BlueCross BlueShield Federal Employee Program.

FEP Medical Policy Manual

FEP 2.04.38 Cytochrome P450 Genotype-Guided Treatment Strategy

Effective Policy Date: October 1, 2023

Original Policy Date: December 2011

Related Policies:

2.04.110 - Genetic Testing for Diagnosis and Management of Mental Health Conditions
2.04.19 - Pharmacogenomic and Metabolite Markers for Patients Treated With Thiopurines
2.04.48 - Genotype-Guided Warfarin Dosing
2.04.51 - Genotype-Guided Tamoxifen Treatment
5.85.18- Cerdelga (eliglustat)
5.60.12- Xenazine (tetrabenazine)
5.60.34- Mayzent (Siponimod)

Cytochrome P450 Genotype-Guided Treatment Strategy

Description

Description

The cytochrome P450 (CYP450) family is involved in the metabolism of many currently administered drugs, and genetic variants in CYP450 are associated with altered metabolism of many drugs. Testing for CYP450 variants may assist in selecting and dosing drugs affected by these genetic variants.

The cytochrome P450 (CYP450) family is a major subset of all drug-metabolizing enzymes; several CYP450 enzymes are involved in the metabolism of a significant proportion of currently administered drugs. CYP2D6 metabolizes approximately 25% of all clinically used medications (eg, dextromethorphan, β-blockers, antiarrhythmics, antidepressants, morphine derivatives), including most prescribed drugs. CYP2C19 metabolizes several important types of drugs, including proton pump inhibitors, diazepam, propranolol, imipramine, and amitriptyline.

Some CYP450 enzymes are highly polymorphic, resulting in some enzyme variants that have variable metabolic capacities among individuals, and some with little to no impact on activity. Thus, CYP450 enzymes constitute an important group of drug-gene interactions influencing the variability of the effect of some CYP450-metabolized drugs.

Individuals with 2 copies (alleles) of the most common (wild-type) DNA sequence of a particular CYP450 enzyme gene resulting in an active molecule are termed extensive metabolizers (EMs; normal). Poor metabolizers (PMs) lack active enzyme gene alleles, and intermediate metabolizers, who have 1 active and 1 inactive enzyme gene allele, may experience to a lesser degree some of the consequences of PMs. Ultrarapid metabolizers (UMs) are individuals with more than 2 alleles of an active enzyme gene. There is pronounced ethnic variability in the population distribution of metabolizer types for a given CYP enzyme.

UMs administered an active drug may not reach therapeutic concentrations at usual recommended doses of active drugs, while PMs may suffer more adverse events at usual doses due to reduced metabolism and increased concentrations. Conversely, for administered prodrugs that must be converted by CYP450 enzymes into active metabolites, UMs may suffer adverse events, and PMs may not respond.

Many drugs are metabolized to varying degrees by more than one enzyme, either within or outside of the CYP450 superfamily. Also, the interaction between different metabolizing genes, the interaction between genes and environment, and interactions among different nongenetic factors also influence CYP450-specific metabolizing functions. Thus, identification of a variant in a single gene in the metabolic pathway may be insufficient in all but a small proportion of drugs to explain interindividual differences in metabolism and consequent efficacy or toxicity.

Determining Genetic Variability in Drug Response

Genetically determined variability in drug response has been traditionally addressed using a trial-and-error approach to prescribing and dosing, along with therapeutic drug monitoring for drugs with a very narrow therapeutic range and/or potentially serious adverse events outside that range. However, therapeutic drug monitoring is not available for all drugs of interest, and a cautious trial-and-error approach can lengthen the time to achieving an effective dose.

CYP450 enzyme phenotyping (identifying metabolizer status) can be accomplished by administering a test enzyme substrate to a patient and monitoring parent substrate and metabolite concentrations over time (eg, in urine). However, testing and interpretation are time-consuming and inconvenient; as a result, phenotyping is seldom performed.

