 2024

Original Policy Date: December 2023

Related Policies:

2.04.100 - Cardiovascular Risk Panels

2.04.13 - Genetic Testing for Alzheimer Disease

2.04.32 - Measurement of Lipoprotein-Associated Phospholipase A2 in the Assessment of Cardiovascular Risk

Novel Biomarkers in Risk Assessment and Management of Cardiovascular Disease

Description

Description

Numerous lipid and non-lipid biomarkers have been proposed as potential risk markers for cardiovascular disease. Biomarkers assessed herein are those that have the most evidence in support of their use in clinical care, including apolipoprotein B (apo B), apolipoprotein AI (apo AI), apolipoprotein E (apo E), high-density lipoprotein (HDL) subclass, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) subclass, lipoprotein(a), B-type natriuretic peptide, cystatin C, fibrinogen, and leptin. These biomarkers have been studied as alternatives or additions to standard lipid panels for risk stratification in cardiovascular disease or as treatment targets for lipid-lowering therapy.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to determine whether novel cardiac biomarker testing in asymptomatic patients or patients with hyperlipidemia improves the net health outcome.

POLICY STATEMENT

Measurement of novel lipid and non-lipid biomarkers (ie, apolipoprotein B, apolipoprotein AI, apolipoprotein E, low-density lipoprotein subclass, high-density lipoprotein subclass, lipoprotein [a], B-type natriuretic peptide, cystatin C, fibrinogen, leptin) is considered **investigational** as an adjunct to low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in the risk assessment and management of cardiovascular disease.

POLICY GUIDELINES

For testing performed as a panel, see evidence review 2.04.100.

Genetic Counseling

Experts recommend formal genetic counseling for individuals patients who are at risk for inherited disorders and who wish to undergo genetic testing. Interpreting the results of genetic tests and understanding risk factors can be difficult for some individuals patients; genetic counseling helps individuals understand the impact of genetic testing, including the possible effects the test results could have on the individual or their family members. It should be noted that genetic counseling may alter the utilization of genetic testing substantially and may reduce inappropriate testing; further, genetic counseling should be performed by an individual with experience and expertise in genetic medicine and genetic testing methods.

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

Some Plans may have contract or benefit exclusions for genetic testing.

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA). Lipid and non-lipid biomarker tests are available under the auspices of the CLIA. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the CLIA for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who are asymptomatic with risk of cardiovascular disease who receive novel cardiac biomarker testing (eg, apo B, apo AI, apolipoprotein E (apo E), high-density lipoprotein (HDL) subclass, low-density lipoprotein (LDL) subclass, lipoprotein[a], B-type natriuretic peptide, cystatin C, fibrinogen, leptin), the evidence includes systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and large, prospective cohort studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, other test performance measures, change in disease status, morbid events, and medication use. The evidence from cohort studies and meta-analyses of these studies has suggested that some of these markers are associated with increased cardiovascular risk and may provide incremental accuracy in risk prediction. In particular, apolipoprotein B (apo B) and apo apolipoprotein AI (AI) have been identified as adding some incremental predictive value. However, it has not been established whether the incremental accuracy provides clinically important information beyond that of traditional lipid measures. Furthermore, no study has provided high-quality evidence that measurement of markers leads to changes in management that improve health outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals with hyperlipidemia managed with lipid-lowering therapy who receive novel cardiac biomarker testing (eg, apo B, apo AI, apo E, HDL subclass, LDL subclass, lipoprotein[a], B-type natriuretic peptide, cystatin C, fibrinogen, leptin), the evidence includes analyses of the intervention arm(s) of lipid-lowering medication trials. Relevant outcomes are overall survival, change in disease status, morbid events, and medication use. In particular, apo B, apo AI, and apo E have been evaluated as markers of lipid-lowering treatment success, and evidence from the intervention arms of several randomized controlled trials has suggested that these markers are associated with treatment success. However, there is no direct evidence that using markers other than LDL and HDL as a lipid-lowering treatment target leads to improved health outcomes. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute

In 2001, the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute's National Cholesterol Education Program Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) issued a position statement.² Apolipoprotein B (apo B), apolipoprotein AI (apo AI), lipid subclass, and lipoprotein(a) (Lp[a]) were listed as "emerging risk factors" for cardiovascular risk assessment, without specific recommendations for how these measures should be used in clinical practice. A 2004 update to these guidelines discussed the result of clinical trials of statin therapy.¹¹²

In 2013, the Institute published a systematic evidence review on managing blood cholesterol in adults.¹¹³ The review was used to develop joint guidelines by the American College of Cardiology (ACC) and American Heart Association (AHA) on the treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk in adults (see below).¹¹⁴

American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association

In 2013, the ACC and the AHA published guidelines for the assessment of cardiovascular risk.¹¹⁴ Pooled cohort equations for estimating atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) were developed from sex- and race-specific proportional hazards models that included covariates of age, treated or untreated systolic blood pressure level, total cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels, current smoking status, and history of diabetes. Additional risk factors evaluated included diastolic blood pressure, family history of ASCVD, moderate or severe chronic kidney disease, and body mass index. None of the variables significantly improved discrimination for 10-year hard ASCVD risk prediction. The ACC and AHA recommended that further research using state-of-the-art statistical techniques (including net reclassification improvement and integrative discrimination index) examine the utility of novel biomarkers when added to these new pooled cohort equations in different populations and patient subgroups. The guidelines stated that future updates might include guidance on whether on-treatment markers such as apo B, Lp(a), or low-density lipoprotein (LDL) particles are useful for guiding treatment decisions.

