

FEP Medical Policy Manual

FEP 2.01.89 Laser Treatment of Onychomycosis

Effective Policy Date: April 1, 2022

Original Policy Date: September 2013

Related Policies:

None

Laser Treatment of Onychomycosis

Description

Onychomycosis is a common fungal infection of the nail. Currently available treatments for onychomycosis, including systemic and topical antifungal medications, have relatively low efficacy and require a long course of treatment. Laser systems are proposed as another treatment option.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to evaluate whether the use of laser therapy improves net health outcomes in individuals with onychomycosis compared with topical and oral medications alone.

POLICY STATEMENT

Laser treatment of onychomycosis is considered investigational.

POLICY GUIDELINES

None

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

Multiple Nd:YAG laser systems have been cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for marketing for the temporary increase of clear nail in patients with onychomycosis. The FDA has determined that these devices were substantially equivalent to existing devices. Table 2 lists select approved laser systems.

Table 1. Select Laser Systems Approved for Temporary Increase of Clear Nail in Patients with Onychomycosis

Device	Manufacturer	Approved
Nd:YAG 1064-nm laser systems		
PinPointe™ FootLaser™	PinPointe USA (acquired by NuvoLase 2011)	2010
GenesisPlus™	Cutera	2011
JOULE ClearSense™	Sciton	2011
GentleMax Family of Laser Systems	Candela	2014
Nordlys	Ellipse A/S	2016
Dual-wavelength Nd:YAG 1064-nm and 532-nm laser system		
Q-Clear™	Light Age	2011

Nd:YAG 1064-nm laser systems (FDA product code: GEX); dual-wavelength Nd:YAG 1064-nm and 532-nm laser system (FDA product code: PDX).

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have onychomycosis who receive treatment with laser therapy, the evidence includes small, randomized controlled trials. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, change in disease status, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. The randomized controlled trials reported inconsistent results and had methodologic limitations. Clinical and mycologic outcomes differed across the trials, lacked consistent blinding of outcome assessments, and often reported outcomes on a per-nail basis without accounting for correlated measurements. The published evidence to date does not permit determining whether laser treatment improves health outcomes in patients with onychomycosis. Additionally, some registered clinical trials are completed without publication of results, indicating potential publication bias. Additional well-designed, adequately powered, and well-conducted randomized controlled trials are needed. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcomes.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

No Practice Guidelines or Position Statements regarding issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) were identified.

REFERENCES

- 1. Rodgers P, Bassler M. Treating onychomycosis. Am Fam Physician. Feb 15 2001; 63(4): 663-72, 677-8. PMID 11237081
- 2. Boyko EJ, Ahroni JH, Cohen V, et al. Prediction of diabetic foot ulcer occurrence using commonly available clinical information: the Seattle Diabetic Foot Study. Diabetes Care. Jun 2006; 29(6): 1202-7. PMID 16731996
- 3. Drake LA, Scher RK, Smith EB, et al. Effect of onychomycosis on quality of life. J Am Acad Dermatol. May 1998; 38(5 Pt 1): 702-4. PMID 9591814
- 4. Elewski BE. Onychomycosis. Treatment, quality of life, and economic issues. Am J Clin Dermatol. Jan-Feb 2000; 1(1): 19-26. PMID 11702301
- 5. Gupta A, Simpson F. Device-based therapies for onychomycosis treatment. Skin Therapy Lett. Oct 2012; 17(9): 4-9. PMID 23032936
- 6. Bristow IR. The effectiveness of lasers in the treatment of onychomycosis: a systematic review. J Foot Ankle Res. 2014; 7: 34. PMID 25104974
- 7. Bunyaratavej S, Wanitphakdeedecha R, Ungaksornpairote C, et al. Randomized controlled trial comparing long-pulsed 1064-Nm neodymium: Yttrium-aluminum-garnet laser alone, topical amorolfine nail lacquer alone, and a combination for nondermatophyte onychomycosis treatment. J Cosmet Dermatol. Sep 2020; 19(9): 2333-2338. PMID 31925917
- 8. El-Tatawy RA, Abd El-Naby NM, El-Hawary EE, et al. A comparative clinical and mycological study of Nd-YAG laser versus topical terbinafine in the treatment of onychomycosis. J Dermatolog Treat. Oct 2015; 26(5): 461-4. PMID 25669435
- 9. Hamed Khater M, Khattab FM. Combined long-pulsed Nd-Yag laser and itraconazole versus itraconazole alone in the treatment of onychomycosis nails. J Dermatolog Treat. Jun 2020; 31(4): 406-409. PMID 31157575
- 10. Karsai S, Jager M, Oesterhelt A, et al. Treating onychomycosis with the short-pulsed 1064-nm-Nd:YAG laser: results of a prospective randomized controlled trial. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. Jan 2017; 31(1): 175-180. PMID 27521028
- 11. Kim TI, Shin MK, Jeong KH, et al. A randomised comparative study of 1064 nm Neodymium-doped yttrium aluminium garnet (Nd:YAG) laser and topical antifungal treatment of onychomycosis. Mycoses. Dec 2016; 59(12): 803-810. PMID 27402466
- Nijenhuis-Rosien L, Kleefstra N, van Dijk PR, et al. Laser therapy for onychomycosis in patients with diabetes at risk for foot ulcers: a randomized, quadruple-blind, sham-controlled trial (LASER-1). J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. Nov 2019; 33(11): 2143-2150. PMID 30920059
- 13. Sabbah L, Gagnon C, Bernier FE, et al. A Randomized, Double-Blind, Controlled Trial Evaluating the Efficacy of Nd:YAG 1064 nm Short-Pulse Laser Compared With Placebo in the Treatment of Toenail Onychomycosis. J Cutan Med Surg. Sep/Oct 2019; 23(5): 507-512. PMID 31296045
- 14. Xu Y, Miao X, Zhou B, et al. Combined oral terbinafine and long-pulsed 1,064-nm Nd: YAG laser treatment is more effective for onychomycosis than either treatment alone. Dermatol Surg. Nov 2014; 40(11): 1201-7. PMID 25322165

POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

Date	Action	Description
September 2013	New policy	Laser systems for onychomycosis are considered investigational.
September 2014	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through March 18, 2014. Policy statement unchanged. References 11-13 and 15-17 added.
September 2015	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review. References 6-8 added. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2017	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review, 2016; references 7-8 and 13 added. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2018	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 16, 2017; no references added. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2019	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 1, 2018; no references added. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2020	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 14, 2019; no references added. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2021	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 21, 2020; references added. Policy statement unchanged.
March 2022	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through September 20, 2021; no references added. Policy statement unchanged.