The clinical utility of *CYP450* genotyping (ie, the likelihood that genotyping will significantly improve drug choice, dosing, and patient outcomes) may be favored when the drug under consideration has a narrow therapeutic dose range, when the consequences of treatment failure are severe, and/or when serious adverse reactions are more likely in patients with gene sequence variants. Under these circumstances, genotyping may direct early selection of the most effective drug or dose, and/or avoid drugs or doses likely to cause toxicity. For example, warfarin, some neuroleptics, and tricyclic antidepressants have narrow therapeutic windows and can cause serious adverse events when concentrations exceed certain limits, resulting in cautious dosing protocols. The potential severity of the disease condition may call for immediate and sufficient therapy; genotyping might speed up the process of achieving a therapeutic dose and avoiding significant adverse events.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to evaluate whether testing for cytochrome P450 variants improves the net health outcome by influencing the selection and dosing of drugs metabolized by cytochrome P450 enzymes.

POLICY STATEMENT

Cytochrome P450 (CYP450) genotyping for the purpose of aiding in the choice of clopidogrel versus alternative antiplatelet agents, or in decisions on the optimal dosing for clopidogrel, is considered **investigational**.

CYP2D6 genotyping to determine drug metabolizer status may be considered **medically necessary** for individuals :

- With Gaucher disease being considered for treatment with eliglustat; OR
- With Huntington disease being considered for treatment with tetrabenazine in a dosage greater than 50 mg per day

CYP2C9 genotyping to determine drug metabolizer status may be considered **medically necessary** for individuals:

- With relapsing forms of multiple sclerosis, to include clinically isolated syndrome, relapsing-remitting disease, and active secondary progressive disease, being considered for treatment with siponimod.

CYP450 genotyping for the purpose of aiding in the choice of drug or dose to increase efficacy and/or avoid toxicity for the following drugs is considered **investigational**, aside from determinations in the separate related policies noted above:

- selection or dosage of codeine
- dosing of efavirenz and other antiretroviral therapies for HIV infection
- dosing of immunosuppressants for organ transplantation
- selection or dosing of β-blockers (eg, metoprolol)
- dosing and management of antitubercular medications.

The use of genetic testing panels that include multiple CYP450 variants is considered **investigational**.

POLICY GUIDELINES

This policy does not address the use of genetic panel tests for genes other than cytochrome P450 (CYP450) related genes (eg, the Genecept Assay), which are discussed in evidence review 2.04.110 (Genetic Testing for Mental Health Conditions).

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

Screening (other than the preventive services listed in the brochure) is not covered. Please see Section 6 General exclusions.

Benefits are available for specialized diagnostic genetic testing when it is medically necessary to diagnose and/or manage a patient's existing medical condition. Benefits are not provided for genetic panels when some or all of the tests included in the panel are not covered, are experimental or investigational, or are not medically necessary.

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

Clinical laboratories may develop and validate tests in-house and market them as a laboratory service; laboratory-developed tests must meet the general regulatory standards of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Diagnostic genotyping tests for certain CYP450 enzymes are available under the auspices of the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments. Laboratories that offer laboratory-developed tests must be licensed by the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments for high-complexity testing. To date, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has chosen not to require any regulatory review of this test.

Several testing kits for *CYP450* genotyping cleared for marketing by the FDA (FDA product code: NTI) are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Selected Testing Kits for *CYP450* Genotyping Cleared for Marketing by the Food and Drug Administration

Device Name	Manufacturer	Approval Date
Genomadix Cube CYP2C19 System	Genomadix Inc.	2023
xTAG Cyp2c19 Kit V3	Luminex Molecular Diagnostics	2013
Spartan Rx Cyp2c19 Test System	Spartan Bioscience	2013
Verigene Cyp2c19 Nucleic Acid Test (2c19)	Nanosphere	2012
Infiniti Cyp2c19 Assay	Autogenomics	2010
xTAG Cyp2d6 Kit V3, Model I030c0300 (96)	Luminex Molecular Diagnostics, Inc.	2010
Invader Ugt1a1 Molecular Assay	Third Wave Technologies	2005
Roche AmpliChip Cyp450 Test	Roche Molecular Systems	2005

CYP450: cytochrome P450.