The ACC/AHA (2019) guidelines on primary prevention of cardiovascular disease include information on appropriateness of Lp(a) level measurement stating "a relative indication for its measurement is family history of premature ASCVD. An Lp(a) ≥ 50 mg/dL or ≥ 125 nmol/L constitutes a risk-enhancing factor, especially at higher levels of Lp(a)."¹¹⁵ The guidelines also include recommendations for apo B measurement stating, "a relative indication for its measurement would be triglyceride ≥ 200 mg/dL. A level ≥ 130 mg/dL corresponds to an LDL-C > 160 mg/dL and constitutes a risk-enhancing factor."

American Diabetes Association and American College of Cardiology Foundation

In 2008, a consensus statement from the American Diabetes Association and the ACC Foundation addressed lipoprotein management in patients with cardiometabolic risk.¹¹⁶ This statement included specific recommendations for incorporating apo B testing into clinical care for high-risk patients and recommended that, for patients with metabolic syndrome being treated with statins, both LDL-C and apo B should be used as treatment targets, with an apo B target of less than 90 mg/dL, even if target LDL has been achieved.

This consensus statement also commented on the use of LDL particle number in patients with cardiometabolic risk and on the limitations of the clinical utility of nuclear magnetic resonance measurement of LDL particle number or size, including lack of widespread availability. The statement also noted that there is a need for more independent data confirming the accuracy of the method and whether its predictive power is consistent across various patient populations.

The American Diabetes Association 2022 Standards of Care do not discuss the use of specific novel biomarkers for cardiovascular disease and risk management.¹¹⁷

American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and the American College of Endocrinology

In 2017, the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists (AACE) and the American College of Endocrinology (ACE) published joint guidelines on the management of dyslipidemia and the prevention of cardiovascular diseases.¹¹⁸ The guidelines recommended that, among patients with "triglyceride (TG) concentration of greater than 150 mg/dL or HDL-C concentration of less than 40 mg/dL, the apo B or the apo B to apo AI ratio may be useful in assessing residual risk in individuals at risk for ASCVD (even when the LDL-C levels are controlled)."

In 2020, the AACE published an updated consensus statement on dyslipidemia and prevention of cardiovascular disease.¹¹⁹ They recommended measurement of Lp(a) in several patient populations including those with ASCVD, those with a family history of premature ASCVD and/or increased Lp(a), and individuals with a 10-year ASCVD risk of 10% or greater. Recommendations also included consideration of apo B or LDL particle measurement "based on individual patient clinical circumstances."

National Lipid Association

National Lipid Association (NLA) recommendations for patient-centered management of dyslipidemia were published in 2015.¹²⁰ These recommendations stated that non-HDL-C and LDL-C should be primary targets for therapy and that apo B is an optional, secondary target for therapy. The Association favored non-HDL-C over apo B because the former is universally available and because apo B has not consistently shown superiority in predicting ASCVD risk.

In 2018, the NLA published a guideline on the management of blood cholesterol in conjunction with 11 other organizations, which discussed the measurement of apo B and Lp(a).¹²¹ A triglyceride level ≥ 200 mg/dL was mentioned as a relative indication of apo B measurement. Relative indications for measurement of Lp(a) include family history of premature ASCVD or ASCVD without traditional risk factors.

In 2019, the NLA issued a scientific statement on the use of Lp(a), which notes that Lp(a) measurement "is reasonable" to refine risk assessment for ASCVD events in the following populations: patients with first-degree relatives with premature ASCVD (<55 years of age for men; <65 years of age for women), patients with premature ASCVD without traditional risk factors, patients with severe hypercholesterolemia (LDL-C ≥ 190 mg/dL) or familial hypercholesterolemia, and patients with very-high risk of ASCVD that may be candidates for proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) inhibitor therapy.¹²² Additionally Lp(a) "may be reasonable" to measure in patients with the following: intermediate (7.5 to 19.9%) or borderline (5 to 7.4%) ASCVD risk when statin initiation is uncertain for primary prevention, inadequate response to LDL-C lowering therapy despite adherence, family history of elevated Lp(a), calcific valvular aortic stenosis, or recurrent or progressive ASCVD despite lipid-lowering therapy.

In 2021, the NLA issued a scientific statement on lipid measurements in cardiovascular disease including information on apo B, small dense LDL, and Lp(a).¹²³ The authors refer to the 2019 statement for information on Lp(a), and they recommend that measurements of apo B and small dense LDL "may be reasonable at initial evaluation." Additionally, apo B measurement "is reasonable" for patients receiving lipid lowering therapy while small dense LDL measurement is "not recommended" for these patients.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

In 2016, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence updated its guidance on risk assessment and reduction, including lipid modification of CVD.¹²⁴ The guidance recommended measuring a full lipid profile including total cholesterol, HDL, non-HDL, and triglycerides before starting lipid-lowering therapy for primary prevention of CVD. The guidance also recommended measurement of total cholesterol, HDL, non-HDL, and triglycerides for primary and secondary prevention in people on high-intensity statins at 3 months of treatment, aiming for a 40% reduction in non-HDL. Nontraditional risk factors, including apo B, were not discussed as part of risk assessment or treatment targets.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

The **U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (2009) issued recommendations on the use of nontraditional risk factors for the assessment of coronary heart disease (CHD).**¹²⁵ The Task Force included Lp(a) in its summary statement: "The evidence is insufficient to assess the balance of benefits and harms of using the nontraditional risk factors discussed in this statement to screen asymptomatic men and women with no history of CHD to prevent CHD events."

