FEP Medical Policy Manual

FEP 1.01.09 Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation

Effective Policy Date: April 1, 2023

Original Policy Date: September 2012

Related Policies:

1.01.24 - Interferential Current Stimulation
2.01.21 - Temporomandibular Joint Disorder
7.01.29 - Percutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation and Percutaneous Neuromodulation Therapy

Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation

Description

Description

Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) describes the application of electrical stimulation to the surface of the skin. In addition to more traditional settings such as a physician's office or an outpatient clinic, TENS can be self-administered in a patient's home.

OBJECTIVE

The objective of this evidence review is to determine whether the application of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation improves the net health outcome in individuals who suffer from a variety of health conditions including chronic and/or acute pain, essential tremor, and attention deficit hyperactivity disorder.

POLICY STATEMENT

A trial of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) of at least 30 days may be considered **medically necessary** to establish efficacy for the management of refractory chronic pain (eg, chronic musculoskeletal pain or neuropathic pain) that causes significant disruption of function when the following conditions have been met:

- The pain is unresponsive to at least 3 months of conservative medical therapy; and
- The trial is monitored by a physician.

Continued use of TENS may be considered **medically necessary** for treatment of refractory chronic pain (eg, chronic musculoskeletal or neuropathic pain) that causes significant disruption of function when the following conditions have been met:

- Efficacy has been demonstrated in an initial therapeutic trial (see Policy Guidelines section); and
- Compliance has been demonstrated in the therapeutic trial with the device used on a regular basis (eg, daily or near daily use) throughout the trial period.

TENS is considered **investigational** for the management of acute pain (eg, postoperative or during labor and delivery).

TENS is considered **investigational** for the management of essential tremor.

TENS is considered **investigational** for the management of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder.

The use of TENS for any other condition, including but not limited to the treatment of dementia and prevention of migraine headaches, is considered **investigational**.

POLICY GUIDELINES

For the purposes of these policy guidelines, refractory chronic pain is defined as pain that causes significant disruption of function and has not responded to at least 3 months of conservative therapy, including nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications, ice, rest, and/or physical therapy.

Documentation for the trial should include:

- Initial assessment/evaluation of the nature, duration, and perceived intensity of pain;
- The types and duration of prior treatments;
- Treatment plan including ongoing medications and proposed use of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) unit, including the frequency and duration of treatment.

Clinical summary of the trial to determine efficacy should include:

- Perceived intensity of pain with and without TENS (eg, 2-point or 30% improvement in visual analog scale);
- Ongoing medication requirements for pain relief (if any);
- Other modalities (if any) in use for pain control;
- Actual use of TENS on a daily basis (frequency and duration of application).

TENS devices may be delivered through a practitioner and require a prescription, or obtained without a prescription. It is possible that prescribed devices provide higher intensity stimulation than units sold directly to the public.

BENEFIT APPLICATION

Experimental or investigational procedures, treatments, drugs, or devices are not covered (See General Exclusion Section of brochure).

FDA REGULATORY STATUS

TENS devices consist of an electrical pulse generator, usually battery-operated, connected by wire to 2 or more electrodes, which are applied to the surface of the skin at the site of the pain. Since 1977, a large number of devices have been cleared for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the 510(k) process. Marketing clearance via the 510(k) process does not require data on clinical efficacy; as a result, these cleared devices are considered substantially equivalent to predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce before May 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments. The cleared devices are also equivalent to devices that have been reclassified and do not require a premarket approval application. FDA product code: GZJ.

In 2014, the Cefaly (STX-Med), which is a TENS device, was granted a de novo 510(k) classification by the FDA for the prophylactic treatment of migraine in patients 18 years of age or older.[1,] The Cefaly Acute and Cefaly Dual devices were cleared by the FDA through the 510(k) process for the acute treatment of migraine in patients in 18 years of age or older and for both the acute treatment and prophylaxis of migraines in adults, respectively, in 2017.[2,3,] Other TENS devices cleared by the FDA through the 510(k) process for the prophylactic treatment of migraine in patients include Allive (Nu Eyne Co) and HeadaTerm (EEspress) among others.[4,5,]FDA product code: PCC.