Several manufacturers market diagnostic genotyping panel tests for *CYP450* genes, such as the YouScript Panel (Genelex Corp.), which includes *CYP2D6*, *CYP2C19*, *CYP2C9*, *VKORC1*, *CYP3A4*, and *CYP3A5*. Other panel tests include both *CYP450* and other non-*CYP450* genes involved in drug metabolism, such as the GeneSight Psychotropic panel (Assurex Health) and PersonaGene Genetic Panels (AIBioTech). These tests are beyond the scope of this evidence review.

Food and Drug Administration Labeling on *CYP450* Genotyping

The FDA maintains online compendia of pharmacogenetic associations under 3 categories: 1) pharmacogenetic associations for which the data support therapeutic management recommendations; 2) pharmacogenetic associations for which the data indicate a potential impact on safety or response; and 3) pharmacogenetic associations for which the data demonstrate a potential impact on pharmacokinetic properties only.[1,]

The FDA has included pharmacogenomics information in the physician prescribing information (drug labels) of multiple drugs. In most cases, this information is general and lacks specific directives for clinical decision making. In the following examples, the FDA has given clear and specific directives on either use of a specific dose (eg, eliglustat, tetrabenazine) or when a drug may not be used at all (eg, codeine) and therefore evidence in such cases is not reviewed in the Rationale section.

Eliglustat

The FDA has approved eliglustat for treatment of adults with Gaucher disease type 1 who are CYP2D6 EMs, intermediate metabolizers, or PMs as detected by an FDA-cleared test. Further, the label acknowledges the limitation of use among UMs because they may not achieve adequate concentrations and a specific dosage was not recommended for patients with indeterminate CYP2D6 metabolizer status. Further, the label states that the dosing strategy should be 84 mg orally, twice daily for CYP2D6 EMs or intermediate metabolizers and 84 mg orally, once daily for CYP2D6 PMs. The FDA has included a boxed warning to warn about the reduced effectiveness in PMs and to advise healthcare professionals to consider alternative dosing or to use of other medications in patients identified as potential PMs.[2,]

Tetrabenazine

The FDA has approved tetrabenazine for the treatment of chorea associated with Huntington disease. According to the label, patients requiring doses above 50 mg per day should be genotyped for the drug-metabolizing enzyme CYP2D6 to determine if the patient is a PM or EM. For patients categorized as PMs using an FDA-approved test, the maximum daily dose should not exceed 50 mg, with a maximum single dose of 25 mg.[3,]

Codeine

The FDA does not recommend genotyping before prescribing codeine. The FDA has contraindicated codeine for treating pain or cough in children under 12 years of age and codeine is not recommended for use in adolescents ages 12 to 18 years who are obese or have conditions such as obstructive sleep apnea or severe lung disease. There is an additional warning to mothers not to breastfeed when taking codeine.[4,]

Siponimod

The FDA has approved siponimod for the treatment of relapsing forms of multiple sclerosis, to include clinically isolated syndrome, relapsing-remitting disease, and active secondary progressive disease in adults. The recommended maintenance dosage is 2 mg. The recommended maintenance dosage in patients with a *CYP2C9*1/*3* or **2/*3* genotype is 1 mg. Siponimod is contraindicated in patients with a *CYP2C9*3/*3* genotype.[5,]