The recommendation was updated in 2018 and came to the same conclusion: evidence is insufficient to assess the benefits and harms of novel testing methods to diagnose CVD. However, the nontraditional risk factors included in this recommendation were different than those in this evidence review.¹²⁶

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

REFERENCES

1. Mensah GA, Mokdad AH, Ford ES, et al. State of disparities in cardiovascular health in the United States. *Circulation*. Mar 15 2005; 111(10): 1233-41. PMID 15769763
2. Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults. Executive Summary of The Third Report of The National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, And Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol In Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). *JAMA*. May 16 2001; 285(19): 2486-97. PMID 11368702
3. Perera R, McFadden E, McLellan J, et al. Optimal strategies for monitoring lipid levels in patients at risk or with cardiovascular disease: a systematic review with statistical and cost-effectiveness modelling. *Health Technol Assess*. Dec 2015; 19(100): 1-401, vii-viii. PMID 26680162
4. Thanassoulis G, Williams K, Ye K, et al. Relations of change in plasma levels of LDL-C, non-HDL-C and apoB with risk reduction from statin therapy: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *J Am Heart Assoc*. Apr 14 2014; 3(2): e000759. PMID 24732920
5. van Holten TC, Waanders LF, de Groot PG, et al. Circulating biomarkers for predicting cardiovascular disease risk; a systematic review and comprehensive overview of meta-analyses. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8(4): e62080. PMID 23630624
6. Tzoulaki I, Siontis KC, Evangelou E, et al. Bias in associations of emerging biomarkers with cardiovascular disease. *JAMA Intern Med*. Apr 22 2013; 173(8): 664-71. PMID 23529078
7. Willis A, Davies M, Yates T, et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease using validated risk scores: a systematic review. *J R Soc Med*. Aug 2012; 105(8): 348-56. PMID 22907552
8. Robinson JG, Wang S, Jacobson TA. Meta-analysis of comparison of effectiveness of lowering apolipoprotein B versus low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and nonhigh-density lipoprotein cholesterol for cardiovascular risk reduction in randomized trials. *Am J Cardiol*. Nov 15 2012; 110(10): 1468-76. PMID 22906895
9. Di Angelantonio E, Gao P, Pennells L, et al. Lipid-related markers and cardiovascular disease prediction. *JAMA*. Jun 20 2012; 307(23): 2499-506. PMID 22797450
10. Lamarche B, Moorjani S, Lupien PJ, et al. Apolipoprotein A-I and B levels and the risk of ischemic heart disease during a five-year follow-up of men in the Quebec cardiovascular study. *Circulation*. Aug 01 1996; 94(3): 273-8. PMID 8759066
11. Walldius G, Jungner I, Holme I, et al. High apolipoprotein B, low apolipoprotein A-I, and improvement in the prediction of fatal myocardial infarction (AMORIS study): a prospective study. *Lancet*. Dec 15 2001; 358(9298): 2026-33. PMID 11755609
12. Ridker PM, Rifai N, Cook NR, et al. Non-HDL cholesterol, apolipoproteins A-I and B100, standard lipid measures, lipid ratios, and CRP as risk factors for cardiovascular disease in women. *JAMA*. Jul 20 2005; 294(3): 326-33. PMID 16030277
13. Benn M, Nordestgaard BG, Jensen GB, et al. Improving prediction of ischemic cardiovascular disease in the general population using apolipoprotein B: the Copenhagen City Heart Study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. Mar 2007; 27(3): 661-70. PMID 17170368
14. Kappelle PJ, Gansevoort RT, Hillege JL, et al. Apolipoprotein B/A-I and total cholesterol/high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratios both predict cardiovascular events in the general population independently of nonlipid risk factors, albuminuria and C-reactive protein. *J Intern Med*. Feb 2011; 269(2): 232-42. PMID 21129046
15. Pencina MJ, D'Agostino RB, Zdrojewski T, et al. Apolipoprotein B improves risk assessment of future coronary heart disease in the Framingham Heart Study beyond LDL-C and non-HDL-C. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. Oct 2015; 22(10): 1321-7. PMID 25633587
16. Sharrett AR, Ballantyne CM, Coady SA, et al. Coronary heart disease prediction from lipoprotein cholesterol levels, triglycerides, lipoprotein(a), apolipoproteins A-I and B, and HDL density subfractions: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. *Circulation*. Sep 04 2001; 104(10): 1108-13. PMID 11535564
17. Rasouli M, Kiasari AM, Mokhberi V. The ratio of apoB/apoA1, apoB and lipoprotein(a) are the best predictors of stable coronary artery disease. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2006; 44(8): 1015-21. PMID 16879071
18. Walldius G, Jungner I. Apolipoprotein B and apolipoprotein A-I: risk indicators of coronary heart disease and targets for lipid-modifying therapy. *J Intern Med*. Feb 2004; 255(2): 188-205. PMID 14746556
19. Ridker PM, Buring JE, Rifai N, et al. Development and validation of improved algorithms for the assessment of global cardiovascular risk in women: the Reynolds Risk Score. *JAMA*. Feb 14 2007; 297(6): 611-9. PMID 17299196
20. Ingelsson E, Schaefer EJ, Contois JH, et al. Clinical utility of different lipid measures for prediction of coronary heart disease in men and women. *JAMA*. Aug 15 2007; 298(7): 776-85. PMID 17699011
21. Sniderman AD, Islam S, Yusuf S, et al. Discordance analysis of apolipoprotein B and non-high density lipoprotein cholesterol as markers of cardiovascular risk in the INTERHEART study. *Atherosclerosis*. Dec 2012; 225(2): 444-9. PMID 23068583
22. Clarke R, Emberson JR, Parish S, et al. Cholesterol fractions and apolipoproteins as risk factors for heart disease mortality in older men. *Arch Intern Med*. Jul 09 2007; 167(13): 1373-8. PMID 17620530
23. van der Steeg WA, Boekholdt SM, Stein EA, et al. Role of the apolipoprotein B-apolipoprotein A-I ratio in cardiovascular risk assessment: a case-control analysis in EPIC-Norfolk. *Ann Intern Med*. May 01 2007; 146(9): 640-8. PMID 17470832
24. Gotto AM, Whitney E, Stein EA, et al. Relation between baseline and on-treatment lipid parameters and first acute major coronary events in the Air Force/Texas Coronary Atherosclerosis Prevention Study (AFCAPS/TexCAPS). *Circulation*. Feb 08 2000; 101(5): 477-84. PMID 10662743

