



FEP Medical Policy Manual

FEP 1.01.30 Artificial Pancreas Device Systems

Effective Policy Date: July 1, 2021

Original Policy Date: March 2015

Related Policies:

7.03.02 - Allogeneic Pancreas Transplant

7.03.12 - Autologous Islet Transplantation

Artificial Pancreas Device Systems

Description

Description

Automated insulin delivery systems, also known as artificial pancreas device systems, link a glucose monitor to an insulin infusion pump that automatically takes action (eg, suspends or adjusts insulin infusion) based on the glucose monitor reading. These devices are proposed to improve glycemic control in patients with insulin-dependent diabetes, in particular, reduction of nocturnal hypoglycemia.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to determine whether artificial pancreas device systems improve the net health outcome in patients with type 1 diabetes compared with standard glucose monitoring, either continuous glucose monitoring or self-monitoring of blood glucose, plus an insulin pump or multiple insulin injection therapy.

POLICY STATEMENT

Use of a U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved automated insulin delivery system (artificial pancreas device system) with a low-glucose suspend feature may be considered **medically necessary** in patients with type 1 diabetes who meet all of the following criteria:

- Age 6 years and older
- Glycated hemoglobin level between 5.8% and 10.0%
- Used insulin pump therapy for more than 6 months
- At least 2 documented nocturnal hypoglycemic events in a 2-week period.

Use of a FDA approved automated insulin delivery system (artificial pancreas device system) designated as a hybrid closed-loop insulin delivery system (with low glucose suspend and suspend before low features) may be considered **medically necessary** in patients with type 1 diabetes who meet all of the following criteria:

- Over age 6 years AND
 - Glycated hemoglobin level between 5.8% and 10.0%
 - Used insulin pump therapy for more than 6 months
 - At least 2 documented nocturnal hypoglycemic events in a 2-week period.

OR

- Age 2 to 6 years AND
 - Clinical diagnosis of type 1 diabetes for 3 months or more
 - Used insulin pump therapy for more than 3 months
 - Glycated hemoglobin level <10.0%
 - Minimum daily insulin requirement (Total Daily Dose) of greater than or equal to 8 units

Use of an automated insulin delivery system (artificial pancreas device system) is **investigational** for individuals who do not meet the above criteria.

Use of an automated insulin delivery system (artificial pancreas device system) not approved by the FDA is **investigational**.

POLICY GUIDELINES

None

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) describes the basic design of an artificial pancreas device system as a continuous glucose monitoring linked to an insulin pump with the capability to automatically stop, reduce, or increase insulin infusion based on specified thresholds of measured interstitial glucose.⁵

The artificial pancreas device system components are designed to communicate with each other to automate the process of maintaining blood glucose concentrations at or near a specified range or target and to minimize the incidence and severity of hypoglycemic and hyperglycemic events. An artificial pancreas device system control algorithm is embedded in software in an external processor or controller that receives information from the continuous glucose monitoring and performs a series of mathematical calculations. Based on these calculations, the controller sends dosing instructions to the infusion pump.

Different artificial pancreas device system types are currently available for clinical use. Sensor augmented pump therapy with low glucose suspend (suspend on low) may reduce the likelihood or severity of a hypoglycemic event by suspending insulin delivery temporarily when the sensor value reaches (reactive) a predetermined lower threshold of measured interstitial glucose. Low glucose suspension automatically suspends basal insulin delivery for up to 2 hours in response to sensor-detected hypoglycemia.

A sensor augmented pump therapy with predictive low glucose management (suspend before low) suspends basal insulin infusion with the prediction of hypoglycemia. Basal insulin infusion is suspended when sensor glucose is at or within 70 mg/dL above the patient-set low limit and is predicted to be 20 mg/dL above this low limit in 30 minutes. In the absence of a patient response, the insulin infusion resumes after a maximum suspend period of 2 hours. In certain circumstances, auto-resumption parameters may be used.

When a sensor value is above or predicted to remain above the threshold, the infusion pump will not take any action based on continuous glucose monitoring readings. Patients using this system still need to monitor their blood glucose concentration, set appropriate basal rates for their insulin pump, and give premeal bolus insulin to control their glucose levels.