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals with a need for antiplatelet therapy who are undergoing or being considered for clopidogrel therapy who receive a cytochrome P450 (CYP) *2C19* guided treatment strategy, the evidence includes 3 randomized controlled trials (RCTs). Relevant outcomes are overall survival, medication use, and treatment-related morbidity. Four RCTs have evaluated the role of genetic testing for *CYP2C19* for selecting appropriate antiplatelet treatment and/or amplified dosing of clopidogrel using an intermediate outcome measure of platelet reactivity to predict CYP2C19 metabolic state. One RCT has shown there was no statistical difference in patients with "on-treatment high platelet reactivity" who received genotype-guided management or standard treatment with clopidogrel. The second RCT showed that carriers of loss of function alleles did not respond to augmented clopidogrel as well as they did to prasugrel, while physician-directed clopidogrel was effective for most noncarriers. However, routine testing using platelet reactivity as an outcome measure to predict CYP2C19 metabolic state has not been shown to improve health outcomes. The third non-inferiority RCT showed that genotype guided strategy led to outcomes that were at least as good as, if not better than, outcomes with the standard approach of prescribing prasugrel or ticagrelor to all patients. Results of this trial do not inform whether using genotype based strategy for prescribing clopidogrel results in any incremental net health benefit versus standard treatment with clopidogrel. Furthermore, the statistical significant difference

observed in favor of genotype guided strategy for bleeding outcome was primarily driven by minor bleeding events. There was no difference in the incidence of major bleeding between the 2 groups. Results of TAILOR-PCI reported no statistically significant difference in a composite end point of cardiovascular death, myocardial infarction, stroke, stent thrombosis, and severe recurrent ischemia among patients with *CYP2C19* loss-of-function alleles who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI), genotype-guided selection of an oral P2Y12 inhibitor compared with conventional clopidogrel therapy. In a trial comparing ticagrelor and clopidogrel use in individuals with stroke, results of the CHANCE-2 RCT reported a statistically significant decrease in risk of recurrent stroke in *CYP2C19* loss-of-function carriers taking ticagrelor compared to clopidogrel in the first 90 days after presentation, without an increased risk of significant bleeding. Ticagrelor was associated with a higher number of total bleeding events compared to clopidogrel. These results are limited, however, by the homogenous Han Chinese population, lack of inclusion of those with delayed presentation, receipt of thrombolysis, or cardioembolic stroke, and majority of patients genotyped as intermediate metabolizers, limiting generalizability. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who are undergoing or being considered for treatment with highly active antiretroviral agents, immunosuppressant therapy for organ transplantation, beta-blockers, or antitubercular medications who receive a CYP450-guided treatment strategy, the evidence includes retrospective studies and underpowered RCTs. Relevant outcomes are medication use and treatment-related morbidity. In general, most published *CYP450* pharmacogenomic studies for these drugs consist of retrospective evaluations of *CYP450* genotype associations, reporting intermediate outcomes (eg, circulating drug concentrations) or less often, final outcomes (eg, adverse events or efficacy). Many of these studies are small, underpowered, and hypothesis generating. Prospective intervention studies, including RCTs documenting the clinical usefulness of *CYP450* genotyping to improve existing clinical decision making to guide dose or drug selection, which may then translate into improvement in patient outcomes, were not identified. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information' if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

American College of Cardiology Foundation

A consensus statement by the American College of Cardiology Foundation (ACCF) and the American Heart Association (AHA) on genetic testing for the selection and dosing of clopidogrel was published in 2010.[27,] The recommendations for practice included the following statements:

1. "Adherence to existing ACCF/AHA guidelines for the use of antiplatelet therapy should remain the foundation for therapy. Careful clinical judgment is required to assess the importance of the variability in response to clopidogrel for an individual patient and its associated risk to the patient...
2. Clinicians must be aware that genetic variability in CYP [cytochrome P450] enzymes alter clopidogrel metabolism, which in turn can affect its inhibition of platelet function. Diminished responsiveness to clopidogrel has been associated with adverse patient outcomes in registry experiences and clinical trials.
3. The specific impact of the individual genetic polymorphisms on clinical outcome remains to be determined....
4. Information regarding the predictive value of pharmacogenomic testing is very limited at this time; resolution of this issue is the focus of multiple ongoing studies. The selection of the specific test, as well as the issue of reimbursement, is both important additional considerations.
5. The evidence base is insufficient to recommend either routine genetic or platelet function testing at the present time....
6. There are several possible therapeutic options for patients who experience an adverse event while taking clopidogrel in the absence of any concern about medication compliance."

Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium

The Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) is an international consortium interested in facilitating use of pharmacogenetic tests for patient care. Their guidelines are designed to guide clinician understanding on how available genetic test results can be used to optimize drug therapy, rather than to recommend in whome pharmacogenetic testing should be conducted.

The CPIC published updated guidelines for *CYP2C19* genotyping and clopidogrel therapy in 2022.[28,] These guidelines provide recommended indications for *CYP2C19* genotype-guided antiplatelet therapy based on a systematic review. Tables 2 and 3 summarize recommendations from these CPIC guidelines.

Table 2. CPIC Antiplatelet Therapy Recommendations Based on *CYP2C19* Phenotype When Considering Clopidogrel for Cardiovascular Indications

***CYP2C19* phenotype**	**Therapeutic recommendation**	**Classification of recommendation[a] - ACS and/or PCI[b]**	**Classification of recommendation[a] - non-ACS, non-PCI CV indications[c]**
CYP2C19 ultrarapid metabolizer	If considering clopidogrel, use at standard dose (75 mg/day)	Strong	No recommendation
CYP2C19 rapid metabolizer	If considering clopidogrel, use at standard dose (75 mg/day)	Strong	No recommendation
CYP2C19 normal metabolizer	If considering clopidogrel, use at standard dose (75 mg/day)	Strong	Strong
CYP2C19 likely intermediate metabolizer	Avoid standard dose clopidogrel (75 mg) if possible. Use prasugrel or ticagrelor at standard dose if no contraindication	Strong[d]	No recommendation[d]
CYP2C19 intermediate metabolizer	Avoid standard dose clopidogrel (75 mg) if possible. Use prasugrel or ticagrelor at standard dose if no contraindication	Strong	No recommendation
CYP2C19 likely poor metabolizer	Avoid clopidogrel if possible. Use prasugrel or ticagrelor at standard dose if no contraindication	Strong[d]	Moderate[d]
CYP2C19 poor metabolizer	Avoid clopidogrel if possible. Use prasugrel or ticagrelor at standard dose if no contraindication	Strong	Moderate

ACS: acute coronary syndrome; CPIC: Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium; CV: cardiovascular; CYP: cytochrome P450; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention.

Adapted from Lee et al (2022).[28,]

[a]Strong: the evidence is high-quality and the desirable effects clearly outweigh the undesirable effects; Moderate: there is a close or uncertain balance as to whether the evidence is high quality and the desirable effects clearly outweigh the undesirable effects; Optional: the desirable effects are closely balanced with undesirable effects, or the evidence is weak or based on extrapolations. There is room for differences in opinion as to the need for the recommended course of action; No recommendation: there is insufficient evidence, confidence, or agreement to provide a recommendation to guide clinical practice at this time.

[b]ACS and/or PCI includes patients undergoing PCI for an ACS or non-ACS (elective) indication.

[c]Non-ACS, non-PCI CV indications include peripheral arterial disease and stable coronary artery disease following a recent myocardial infarction outside the setting of PCI.

[d]The strength of recommendation for "likely" phenotypes are the same as their respective confirmed phenotypes. "Likely" indicates the uncertainty in the phenotype assignment, but it is reasonable to apply the recommendation for the confirmed phenotype to the corresponding "likely" phenotype.

Table 3. CPIC Antiplatelet Therapy Recommendations Based on *CYP2C19* Phenotype When Considering Clopidogrel for Neurovascular Indications[a]

***CYP2C19* phenotype**	**Therapeutic recommendation**	**Classification of recommendation[b]**	**Other considerations**
CYP2C19 ultrarapid metabolizer	No recommendation	No recommendation	
CYP2C19 rapid metabolizer	No recommendation	No recommendation	
CYP2C19 normal metabolizer	If considering clopidogrel, use at standard dose (75 mg/day)	Strong	
CYP2C19 likely intermediate metabolizer	Consider an alternative $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor at standard dose if clinically indicated and no contraindication	Moderate[c]	
CYP2C19 intermediate metabolizer	Consider an alternative $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor at standard dose if clinically indicated and no contraindication	Moderate	Alternative $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitors not impacted by *CYP2C19* genetic variants include ticagrelor and ticlopidine. Prasugrel is contraindicated in patients with a history of stroke or TIA.[d]
CYP2C19 likely poor metabolizer	Avoid clopidogrel if possible. Consider an alternative $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor at standard dose if clinically indicated and no contraindication	Moderate[c]	
CYP2C19 poor metabolizer	Avoid clopidogrel if possible. Consider an alternative $P2Y_{12}$ inhibitor at standard dose if clinically indicated and no contraindication	Moderate	