25. Simes RJ, Marschner IC, Hunt D, et al. Relationship between lipid levels and clinical outcomes in the Long-term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischemic Disease (LIPID) Trial: to what extent is the reduction in coronary events with pravastatin explained by on-study lipid levels?. *Circulation*. Mar 12 2002; 105(10): 1162-9. PMID 11889008
26. Kastelein JJ, van der Steeg WA, Holme I, et al. Lipids, apolipoproteins, and their ratios in relation to cardiovascular events with statin treatment. *Circulation*. Jun 10 2008; 117(23): 3002-9. PMID 18519851
27. Mora S, Wenger NK, Demicco DA, et al. Determinants of residual risk in secondary prevention patients treated with high- versus low-dose statin therapy: the Treating to New Targets (TNT) study. *Circulation*. Apr 24 2012; 125(16): 1979-87. PMID 22461416
28. Bennet AM, Di Angelantonio E, Ye Z, et al. Association of apolipoprotein E genotypes with lipid levels and coronary risk. *JAMA*. Sep 19 2007; 298(11): 1300-11. PMID 17878422
29. Sofat R, Cooper JA, Kumari M, et al. Circulating Apolipoprotein E Concentration and Cardiovascular Disease Risk: Meta-analysis of Results from Three Studies. *PLoS Med*. Oct 2016; 13(10): e1002146. PMID 27755538
30. Koch W, Hoppmann P, Schomig A, et al. Apolipoprotein E gene epsilon2/epsilon3/epsilon4 polymorphism and myocardial infarction: case-control study in a large population sample. *Int J Cardiol*. Mar 28 2008; 125(1): 116-7. PMID 17433475
31. Kulminski AM, Ukraintseva SV, Arbeev KG, et al. Health-protective and adverse effects of the apolipoprotein E epsilon2 allele in older men. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. Mar 2008; 56(3): 478-83. PMID 18179501
32. Schmitz F, Mevissen V, Krantz C, et al. Robust association of the APOE epsilon4 allele with premature myocardial infarction especially in patients without hypercholesterolaemia: the Aachen study. *Eur J Clin Invest*. Feb 2007; 37(2): 106-8. PMID 17217375
33. Vaisi-Raygani A, Rahimi Z, Nomani H, et al. The presence of apolipoprotein epsilon4 and epsilon2 alleles augments the risk of coronary artery disease in type 2 diabetic patients. *Clin Biochem*. Oct 2007; 40(15): 1150-6. PMID 17689519
34. Ciftdogan DY, Coskun S, Ulman C, et al. The association of apolipoprotein E polymorphism and lipid levels in children with a family history of premature coronary artery disease. *J Clin Lipidol*. Jan-Feb 2012; 6(1): 81-7. PMID 22264578
35. Vasunilashorn S, Gleit DA, Lan CY, et al. Apolipoprotein E is associated with blood lipids and inflammation in Taiwanese older adults. *Atherosclerosis*. Nov 2011; 219(1): 349-54. PMID 21840004
36. de Andrade M, Thandi I, Brown S, et al. Relationship of the apolipoprotein E polymorphism with carotid artery atherosclerosis. *Am J Hum Genet*. Jun 1995; 56(6): 1379-90. PMID 7762561
37. Eichner JE, Kuller LH, Orchard TJ, et al. Relation of apolipoprotein E phenotype to myocardial infarction and mortality from coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol*. Jan 15 1993; 71(2): 160-5. PMID 8421977
38. Wilson PW, Myers RH, Larson MG, et al. Apolipoprotein E alleles, dyslipidemia, and coronary heart disease. The Framingham Offspring Study. *JAMA*. Dec 07 1994; 272(21): 1666-71. PMID 7966894
39. Wilson PW, Schaefer EJ, Larson MG, et al. Apolipoprotein E alleles and risk of coronary disease. A meta-analysis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. Oct 1996; 16(10): 1250-5. PMID 8857921
40. Volcik KA, Barkley RA, Hutchinson RG, et al. Apolipoprotein E polymorphisms predict low density lipoprotein cholesterol levels and carotid artery wall thickness but not incident coronary heart disease in 12,491 ARIC study participants. *Am J Epidemiol*. Aug 15 2006; 164(4): 342-8. PMID 16760224
41. Singh K, Chandra A, Sperry T, et al. Associations Between High-Density Lipoprotein Particles and Ischemic Events by Vascular Domain, Sex, and Ethnicity: A Pooled Cohort Analysis. *Circulation*. Aug 18 2020; 142(7): 657-669. PMID 32804568
42. Mora S, Glynn RJ, Ridker PM. High-density lipoprotein cholesterol, size, particle number, and residual vascular risk after potent statin therapy. *Circulation*. Sep 10 2013; 128(11): 1189-97. PMID 24002795
43. Stampfer MJ, Krauss RM, Ma J, et al. A prospective study of triglyceride level, low-density lipoprotein particle diameter, and risk of myocardial infarction. *JAMA*. Sep 18 1996; 276(11): 882-8. PMID 8782637
44. Lamarche B, Tchernof A, Moorjani S, et al. Small, dense low-density lipoprotein particles as a predictor of the risk of ischemic heart disease in men. Prospective results from the Quebec Cardiovascular Study. *Circulation*. Jan 07 1997; 95(1): 69-75. PMID 8994419
45. Tzou WS, Douglas PS, Srinivasan SR, et al. Advanced lipoprotein testing does not improve identification of subclinical atherosclerosis in young adults: the Bogalusa Heart Study. *Ann Intern Med*. May 03 2005; 142(9): 742-50. PMID 15867406
46. Blake GJ, Otvos JD, Rifai N, et al. Low-density lipoprotein particle concentration and size as determined by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy as predictors of cardiovascular disease in women. *Circulation*. Oct 08 2002; 106(15): 1930-7. PMID 12370215
47. Kuller L, Arnold A, Tracy R, et al. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy of lipoproteins and risk of coronary heart disease in the cardiovascular health study. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. Jul 01 2002; 22(7): 1175-80. PMID 12117734
48. Rosenson RS, Otvos JD, Freedman DS. Relations of lipoprotein subclass levels and low-density lipoprotein size to progression of coronary artery disease in the Pravastatin Limitation of Atherosclerosis in the Coronary Arteries (PLAC-I) trial. *Am J Cardiol*. Jul 15 2002; 90(2): 89-94. PMID 12106834
49. Otvos JD, Jeyarajah EJ, Cromwell WC. Measurement issues related to lipoprotein heterogeneity. *Am J Cardiol*. Oct 17 2002; 90(8A): 22i-29i. PMID 12419478
50. Rosenson RS, Underberg JA. Systematic review: Evaluating the effect of lipid-lowering therapy on lipoprotein and lipid values. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther*. Oct 2013; 27(5): 465-79. PMID 23893306
51. Mora S, Otvos JD, Rifai N, et al. Lipoprotein particle profiles by nuclear magnetic resonance compared with standard lipids and apolipoproteins in predicting incident cardiovascular disease in women. *Circulation*. Feb 24 2009; 119(7): 931-9. PMID 19204302
52. Toth PP, Grabner M, Punekar RS, et al. Cardiovascular risk in patients achieving low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and particle targets. *Atherosclerosis*. Aug 2014; 235(2): 585-91. PMID 24956532
53. Bennet A, Di Angelantonio E, Erqou S, et al. Lipoprotein(a) levels and risk of future coronary heart disease: large-scale prospective data. *Arch Intern Med*. Mar 24 2008; 168(6): 598-608. PMID 18362252

