Percutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation and Percutaneous Neuromodulation Therapy

Description

Percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (PENS) and percutaneous neuromodulation therapy combine the features of electroacupuncture and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation. PENS is performed with needle electrodes while percutaneous neuromodulation therapy uses very fine needle-like electrode arrays placed near the painful area to stimulate peripheral sensory nerves in the soft tissue.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to determine whether treatment with percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation or percutaneous neuromodulation therapy improves the net health outcome in patients with chronic musculoskeletal or neuropathic pain conditions.

POLICY STATEMENT

Percutaneous electrical neurostimulation or percutaneous neuromodulation therapy is considered investigational.

The policies contained in the FEP Medical Policy Manual are developed to assist in administering contractual benefits and do not constitute medical advice. They are not intended to replace or substitute for the independent medical judgment of a practitioner or other health care professional in the treatment of an individual member. The Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association does not intend by the FEP Medical Policy Manual, or by any particular medical policy, to recommend, advocate, encourage or discourage any particular medical technologies. Medical decisions relative to medical technologies are to be made strictly by members/patients in consultation with their health care providers. The conclusion that a particular service or supply is medically necessary does not constitute a representation or warranty that the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan covers (or pays for) this service or supply for a particular member.
POLICY GUIDELINES

None

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

In 2002, the Percutaneous Neuromodulation Therapy™ (Vertis Neuroscience) was cleared for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the 510(k) process. The labeled indication is: "... for the symptomatic relief and management of chronic or intractable pain and/or as an adjunctive treatment in the management of post-surgical pain and post-trauma pain." In 2006, the Deepwave Percutaneous Neuromodulation Pain Therapy System (Biowave) was cleared for marketing by FDA through the 510(k) process. FDA determined that this device was substantially equivalent to the Vertis neuromodulation system and a Biowave neuromodulation therapy unit. The Deepwave system includes a sterile single-use percutaneous electrode array that contains 1014 microneedles in a 1.5-inch diameter area. The needles are 736 μm (0.736 mm) in length; the patch is reported to feel like sandpaper or Velcro. FDA product code: NHI.

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have chronic pain conditions (eg, back, neck, neuropathy, headache, hyperalgesia) who receive percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (PENS), the evidence includes primarily small controlled trials. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and medication use. In the highest quality trial of PENS conducted to date, no difference in outcomes was found between the active (30 minutes of stimulation with 10 needles) and the sham (5 minutes of stimulation with 2 needles) treatments. Smaller trials, which have reported positive results, are limited by unclear blinding and short-term follow-up. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

For individuals who have chronic pain conditions (eg, knee osteoarthritis) who receive percutaneous neuromodulation therapy, the evidence consists of a randomized controlled trial. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life, and medication use. The single trial is limited by lack of investigator blinding, unclear participant blinding, and short-term follow-up. The evidence is insufficient to determine the effects of the technology on health outcomes.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

The National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2013) published guidance on percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (PENS). It concluded that the "Current evidence on the safety of percutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (PENS) for refractory neuropathic pain raises no major safety concerns and there is evidence of efficacy in the short term."

American Academy of Neurology et al
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The American Academy of Neurology, American Association of Neuromuscular and Electrodiagnostic Medicine, and American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation reaffirmed 2011 evidence-based guidelines on the treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy in 2016. The guidelines concluded that, based on a class I study, electrical stimulation is probably effective in lessening the pain of diabetic neuropathy and improving quality of life and recommended that PENS be considered for the treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy (level B).

American Society of Anesthesiologists et al

The 2010 practice guidelines for chronic pain management from the American Society of Anesthesiologists and the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine indicated that subcutaneous peripheral nerve stimulation might be used in the multimodal treatment of patients with painful peripheral nerve injuries who have not responded to other therapies (category B2 evidence, observational studies).

American College of Physicians and American Pain Society

Joint practice guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of low back pain from the American College of Physicians and the American Pain Society in 2007 indicated uncertainty over whether PENS should be considered a novel therapy or a form of electroacupuncture. The guidelines concluded that PENS is not widely available. (The guidelines also concluded that transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation has not been proven effective for chronic low back pain.)

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services currently has the following national coverage policy on PENS:

"Electrical nerve stimulation is an accepted modality for assessing a patient's suitability for ongoing treatment with a transcutaneous or an implanted nerve stimulator. Accordingly, program payment may be made for the following techniques when used to determine the potential therapeutic usefulness of an electrical nerve stimulator....

B. Percutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (PENS)

This diagnostic procedure which involves stimulation of peripheral nerves by a needle electrode inserted through the skin is performed only in a physician's office, clinic, or hospital outpatient department. Therefore, it is covered only when performed by a physician or incident to physician's service. If pain is effectively controlled by percutaneous stimulation, implantation of electrodes is warranted. [[T]It is inappropriate for a patient to visit his/her physician, physical therapist, or an outpatient clinic on a continuing basis for treatment of pain with electrical nerve stimulation. Once it is determined that electrical nerve stimulation should be continued as therapy and the patient has been trained to use the stimulator, it is expected that a stimulator will be implanted or the patient will employ the TENS on a continual basis in his/her home. Electrical nerve stimulation treatments furnished by a physician in his/her office, by a physical therapist or outpatient clinic are excluded from coverage]."
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POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 2011</td>
<td>New policy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2012</td>
<td>Replace policy</td>
<td>Policy statement changed to not medically necessary. Reference 18 URL updated. Related policies added</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
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<th>Action</th>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>December 2013</td>
<td>Replace policy</td>
<td>Policy updated with literature review, references 12, 13, 16 &amp; 17 added and references re-ordered. No change to policy statement or summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2014</td>
<td>Replace policy</td>
<td>Policy updated with literature review. Policy statement unchanged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2015</td>
<td>Replace policy</td>
<td>Policy updated with literature review; no references added. Policy statement unchanged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 2017</td>
<td>Replace policy</td>
<td>Policy updated with literature review through January 26, 2017; some references removed. Minor edits to the Policy section; policy statement unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2018</td>
<td>Replace policy</td>
<td>Policy updated with literature review through April 9, 2018; no references added. Policy statement unchanged except &quot;not medically necessary&quot; corrected to &quot;investigational&quot; due to FDA 510(k) clearance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2019</td>
<td>Replace policy</td>
<td>Policy updated with literature review through April 9, 2018; no references added. Policy statement unchanged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September 2020</td>
<td>Replace policy</td>
<td>Policy updated with literature review through April 24, 2020; no references added. Policy statement unchanged.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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