CPIC: Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium; CYP: cytochrome P450; TIA: transient ischemic attack.

Adapted from Lee et al (2022).[28,]

[a]Neurovascular disease includes acute ischemic stroke or TIA, secondary prevention of stroke, or prevention of thromboembolic events following neurointerventional procedures, such as carotid artery stenting and stent-assisted coiling of intracranial aneurysms.

[b]Strong: the evidence is high-quality and the desirable effects clearly outweigh the undesirable effects; Moderate: there is a close or uncertain balance as to whether the evidence is high quality and the desirable effects clearly outweigh the undesirable effects; Optional: the desirable effects are closely balanced with undesirable effects, or the evidence is weak or based on extrapolations. There is room for differences in opinion as to the need for the recommended course of action; No recommendation: there is insufficient evidence, confidence, or agreement to provide a recommendation to guide clinical practice at this time.

[c]The strength of recommendation for "likely" phenotypes are the same as their respective confirmed phenotypes. "Likely" indicates the uncertainty in the phenotype assignment, but it is reasonable to apply the recommendation for the confirmed phenotype to the corresponding "likely" phenotype.

[d]Given limited outcomes data for genotype-guided antiplatelet therapy for neurovascular indications, selection of therapy should depend on individual patient treatment goals and risks for adverse events.

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

No **U.S. Preventive Services Task Force** recommendations for cytochrome P450 testing have been identified.

Medicare National Coverage

There is no national coverage determination. In the absence of a national coverage determination, coverage decisions are left to the discretion of local Medicare carriers.