54. Smolders B, Lemmens R, Thijs V. Lipoprotein (a) and stroke: a meta-analysis of observational studies. *Stroke*. Jun 2007; 38(6): 1959-66. PMID 17478739
55. Khera AV, Everett BM, Caulfield MP, et al. Lipoprotein(a) concentrations, rosuvastatin therapy, and residual vascular risk: an analysis from the JUPITER Trial (Justification for the Use of Statins in Prevention: an Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin). *Circulation*. Feb 11 2014; 129(6): 635-42. PMID 24243886
56. Albers JJ, Slee A, O'Brien KD, et al. Relationship of apolipoproteins A-1 and B, and lipoprotein(a) to cardiovascular outcomes: the AIM-HIGH trial (Atherothrombosis Intervention in Metabolic Syndrome with Low HDL/High Triglyceride and Impact on Global Health Outcomes). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Oct 22 2013; 62(17): 1575-9. PMID 23973688
57. Kamstrup PR, Benn M, Tybjaerg-Hansen A, et al. Extreme lipoprotein(a) levels and risk of myocardial infarction in the general population: the Copenhagen City Heart Study. *Circulation*. Jan 15 2008; 117(2): 176-84. PMID 18086931
58. Tzoulaki I, Murray GD, Lee AJ, et al. Relative value of inflammatory, hemostatic, and rheological factors for incident myocardial infarction and stroke: the Edinburgh Artery Study. *Circulation*. Apr 24 2007; 115(16): 2119-27. PMID 17404162
59. Zakai NA, Katz R, Jenny NS, et al. Inflammation and hemostasis biomarkers and cardiovascular risk in the elderly: the Cardiovascular Health Study. *J Thromb Haemost*. Jun 2007; 5(6): 1128-35. PMID 17388967
60. Waldeyer C, Makarova N, Zeller T, et al. Lipoprotein(a) and the risk of cardiovascular disease in the European population: results from the BiomarCaRE consortium. *Eur Heart J*. Aug 21 2017; 38(32): 2490-2498. PMID 28449027
61. Lee SR, Prasad A, Choi YS, et al. LPA Gene, Ethnicity, and Cardiovascular Events. *Circulation*. Jan 17 2017; 135(3): 251-263. PMID 27831500
62. Rigal M, Ruidavets JB, Viguier A, et al. Lipoprotein (a) and risk of ischemic stroke in young adults. *J Neurol Sci*. Jan 15 2007; 252(1): 39-44. PMID 17113602
63. Suk Danik J, Rifai N, Buring JE, et al. Lipoprotein(a), hormone replacement therapy, and risk of future cardiovascular events. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Jul 08 2008; 52(2): 124-31. PMID 18598891
64. Genser B, Dias KC, Siekmeier R, et al. Lipoprotein (a) and risk of cardiovascular disease--a systematic review and meta analysis of prospective studies. *Clin Lab*. 2011; 57(3-4): 143-56. PMID 21500721
65. Erqou S, Kaptoge S, Perry PL, et al. Lipoprotein(a) concentration and the risk of coronary heart disease, stroke, and nonvascular mortality. *JAMA*. Jul 22 2009; 302(4): 412-23. PMID 19622820
66. Schaefer EJ, Lamon-Fava S, Jenner JL, et al. Lipoprotein(a) levels and risk of coronary heart disease in men. The lipid Research Clinics Coronary Primary Prevention Trial. *JAMA*. Apr 06 1994; 271(13): 999-1003. PMID 8139085
67. Nestel PJ, Barnes EH, Tonkin AM, et al. Plasma lipoprotein(a) concentration predicts future coronary and cardiovascular events in patients with stable coronary heart disease. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. Dec 2013; 33(12): 2902-8. PMID 24092750
68. Bostom AG, Cupples LA, Jenner JL, et al. Elevated plasma lipoprotein(a) and coronary heart disease in men aged 55 years and younger. A prospective study. *JAMA*. Aug 21 1996; 276(7): 544-8. PMID 8709403
69. Ohira T, Schreiner PJ, Morrisett JD, et al. Lipoprotein(a) and incident ischemic stroke: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. *Stroke*. Jun 2006; 37(6): 1407-12. PMID 16675734
70. Fogacci F, Cicero AF, D'Addato S, et al. Serum lipoprotein(a) level as long-term predictor of cardiovascular mortality in a large sample of subjects in primary cardiovascular prevention: data from the Brisighella Heart Study. *Eur J Intern Med*. Jan 2017; 37: 49-55. PMID 27553697
71. Ridker PM, Hennekens CH, Stampfer MJ. A prospective study of lipoprotein(a) and the risk of myocardial infarction. *JAMA*. Nov 10 1993; 270(18): 2195-9. PMID 8411602
72. Bolibar I, von Eckardstein A, Assmann G, et al. Short-term prognostic value of lipid measurements in patients with angina pectoris. The ECAT Angina Pectoris Study Group: European Concerted Action on Thrombosis and Disabilities. *Thromb Haemost*. Dec 2000; 84(6): 955-60. PMID 11154140
73. Clarke R, Peden JF, Hopewell JC, et al. Genetic variants associated with Lp(a) lipoprotein level and coronary disease. *N Engl J Med*. Dec 24 2009; 361(26): 2518-28. PMID 20032323
74. Shaw LJ, Polk DM, Kahute TA, et al. Prognostic accuracy of B-natriuretic peptide measurements and coronary artery calcium in asymptomatic subjects from the Early Identification of Subclinical Atherosclerosis by Noninvasive Imaging Research [EISNER] study. *Am J Cardiol*. Nov 01 2009; 104(9): 1245-50. PMID 19840570
75. Wu Z, Pilbrow AP, Liew OW, et al. Circulating cardiac biomarkers improve risk stratification for incident cardiovascular disease in community dwelling populations. *EBioMedicine*. Aug 2022; 82: 104170. PMID 35850010
76. Melander O, Newton-Cheh C, Almgren P, et al. Novel and conventional biomarkers for prediction of incident cardiovascular events in the community. *JAMA*. Jul 01 2009; 302(1): 49-57. PMID 19567439
77. Wang TJ, Larson MG, Levy D, et al. Plasma natriuretic peptide levels and the risk of cardiovascular events and death. *N Engl J Med*. Feb 12 2004; 350(7): 655-63. PMID 14960742
78. Ito H, Pacold IV, Durazo-Arvizu R, et al. The effect of including cystatin C or creatinine in a cardiovascular risk model for asymptomatic individuals: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. *Am J Epidemiol*. Oct 15 2011; 174(8): 949-57. PMID 21880578
79. Lee M, Saver JL, Huang WH, et al. Impact of elevated cystatin C level on cardiovascular disease risk in predominantly high cardiovascular risk populations: a meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. Nov 2010; 3(6): 675-83. PMID 20923994
80. Luo J, Wang LP, Hu HF, et al. Cystatin C and cardiovascular or all-cause mortality risk in the general population: A meta-analysis. *Clin Chim Acta*. Oct 23 2015; 450: 39-45. PMID 26192218
81. Kengne AP, Czernichow S, Stamatakis E, et al. Fibrinogen and future cardiovascular disease in people with diabetes: aetiological associations and risk prediction using individual participant data from nine community-based prospective cohort studies. *Diab Vasc Dis Res*. Mar 2013; 10(2): 143-51. PMID 22786872
82. Willeit P, Thompson SG, Agewall S, et al. Inflammatory markers and extent and progression of early atherosclerosis: Meta-analysis of individual-participant-data from 20 prospective studies of the PROG-IMT collaboration. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. Jan 2016; 23(2): 194-205. PMID