A control-to-range system reduces the likelihood or severity of a hypoglycemic or hyperglycemic event by adjusting insulin dosing only if a person's glucose levels reach or approach predetermined higher and lower thresholds. When a patient's glucose concentration is within the specified range, the infusion pump will not take any action based upon continuous glucose monitoring readings. Patients using this system still need to monitor their blood glucose concentration, set appropriate basal rates for their insulin pump, and give premeal bolus insulin to control their glucose levels.

A control-to-target system sets target glucose levels and tries to maintain these levels at all times. This system is fully automated and requires no interaction from the user (except for calibration of the continuous glucose monitoring). There are 2 subtypes of control-to-target systems: insulin-only and bihormonal (eg, glucagon). There are no systems administering glucagon marketed in the United States.

An artificial pancreas device system may also be referred to as a "closed-loop" system. A closed-loop system has automated insulin delivery and continuous glucose sensing and insulin delivery without patient intervention. The systems utilize a control algorithm that autonomously and continually increases and decreases the subcutaneous insulin delivery based on real-time sensor glucose levels. There are no completely closed-loop insulin delivery systems marketed in the United States.

A hybrid closed-loop system also uses automated insulin delivery with continuous basal insulin delivery adjustments. However, at mealtime, the patient enters the number of carbohydrates they are eating in order for the insulin pump to determine the bolus meal dose of insulin. A hybrid system option with the patient administration of a premeal or partial premeal insulin bolus can be used in either control-to-range or control-to-target systems.

These systems are regulated by the FDA as class III device systems.

Table 1 summarizes the FDA-approved automated insulin delivery systems.

Table 1. FDA-Approved Automated Insulin Delivery Systems (Artificial Pancreas Device Systems)

Device	Age Indication	Manufacturer	Date Approved	PMA No./Device Code
MiniMed 530G System ^a (open-loop, LGS)	≥16 y	Medtronic	Jul 2013	P120010/OZO
MiniMed 630G System with SmartGuard™ ^b (open-loop, LGS)	≥16 y ≥ 14 y	Medtronic	Aug 2016 Jun 2017	P150001/OZO P150001/S008
MiniMed 670G System ^c (HCL, LGS or PLGM)	≥14 y ≥7-13 y	Medtronic	Sep 2016 Jul 2018	P160017/OZP P160017/S031
MiniMed 770G System ^d (HCL) ⁶	≥2 y	Medtronic	Aug 2020	P160017/S076
t:slim X2 Insulin Pump with Basal-IQ Technology (LGS) ⁷	>6 y	Tandem	Jun 2018	P180008/OZO, PQF
t:slim X2 Insulin Pump with Control-IQ Technology (HCL)	>6y	Tandem	Dec 2019	DEN180058/QFG

FDA: U.S. Food and Drug Administration; LGS: low glucose suspend; OZO: Artificial Pancreas Device System, threshold suspend; OZP: Automated Insulin Dosing Device System, Single Hormonal Control; PMA: premarket approval; PLGM: predictive low glucose management.

^aMiniMed 530G System consists of the following devices that can be used in combination or individually: MiniMed 530G Insulin Pump, Enlite™ Sensor, Enlite™ Serter, the MiniLink Real-Time System, the Bayer Contour NextLink glucose meter, CareLink Professional Therapy Management Software for Diabetes, and CareLink Personal Therapy Management Software for Diabetes (at time of approval).

^bMiniMed 630G System with SmartGuard™ consists of the following devices: MiniMed 630G Insulin Pump, Enlite Sensor, One-Press Serter, Guardian Link Transmitter System, CareLink USB, Bayer's CONTOUR NEXT LINK 2.4 Wireless Meter, and Bayer's CONTOUR NEXT Test Strips (at time of approval).

^cMiniMed 670G System consists of the following devices: MiniMed 670G Pump, the Guardian Link (3) Transmitter, the Guardian Sensor (3), One-Press Serter, and the Contour NEXT Link 2.4 Glucose Meter (at time of approval).

MiniMed 770G System consists of the following devices: MiniMed 770G Insulin Pump, the Guardian Link (3) Transmitter, the Guardian Sensor (3), one-press serter, the Accu-Chek Guide™ Link blood glucose meter, and the Accu-Chek Guide™ Test Strips.

The MiniMed 530G System includes a threshold suspend or low glucose suspend feature.⁸ The threshold suspend tool temporarily suspends insulin delivery when the sensor glucose level is at or below a preset threshold within the 60- to 90-mg/dL range. When the glucose value reaches this threshold, an alarm sounds. If patients respond to the alarm, they can choose to continue or cancel the insulin suspend feature. If patients fail to respond, the pump automatically suspends action for 2 hours, and then insulin therapy resumes.