REFERENCES

1. United States Food and Drug Administration: Table of Pharmacogenetic Associations. Updated October 26, 2022. Available at https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/precision-medicine/table-pharmacogenetic-associations. Accessed on April 28, 2023.
2. Prescribing Label: Cerdelga (eliglustat). 2022; Available at https://products.sanofi.us/cerdelga/cerdelga.html. Accessed April 25, 2023.
3. Prescribing Label: Xenazine (tetrabenazine). 2019; Available at https://www.lundbeck.com/content/dam/lundbeck-com/americas/united-states/products/neurology/xenazine_pi_us_en.pdf. Accessed April 25, 2023.
4. FDA statement from Douglas Throckmorton, M.D., deputy center director for regulatory programs, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, on new warnings about the use of codeine and tramadol in children & nursing mothers. Published April 20, 2017. Updated February 28, 2019; https://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ucm553285.htm. Accessed April 25, 2023.
5. Prescribing Label: Mayzent (siponimod) tablets, for oral use. 2023; Available at https://www.novartis.us/sites/www.novartis.us/files/mayzent.pdf Accessed April 28, 2023.
6. Scott SA, Sangkuhl K, Stein CM, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium guidelines for CYP2C19 genotype and clopidogrel therapy: 2013 update. Clin Pharmacol Ther. Sep 2013; 94(3): 317-23. PMID 23698643
7. Holmes MV, Perel P, Shah T, et al. CYP2C19 genotype, clopidogrel metabolism, platelet function, and cardiovascular events: a systematic review and meta-analysis. JAMA. Dec 28 2011; 306(24): 2704-14. PMID 22203539
8. Wang Y, Zhao X, Lin J, et al. Association Between CYP2C19 Loss-of-Function Allele Status and Efficacy of Clopidogrel for Risk Reduction Among Patients With Minor Stroke or Transient Ischemic Attack. JAMA. Jul 05 2016; 316(1): 70-8. PMID 27348249
9. Roberts JD, Wells GA, Le May MR, et al. Point-of-care genetic testing for personalisation of antiplatelet treatment (RAPID GENE): a prospective, randomised, proof-of-concept trial. Lancet. May 05 2012; 379(9827): 1705-11. PMID 22464343
10. So DY, Wells GA, McPherson R, et al. A prospective randomized evaluation of a pharmacogenomic approach to antiplatelet therapy among patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: the RAPID STEMI study. Pharmacogenomics J. Feb 2016; 16(1): 71-8. PMID 25850030
11. Claassens DMF, Vos GJA, Bergmeijer TO, et al. A Genotype-Guided Strategy for Oral P2Y 12 Inhibitors in Primary PCI. N Engl J Med. Oct 24 2019; 381(17): 1621-1631. PMID 31479209
12. Pereira NL, Farkouh ME, So D, et al. Effect of Genotype-Guided Oral P2Y12 Inhibitor Selection vs Conventional Clopidogrel Therapy on Ischemic Outcomes After Percutaneous Coronary Intervention: The TAILOR-PCI Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. Aug 25 2020; 324(8): 761-771. PMID 32840598
13. Wang Y, Meng X, Wang A, et al. Ticagrelor versus Clopidogrel in CYP2C19 Loss-of-Function Carriers with Stroke or TIA. N Engl J Med. Dec 30 2021; 385(27): 2520-2530. PMID 34708996
14. Montalescot G, Rang G, Silvain J, et al. High on-treatment platelet reactivity as a risk factor for secondary prevention after coronary stent revascularization: A landmark analysis of the ARCTIC study. Circulation. May 27 2014; 129(21): 2136-43. PMID 24718568
15. Collet JP, Cuisset T, Rang G, et al. Bedside monitoring to adjust antiplatelet therapy for coronary stenting. N Engl J Med. Nov 29 2012; 367(22): 2100-9. PMID 23121439
16. King J, Aberg JA. Clinical impact of patient population differences and genomic variation in efavirenz therapy. AIDS. Sep 12 2008; 22(14): 1709-17. PMID 18753940
17. Torno MS, Witt MD, Saitoh A, et al. Successful use of reduced-dose efavirenz in a patient with human immunodeficiency virus infection: case report and review of the literature. Pharmacotherapy. Jun 2008; 28(6): 782-7. PMID 18503405
18. Gatanaga H, Hayashida T, Tsuchiya K, et al. Successful efavirenz dose reduction in HIV type 1-infected individuals with cytochrome P450 2B6 *6 and *26. Clin Infect Dis. Nov 01 2007; 45(9): 1230-7. PMID 17918089
19. Wyen C, Hendra H, Siccardi M, et al. Cytochrome P450 2B6 (CYP2B6) and constitutive androstane receptor (CAR) polymorphisms are associated with early discontinuation of efavirenz-containing regimens. J Antimicrob Chemother. Sep 2011; 66(9): 2092-8. PMID 21715435
20. Lubomirov R, Colombo S, di Iulio J, et al. Association of pharmacogenetic markers with premature discontinuation of first-line anti-HIV therapy: an observational cohort study. J Infect Dis. Jan 15 2011; 203(2): 246-57. PMID 21288825
21. Ciccacci C, Di Fusco D, Marazzi MC, et al. Association between CYP2B6 polymorphisms and Nevirapine-induced SJS/TEN: a pharmacogenetics study. Eur J Clin Pharmacol. Nov 2013; 69(11): 1909-16. PMID 23774940
22. Thervet E, Loriot MA, Barbier S, et al. Optimization of initial tacrolimus dose using pharmacogenetic testing. Clin Pharmacol Ther. Jun 2010; 87(6): 721-6. PMID 20393454
23. Min S, Papaz T, Lafreniere-Roula M, et al. A randomized clinical trial of age and genotype-guided tacrolimus dosing after pediatric solid organ transplantation. Pediatr Transplant. Nov 2018; 22(7): e13285. PMID 30178515
24. Bijl MJ, Visser LE, van Schaik RH, et al. Genetic variation in the CYP2D6 gene is associated with a lower heart rate and blood pressure in beta-blocker users. Clin Pharmacol Ther. Jan 2009; 85(1): 45-50. PMID 18784654
25. Yuan H, Huang Z, Yang G, et al. Effects of polymorphism of the beta(1) adrenoreceptor and CYP2D6 on the therapeutic effects of metoprolol. J Int Med Res. 2008; 36(6): 1354-62. PMID 19094446
26. Wang FJ, Wang Y, Niu T, et al. Update meta-analysis of the CYP2E1 RsaI/PstI and DraI polymorphisms and risk of antituberculosis drug-induced hepatotoxicity: evidence from 26 studies. J Clin Pharm Ther. Jun 2016; 41(3): 334-40. PMID 27062377
27. Holmes DR, Dehmer GJ, Kaul S, et al. ACCF/AHA clopidogrel clinical alert: approaches to the FDA "boxed warning": a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force on clinical expert consensus documents and the American Heart Association endorsed by the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons. J Am Coll Cardiol. Jul 20 2010; 56(4): 321-41. PMID 20633831
28. Lee CR, Luzum JA, Sangkuhl K, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium Guideline for CYP2C19 Genotype and Clopidogrel Therapy: 2022 Update. Clin Pharmacol Ther. Nov 2022; 112(5): 959-967. PMID 35034351