25416041

83. Ahmadi-Abhari S, Luben RN, Wareham NJ, et al. Seventeen year risk of all-cause and cause-specific mortality associated with C-reactive protein, fibrinogen and leukocyte count in men and women: the EPIC-Norfolk study. *Eur J Epidemiol.* Jul 2013; 28(7): 541-50. PMID 23821244
84. Danesh J, Lewington S, Thompson SG, et al. Plasma fibrinogen level and the risk of major cardiovascular diseases and nonvascular mortality: an individual participant meta-analysis. *JAMA.* Oct 12 2005; 294(14): 1799-809. PMID 16219884
85. Kaptoge S, White IR, Thompson SG, et al. Associations of plasma fibrinogen levels with established cardiovascular disease risk factors, inflammatory markers, and other characteristics: individual participant meta-analysis of 154,211 adults in 31 prospective studies: the fibrinogen studies collaboration. *Am J Epidemiol.* Oct 15 2007; 166(8): 867-79. PMID 17785713
86. Sattar N, Wannamethee G, Sarwar N, et al. Leptin and coronary heart disease: prospective study and systematic review. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* Jan 13 2009; 53(2): 167-75. PMID 19130985
87. Zeng R, Xu CH, Xu YN, et al. Association of leptin levels with pathogenetic risk of coronary heart disease and stroke: a meta-analysis. *Arq Bras Endocrinol Metabol.* Nov 2014; 58(8): 817-23. PMID 25465603
88. Yang H, Guo W, Li J, et al. Leptin concentration and risk of coronary heart disease and stroke: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* 2017; 12(3): e0166360. PMID 28278178
89. Boekholdt SM, Arsenault BJ, Mora S, et al. Association of LDL cholesterol, non-HDL cholesterol, and apolipoprotein B levels with risk of cardiovascular events among patients treated with statins: a meta-analysis. *JAMA.* Mar 28 2012; 307(12): 1302-9. PMID 22453571
90. Boekholdt SM, Hovingh GK, Mora S, et al. Very low levels of atherogenic lipoproteins and the risk for cardiovascular events: a meta-analysis of statin trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* Aug 05 2014; 64(5): 485-94. PMID 25082583
91. Ballantyne CM, Pitt B, Loscalzo J, et al. Alteration of relation of atherogenic lipoprotein cholesterol to apolipoprotein B by intensive statin therapy in patients with acute coronary syndrome (from the Limiting Under-treatment of lipids in ACS With Rosuvastatin [LUNAR] Trial). *Am J Cardiol.* Feb 15 2013; 111(4): 506-9. PMID 23237107
92. Mora S, Glynn RJ, Boekholdt SM, et al. On-treatment non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, apolipoprotein B, triglycerides, and lipid ratios in relation to residual vascular risk after treatment with potent statin therapy: JUPITER (justification for the use of statins in prevention: an intervention trial evaluating rosuvastatin). *J Am Coll Cardiol.* Apr 24 2012; 59(17): 1521-8. PMID 22516441
93. Ray KK, Cannon CP, Cairns R, et al. Prognostic utility of apoB/AI, total cholesterol/HDL, non-HDL cholesterol, or hs-CRP as predictors of clinical risk in patients receiving statin therapy after acute coronary syndromes: results from PROVE IT-TIMI 22. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* Mar 2009; 29(3): 424-30. PMID 19122170
94. Osei-Hwedie DO, Amar M, Sviridov D, et al. Apolipoprotein mimetic peptides: Mechanisms of action as anti-atherogenic agents. *Pharmacol Ther.* Apr 2011; 130(1): 83-91. PMID 21172387
95. Ordovas JM, Mooser V. The APOE locus and the pharmacogenetics of lipid response. *Curr Opin Lipidol.* Apr 2002; 13(2): 113-7. PMID 11891412
96. Sarkkinen E, Korhonen M, Erkkila A, et al. Effect of apolipoprotein E polymorphism on serum lipid response to the separate modification of dietary fat and dietary cholesterol. *Am J Clin Nutr.* Dec 1998; 68(6): 1215-22. PMID 9846849