The MiniMed 630G System with SmartGuard™, which is similar to the 530G, includes updates to the system components including waterproofing.⁹ The threshold suspend feature can be programmed to temporarily suspend delivery of insulin for up to 2 hours when the sensor glucose value falls below a predefined threshold value. The MiniMed 630G System with SmartGuard™ is not intended to be used directly for making therapy adjustments, but rather to provide an indication of when a finger stick may be required. All therapy adjustments should be based on measurements obtained using a home glucose monitor and not on the values provided by the MiniMed 630G system. The device is not intended to be used directly for preventing or treating hypoglycemia but to suspend insulin delivery when the user is unable to respond to the SmartGuard™ Suspend on Low alarm to take measures to prevent or treat hypoglycemia themselves.

The MiniMed 670G System is a hybrid closed-loop insulin delivery system consisting of an insulin pump, a glucose meter, and a transmitter, linked by a proprietary algorithm and the SmartGuard Hybrid Closed Loop.¹⁰ The system includes a low glucose suspend feature that suspends insulin delivery; this feature either suspends delivery on low-glucose levels or suspends delivery before low-glucose levels, and has an optional alarm (manual mode). Additionally, the system allows semiautomatic basal insulin-level adjustment (decrease or increase) to preset targets (automatic mode). As a hybrid system; basal insulin levels are automatically adjusted, but the patient needs to administer premeal insulin boluses. The continuous glucose monitoring component of the MiniMed 670G System is not intended to be used directly for making manual insulin therapy adjustments; rather it is to provide an indication of when a glucose measurement should be taken. The MiniMed 670G System was originally approved for marketing in the United States on September 28, 2016 (P160017), and received approval for marketing with a pediatric indication (ages 7-13 years) on June 21, 2018 (P160017/S031).

The MiniMed 770G System is an iteration of the MiniMed 670G System. In July 2020, the device was approved for use in children ages 2 to 6 years. In addition to the clinical studies that established the safety and effectiveness of the MiniMed 670G System in users ages 7 years and older, the sponsor performed clinical studies of the 670G System in pediatric subjects ages 2 to 6 years. FDA concluded that these studies establish a reasonable

assurance of the safety and effectiveness of the MiniMed 770G System because the underlying therapy in the 670G system, and the associated Guardian Sensor (3), are identical to that of the 770G System.⁶

On June 21, 2018, the FDA approved the t:slim X2 Insulin Pump with Basal-IQ Technology (PMA P180008) for individuals who are 6 years of age and older.¹¹ The System consists of the t:slim X2 Insulin Pump paired with the Dexcom G5 Mobile Continuous Glucose Monitoring, as well as the Basal-IQ Technology. The t:slim X2 Insulin Pump is intended for the subcutaneous delivery of insulin, at set and variable rates, for the management of diabetes mellitus in persons requiring insulin. The t:slim X2 Insulin Pump can be used solely for continuous insulin delivery and as part of the System as the receiver for a therapeutic continuous glucose monitoring. The t:slim X2 Insulin Pump running the Basal-IQ Technology can be used to suspend insulin delivery based on continuous glucose monitoring sensor readings.

In December 2019, FDA approved the t:slim X2 Insulin Pump with Control-IQ Technology through the De Novo process.¹² The device uses the same pump hardware as the insulin pump component of the systems approved in t:slim X2 Insulin Pump with Basal-IQ Technology (P180008) and P140015. A custom disposable cartridge is motor-driven to deliver patient programmed basal rates and boluses through an infusion set into subcutaneous tissue.