29. Desta Z, Gammal RS, Gong L, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) Guideline for CYP2B6 and Efavirenz-Containing Antiretroviral Therapy. Clin Pharmacol Ther. Oct 2019; 106(4): 726-733. PMID 31006110
30. ENCORE1 Study Group. Efficacy of 400 mg efavirenz versus standard 600 mg dose in HIV-infected, antiretroviral-naive adults (ENCORE1): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, non-inferiority trial. Lancet. Apr 26 2014; 383(9927): 1474-1482. PMID 24522178
31. Birdwell KA, Decker B, Barbarino JM, et al. Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) Guidelines for CYP3A5 Genotype and Tacrolimus Dosing. Clin Pharmacol Ther. Jul 2015; 98(1): 19-24. PMID 25801146

POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

Date	Action	Description
December 2011	New policy	
December 2012	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature search, references updated, wording of medically necessary statement clarified for clopidogrel. Investigational statements added for selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitors and tricyclic antidepressants
March 2014	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review, references 79, 82, and 87 added. Investigational statement added for dosing of antituberculosis.
June 2015	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review. References 33, 35, 42- 44, 49, 74, 79, 95-97 and 101-102 added. Investigational statement added for the use of panel tests of CYP450 mutations. Wording changes made for clarity and consistency to the list of investigational uses.
September 2018	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through April 9, 2018; several references deleted/added/ or revised. Medically necessary statements for CYP2B6 genotyping for patients being considered for eliglustat or tetrabenazine therapy added; "for all drugs, statement removed from investigational statement; medical necessary statement for CYP2C19 genotyping for patients receiving clopidogrel therapy changed to investigational. Four criteria removed from the third investigational statement; the intent of statements otherwise unchanged. Policy title changed to "Cytochrome P450 Genotype Guided Treatment Strategy,. Information on pharmacologic treatments used to treat mental health disorders were removed from this policy and added to policy 2.04.110.
September 2019	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through April 3, 2019; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
September 2020	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through May 1, 2020; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
September 2021	Replace Policy	Policy updated with literature review through April 28, 2021; references added. Policy statements unchanged.
September 2022	Replace Policy	Policy updated with literature review through April 25, 2022; no references added. Policy statements changed. CYP2D6 genotyping to determine drug metabolizer status may be considered medically necessary for patients being considered for treatment with siponimod. Minor editorial refinements also made to policy statements.
September 2023	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through April 25, 2023; references added. Minor editorial refinements to policy statements; intent unchanged.