97. Carmena R, Roederer G, Mailloux H, et al. The response to lovastatin treatment in patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia is modulated by apolipoprotein E polymorphism. *Metabolism.* Jul 1993; 42(7): 895-901. PMID 8345800
98. Chiodini BD, Franzosi MG, Barlera S, et al. Apolipoprotein E polymorphisms influence effect of pravastatin on survival after myocardial infarction in a Mediterranean population: the GISSI-Prevenzione study. *Eur Heart J.* Aug 2007; 28(16): 1977-83. PMID 17567623
99. Donnelly LA, Palmer CN, Whitley AL, et al. Apolipoprotein E genotypes are associated with lipid-lowering responses to statin treatment in diabetes: a Go-DARTS study. *Pharmacogenet Genomics.* Apr 2008; 18(4): 279-87. PMID 18334912
100. Vossen CY, Hoffmann MM, Hahmann H, et al. Effect of APOE genotype on lipid levels in patients with coronary heart disease during a 3-week inpatient rehabilitation program. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* Aug 2008; 84(2): 222-7. PMID 18388879
101. Kwiterovich PO. Clinical relevance of the biochemical, metabolic, and genetic factors that influence low-density lipoprotein heterogeneity. *Am J Cardiol.* Oct 17 2002; 90(8A): 30i-47i. PMID 12419479
102. Superko HR, Berneis KK, Williams PT, et al. Gemfibrozil reduces small low-density lipoprotein more in normolipemic subjects classified as low-density lipoprotein pattern B compared with pattern A. *Am J Cardiol.* Nov 01 2005; 96(9): 1266-72. PMID 16253595
103. Sirtori CR, Calabresi L, Pisciotta L, et al. Effect of statins on LDL particle size in patients with familial combined hyperlipidemia: a comparison between atorvastatin and pravastatin. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis.* Feb 2005; 15(1): 47-55. PMID 15871851
104. Arca M, Montali A, Pigna G, et al. Comparison of atorvastatin versus fenofibrate in reaching lipid targets and influencing biomarkers of endothelial damage in patients with familial combined hyperlipidemia. *Metabolism.* Nov 2007; 56(11): 1534-41. PMID 17950105
105. Rosenson RS, Wolff DA, Huskin AL, et al. Fenofibrate therapy ameliorates fasting and postprandial lipoproteinemia, oxidative stress, and the inflammatory response in subjects with hypertriglyceridemia and the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes Care.* Aug 2007; 30(8): 1945-51. PMID 17483155
106. Tokuno A, Hirano T, Hayashi T, et al. The effects of statin and fibrate on lowering small dense LDL- cholesterol in hyperlipidemic patients with type 2 diabetes. *J Atheroscler Thromb.* Jun 2007; 14(3): 128-32. PMID 17587764
107. Miller BD, Alderman EL, Haskell WL, et al. Predominance of dense low-density lipoprotein particles predicts angiographic benefit of therapy in the Stanford Coronary Risk Intervention Project. *Circulation.* Nov 01 1996; 94(9): 2146-53. PMID 8901665
108. Brown G, Albers JJ, Fisher LD, et al. Regression of coronary artery disease as a result of intensive lipid-lowering therapy in men with high levels of apolipoprotein B. *N Engl J Med.* Nov 08 1990; 323(19): 1289-98. PMID 2215615
109. Campos H, Moye LA, Glasser SP, et al. Low-density lipoprotein size, pravastatin treatment, and coronary events. *JAMA.* Sep 26 2001; 286(12): 1468-74. PMID 11572739
110. Bays HE, Dujovne CA, McGovern ME, et al. Comparison of once-daily, niacin extended-release/lovastatin with standard doses of atorvastatin and simvastatin (the ADVICOR Versus Other Cholesterol-Modulating Agents Trial Evaluation [ADVOCATE]). *Am J Cardiol.* Mar 15 2003; 91(6):