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have type 1 diabetes who receive an artificial pancreas device system with a low-glucose suspend feature, the evidence includes 2 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) conducted in home settings. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. Primary eligibility criteria of the key RCT, the Automation to Simulate Pancreatic Insulin Response (ASPIRE) trial, were ages 16-to-70 years old, type 1 diabetes, glycated hemoglobin levels between 5.8% and 10.0%, and at least 2 nocturnal hypoglycemic events (≤ 65 mg/dL) lasting more than 20 minutes during a 2-week run-in phase. Both trials required at least 6 months of insulin pump use. Both RCTs reported significantly less hypoglycemia in the treatment group than in the control group. In both trials, primary outcomes were favorable for the group using an artificial pancreas system; however, findings from 1 trial were limited by nonstandard reporting of hypoglycemic episodes, and findings from the other trial were no longer statistically significant when 2 outliers (children) were excluded from analysis. The RCT limited to adults showed an improvement in the primary outcome (area under the curve for nocturnal hypoglycemic events). The area under the curve is not used for assessment in clinical practice but the current technology does allow user and provider review of similar trend data with continuous glucose monitoring. Results from the ASPIRE study suggested that there were increased risks of hyperglycemia and potential diabetic ketoacidosis in subjects using the threshold suspend feature. This finding may be related to whether or not actions are taken by the user to assess glycemic status, etiology of the low glucose (activity, diet or medication), and to resume insulin infusion. Both retrospective and prospective observational studies have reported reductions in rates and severity of hypoglycemic episodes in automated insulin delivery system users. The evidence is sufficient that the magnitude of reduction for hypoglycemic events in the type 1 diabetes population is likely to be clinically significant. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have type 1 diabetes who receive an artificial pancreas device system with a hybrid closed-loop insulin delivery system, the evidence includes multicenter pivotal trials using devices cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, supplemental data and analysis for expanded indications, and more recent studies focused on children and adolescents. Three crossover RCTs using a similar first-generation device approved outside the United States have been reported. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, morbid events, resource utilization, and treatment-related morbidity. Of the 3 crossover RCTs assessing a related device conducted outside the United States, 2 found significantly better outcomes (ie, time spent in nocturnal hypoglycemia and time spent in preferred glycemic range) with the device than with standard care and the other had mixed findings (significant difference in time spent in nocturnal hypoglycemia and no significant difference in time spent in preferred glycemic range). For the U.S. regulatory registration pivotal trial, the primary outcomes were safety and not efficacy. Additional evidence from device performance studies and clinical studies all demonstrate reductions in time spent in various levels of hypoglycemia, improved time in range (70-180 mg/dl), rare diabetic ketoacidosis, and few device-related adverse events. The evidence is sufficient that the magnitude of reduction for hypoglycemic events in the type 1 diabetes population is likely to be clinically significant. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in "Supplemental Information" if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists et al

In 2018, the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology published a joint position statement on the integration of insulin pumps and continuous glucose monitoring in patients with diabetes.²⁹ The statement emphasized the use of continuous glucose monitoring and insulin pump therapy for type 1 diabetes patients who are not in glycemic target ranges despite intensive attempts at self-blood glucose monitoring and multiple insulin injection therapy.

American Diabetes Association

The American Diabetes Association has released multiple publications on controlling type 1 diabetes (Table 2).

Table 2. Recommendations on Diabetes

Date	Title	Publication Type	Recommendation (Level of Evidence)	
2021	Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes	Guideline standard ¹⁸ .	<p>Sensor-augmented pump therapy with automatic low glucose suspend may be considered for adults and youth with diabetes to prevent/mitigate episodes of hypoglycemia.(B)</p> <p>Automated insulin delivery systems may be considered in youth and adults with type 1 diabetes to improve glycemic control. (A)</p> <p>Individual patients may be using systems not approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, such as do-it-yourself closed-loop systems and others; providers cannot prescribe these systems but should provide safety information/troubleshooting/backup advice for the individual devices to enhance patient safety. (E)</p>	
2017	Standardizing Clinically Meaningful Outcome Measures Beyond HbA1c for Type 1 Diabetes	Consensus report ³⁰ ,a	Developed definitions for hypoglycemia, hyperglycemia, time in range, and diabetic ketoacidosis in type 1 diabetes (NA)	

HbA1c: hemoglobin A1c; N/A: not applicable.

a Jointly published with the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists, the American Association of Diabetes Educators, the Endocrine Society, JDRF International, The Leona M. and Harry B. Helmsley Charitable Trust, the Pediatric Endocrine Society, and the T1D Exchange.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