667-72. PMID 12633795

111. van Wissen S, Smilde TJ, Trip MD, et al. Long term statin treatment reduces lipoprotein(a) concentrations in heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia. *Heart*. Aug 2003; 89(8): 893-6. PMID 12860867
112. Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Merz CN, et al. Implications of recent clinical trials for the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III guidelines. *Circulation*. Jul 13 2004; 110(2): 227-39. PMID 15249516
113. National Heart Lung and Blood Institute. Managing Blood Cholesterol in Adults: Systematic Evidence Review From the Cholesterol Expert Panel, 2013. Bethesda, MD: National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; 2013. <https://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/sites/default/files/media/docs/cholesterol-in-adults.pdf>. Accessed November 9, 2022.
114. Stone NJ, Robinson JG, Lichtenstein AH, et al. 2013 ACC/AHA guideline on the treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Jul 01 2014; 63(25 Pt B): 2889-934. PMID 24239923
115. Arnett DK, Blumenthal RS, Albert MA, et al. 2019 ACC/AHA Guideline on the Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. Sep 10 2019; 140(11): e596-e646. PMID 30879355
116. Brunzell JD, Davidson M, Furberg CD, et al. Lipoprotein management in patients with cardiometabolic risk: consensus statement from the American Diabetes Association and the American College of Cardiology Foundation. *Diabetes Care*. Apr 2008; 31(4): 811-22. PMID 18375431
117. Draznin B, Aroda VR, Bakris G, et al. 10. Cardiovascular Disease and Risk Management: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2022. *Diabetes Care*. Jan 01 2022; 45(Suppl 1): S144-S174. PMID 34964815
118. Jellinger PS, Handelsman Y, Rosenblit PD, et al. AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF CLINICAL ENDOCRINOLOGISTS AND AMERICAN COLLEGE OF ENDOCRINOLOGY GUIDELINES FOR MANAGEMENT OF DYSLIPIDEMIA AND PREVENTION OF CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE. *Endocr Pract*. Apr 2017; 23(Suppl 2): 1-87. PMID 28437620
119. Handelsman Y, Jellinger PS, Guerin CK, et al. Consensus Statement by the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology on the Management of Dyslipidemia and Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease Algorithm - 2020 Executive Summary. *Endocr Pract*. Oct 2020; 26(10): 1196-1224. PMID 33471721
120. Jacobson TA, Ito MK, Maki KC, et al. National Lipid Association recommendations for patient-centered management of dyslipidemia: part 1 - executive summary. *J Clin Lipidol*. Sep-Oct 2014; 8(5): 473-88. PMID 25234560
121. Grundy SM, Stone NJ, Bailey AL, et al. 2018 AHA/ACC/AACVPR/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/ADA/AGS/APhA/ASPC/NLA/PCNA Guideline on the Management of Blood Cholesterol: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. Jun 18 2019; 139(25): e1082-e1143. PMID 30586774
122. Wilson DP, Jacobson TA, Jones PH, et al. Use of Lipoprotein(a) in clinical practice: A biomarker whose time has come. A scientific statement from the National Lipid Association. *J Clin Lipidol*. May 2019; 13(3): 374-392. PMID 31147269
123. Wilson PW, Jacobson TA, Martin SS, et al. Lipid measurements in the management of cardiovascular diseases: Practical recommendations a scientific statement from the national lipid association writing group. *J Clin Lipidol*. Published online: September 24, 2021
124. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Cardiovascular disease: risk assessment and reduction, including lipid modification [CG181]. 2016; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg181>. Accessed November 8, 2022.
125. Helfand M, Buckley DI, Freeman M, et al. Emerging risk factors for coronary heart disease: a summary of systematic reviews conducted for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med*. Oct 06 2009; 151(7): 496-507. PMID 19805772
126. Curry SJ, Krist AH, Owens DK, et al. Risk Assessment for Cardiovascular Disease With Nontraditional Risk Factors: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. *JAMA*. Jul 17 2018; 320(3): 272-280. PMID 29998297

POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

Date	Action	Description
December 2023	New policy-FEP	Policy updated with literature review through November 9, 2022; references added. Policy statement unchanged. FEP Benefit change, New FEP Policy