REFERENCES

1. American Diabetes Association. 6. Glycemic Targets. *Diabetes Care*. Jan 2017; 40(Suppl 1): S48-S56. PMID 27979893
2. American Diabetes Association. 6. Glycemic Targets: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2019. *Diabetes Care*. Jan 2019; 42(Suppl 1): S61-S70. PMID 30559232
3. Abraham MB, Jones TW, Naranjo D, et al. ISPAD Clinical Practice Consensus Guidelines 2018: Assessment and management of hypoglycemia in children and adolescents with diabetes. *Pediatr Diabetes*. Oct 2018; 19 Suppl 27: 178-192. PMID 29869358
4. Agiostratidou G, Anhalt H, Ball D, et al. Standardizing Clinically Meaningful Outcome Measures Beyond HbA 1c for Type 1 Diabetes: A Consensus Report of the American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists, the American Association of Diabetes Educators, the American Diabetes Association, the Endocrine Society, JDRF International, The Leona M. and Harry B. Helmsley Charitable Trust, the Pediatric Endocrine Society, and the T1D Exchange. *Diabetes Care*. Dec 2017; 40(12): 1622-1630. PMID 29162582
5. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Guidance for Industry and Food and Drug Administration Staff: The Content of Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) and Premarket Approval (PMA) Applications for Artificial Pancreas Device Systems [draft]. 2012; <https://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/UCM259305.pdf>. Accessed March 23, 2021
6. Food & Drug Administration. MiniMed 770G System. Summary of Safety and Effectiveness Data. 2020. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf16/P160017S076B.pdf. Accessed March 12, 2021.
7. Forlenza GP, Li Z, Buckingham BA, et al. Predictive Low-Glucose Suspend Reduces Hypoglycemia in Adults, Adolescents, and Children With Type 1 Diabetes in an At-Home Randomized Crossover Study: Results of the PROLOG Trial. *Diabetes Care*. Oct 2018; 41(10): 2155-2161. PMID 30089663
8. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Premarket Approval (PMA): MiniMed 530G System. 2013; <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma.cfm?id=P120010>. Accessed March 23, 2021
9. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Premarket Approval (PMA): MiniMed 630G System with Smartguard. 2016; <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma.cfm?ID=320606>. Accessed March 23, 2021
10. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Premarket Approval (PMA): MiniMed 670G System. 2016; <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfpma/pma.cfm?id=P160017>. Accessed March 23, 2021.
11. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). t:slim X2 Insulin Pump with Basal-IQ Technology Premarket Approval (2018). https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf18/P180008A.pdf. Accessed March 23, 2021.
12. Faulds ER, Zappe J, Dungan KM. REAL-WORLD IMPLICATIONS OF HYBRID CLOSE LOOP (HCL) INSULIN DELIVERY SYSTEM. *Endocr Pract*. May 2019; 25(5): 477-484. PMID 30865545
13. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). Artificial Pancreas Device Systems. TEC Assessments. 2013;Volume 28:Tab 14
14. Bergenstal RM, Garg S, Weinzimer SA, et al. Safety of a Hybrid Closed-Loop Insulin Delivery System in Patients With Type 1 Diabetes. *JAMA*. Oct 04 2016; 316(13): 1407-1408. PMID 27629148
15. Forlenza GP, Pinhas-Hamiel O, Liljenquist DR, et al. Safety Evaluation of the MiniMed 670G System in Children 7-13 Years of Age with Type 1 Diabetes. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. Jan 2019; 21(1): 11-19. PMID 30585770
16. Ly TT, Nicholas JA, Retterath A, et al. Effect of sensor-augmented insulin pump therapy and automated insulin suspension vs standard insulin pump therapy on hypoglycemia in patients with type 1 diabetes: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. Sep 25 2013; 310(12): 1240-7. PMID 24065010
17. Forlenza GP, Ekhlaspour L, Breton M, et al. Successful At-Home Use of the Tandem Control-IQ Artificial Pancreas System in Young Children During a Randomized Controlled Trial. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. Apr 2019; 21(4): 159-169. PMID 30888835
18. American Diabetes Association. 7. Diabetes Technology: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes-2021. *Diabetes Care*. Jan 2021; 44(Suppl 1): S85-S99. PMID 33298418
19. Garg SK, Weinzimer SA, Tamborlane WV, et al. Glucose Outcomes with the In-Home Use of a Hybrid Closed-Loop Insulin Delivery System in Adolescents and Adults with Type 1 Diabetes. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. Mar 2017; 19(3): 155-163. PMID 28134564
20. Beato-Vibora PI, Gallego-Gamero F, Lazaro-Martin L, et al. Prospective Analysis of the Impact of Commercialized Hybrid Closed-Loop System on Glycemic Control, Glycemic Variability, and Patient-Related Outcomes in Children and Adults: A Focus on Superiority Over Predictive Low-Glucose Suspend Technology. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. Dec 2020; 22(12): 912-919. PMID 31855446
21. Garg S, Brazg RL, Bailey TS, et al. Reduction in duration of hypoglycemia by automatic suspension of insulin delivery: the in-clinic ASPIRE study. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. Mar 2012; 14(3): 205-9. PMID 22316089
22. Tauschmann M, Thabit H, Bally L, et al. Closed-loop insulin delivery in suboptimally controlled type 1 diabetes: a multicentre, 12-week randomised trial. *Lancet*. Oct 13 2018; 392(10155): 1321-1329. PMID 30292578
23. Wood MA, Shulman DI, Forlenza GP, et al. In-Clinic Evaluation of the MiniMed 670G System Suspend Before Low Feature in Children with Type 1 Diabetes. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. Nov 2018; 20(11): 731-737. PMID 30299976

24. Breton MD, Kanapka LG, Beck RW, et al. A Randomized Trial of Closed-Loop Control in Children with Type 1 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* Aug 27 2020; 383(9): 836-845. PMID 32846062
25. Kanapka LG, Wadwa RP, Breton MD, et al. Extended Use of the Control-IQ Closed-Loop Control System in Children With Type 1 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* Feb 2021; 44(2): 473-478. PMID 33355258
26. Cobry EC, Kanapka LG, Cengiz E, et al. Health-Related Quality of Life and Treatment Satisfaction in Parents and Children with Type 1 Diabetes Using Closed-Loop Control. *Diabetes Technol Ther.* Jan 28 2021. PMID 33404325
27. Abraham MB, Nicholas JA, Smith GJ, et al. Reduction in Hypoglycemia With the Predictive Low-Glucose Management System: A Long-term Randomized Controlled Trial in Adolescents With Type 1 Diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* Feb 2018; 41(2): 303-310. PMID 29191844
28. Messer LH, Forlenza GP, Sherr JL, et al. Optimizing Hybrid Closed-Loop Therapy in Adolescents and Emerging Adults Using the MiniMed 670G System. *Diabetes Care.* Apr 2018; 41(4): 789-796. PMID 29444895
29. Gomez AM, Marin Carrillo LF, Munoz Velandia OM, et al. Long-Term Efficacy and Safety of Sensor Augmented Insulin Pump Therapy with Low-Glucose Suspend Feature in Patients with Type 1 Diabetes. *Diabetes Technol Ther.* Feb 2017; 19(2): 109-114. PMID 28001445
30. Brown SA, Kovatchev BP, Raghinaru D, et al. Six-Month Randomized, Multicenter Trial of Closed-Loop Control in Type 1 Diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* Oct 31 2019; 381(18): 1707-1717. PMID 31618560

POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

Date	Action	Description
March 2015	New policy	Policy created with information on this topic previously addressed in Policy No. 1.01.20 and a literature review through December 20, 2014. FDA-approved artificial pancreas device system with low glucose suspend feature may be considered medically necessary for patients with type 1 diabetes who meet criteria; otherwise artificial pancreas device systems are considered not medically necessary.
June 2016	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 1, 2015; references 6, 11, and 12 added. Policy statements unchanged.
March 2018	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 15, 2017; references 1, 9, and 14 added. Per FEP PMPC, policy statement added that use of hybrid closed loop insulin delivery system as an artificial pancreas device system (including the Food and Drug Administration-approved device for age 14 and older) is considered medically necessary.
May 2019	Replace policy	The statement for FDA-approved automated insulin delivery system (artificial pancreas device system) designated as hybrid closed loop insulin delivery system in patients with type 1 diabetes who meet specified criteria was corrected from "not medically necessary" to "medically necessary".
June 2019	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through March 25, 2019, references 2, 17- 21, and 23 added. Policy statements changed: The age criterion changed in the first medically necessary statement; and investigational statement added on use of an automated insulin delivery system (artificial pancreas device system) for individuals who have not met specified criteria.
March 2020	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through September 26, 2019; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
June 2020	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through March 5, 2020; references added. Regulatory status section updated. Policy statements revised to lower age cutoff to 6 years. Table 3 edited: Corrected reference to 2020 ADA Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes and deleted ">7 years".
June 2021	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through March 4, 2021; references added. Medically necessary policy statement added for use of an FDA-approved hybrid closed loop system in children ages 2 to 6 years.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.