In 2018, the FDA reviewed the Cala ONE™ TENS device (Cala Health) via the de novo pathway and granted approval for the device as an aid in the transient relief of hand tremors following stimulation in the affected hand of adults with essential tremor. This prescription device is contraindicated for use in patients with an implanted electrical medical device, those that have suspected or diagnosed epilepsy or other seizure disorder, those who are pregnant, and patients with swollen, infected, inflamed areas, or skin eruptions, open wounds, or cancerous lesions. In October 2020, the FDA granted breakthrough device designation to the Cala Trio™ device for the treatment of action tremors in the hands of adults with Parkinson's disease.[6,]

In 2019, the FDA permitted marketing of the first medical device to treat attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) - the Monarch external Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation (eTNS) System by NeuroSigma.[7,] The FDA reviewed the system through the de novo premarket review pathway. This prescription only TENS device is indicated for patients 7 to 12 years of age who are not currently taking prescription ADHD medication. The Monarch eTNS System is intended to be used in the home under the supervision of a caregiver. The device generates a low-level electrical pulse and connects via a wire to a small patch that adheres to a patient's forehead, just above the eyebrow.

RATIONALE

Summary of Evidence

For individuals who have chronic pain (eg, musculoskeletal, neuropathic, and mixed pain conditions) who receive transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS), the evidence includes numerous randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, quality of life (QOL), and medication use. The overall strength of the evidence is weak. The best evidence exists for the treatment of chronic, intractable pain. Available evidence indicates that TENS can improve chronic intractable pain in some patients, and there is support for its use in clinical guidelines by specialty societies. To best direct TENS toward patients who will benefit, a short-term trial of TENS is appropriate, with continuation only in patients who show an initial improvement. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have acute pain (eg, surgical, musculoskeletal, labor, and mixed pain conditions) who receive TENS, the evidence includes RCTs and systematic reviews. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, QOL , and medication use. Overall, evidence for the use of TENS from high-quality trials remains inconclusive for most indications. A systematic review of TENS for acute and chronic pain found some evidence that TENS reduces pain intensity over and above that seen with placebo and other control groups in patients with acute pain, but small-sized trials contributed to imprecision in magnitude estimates. Systematic reviews have found that TENS may help reduce pain in patients with post-operative pain (post-caesarean and total knee arthroplasty), dysmenorrhea, and pain associated with labor and delivery. For low back pain, systematic reviews have found insufficient evidence to support or refute the use of TENS. Randomized controlled trials have reported mixed results in the efficacy of TENS across various acute pain conditions. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have essential tremor who receive TENS, the evidence includes a nonrandomized study. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, QOL, and medication use. Results from the nonrandomized study suggest that TENS therapy is effective and safe for patients with essential tremor. However, the trial was limited by its open-label, single-arm design, lack of defined standards for what constitutes a clinically meaningful improvement in stated endpoints, and exclusion of patients who exited the study early from the pre-specified primary and secondary endpoint analyses. Further studies comparing TENS to standard of care therapy for essential tremor are needed. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) who receive TENS, the evidence includes a RCT. Relevant outcomes are symptoms, functional outcomes, QOL, and medication use. Results of the RCT concluded that TENS is an effective and safe treatment option for pediatric patients with ADHD. However, the study included a small patient sample and was of short duration. Further studies comparing TENS to standard of care therapy for ADHD are needed. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements

Guidelines or position statements will be considered for inclusion in 'Supplemental Information" if they were issued by, or jointly by, a US professional society, an international society with US representation, or National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Priority will be given to guidelines that are informed by a systematic review, include strength of evidence ratings, and include a description of management of conflict of interest.

American Academy of Neurology

In 2010, the American Academy of Neurology published an evidence-based review of the efficacy of TENS for the treatment of pain in neurologic disorders.[30,] The Academy did not recommend TENS for the treatment of chronic low back pain due to lack of proven efficacy (level A, established evidence from 2 class I studies), and that TENS should be considered for the treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy (level B, probably effective, based on 2 class II studies).

American College of Physicians

In 2017, the American College of Physicians published guidelines on noninvasive therapies for acute and low back pain.[89,] No recommendations for TENS were made; the College concluded that "evidence was insufficient to determine the effectiveness" of TENS and that there was no long-range data.

American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists

In 2019 (reaffirmed in 2021), the ACOG guidelines on labor and delivery found that TENS may "help women cope with labor more than directly affect pain scores."[90,]

American Society of Anesthesiologists et al

In 2010, the practice guidelines from the American Society of Anesthesiologists and American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine recommended that TENS be used as part of a multimodal approach to management for patients with chronic back pain and may be used for other pain conditions (eg, neck and phantom limb pain).[91,]

National Cancer Institute

National Cancer Institute"s Physician Data Query identifies TENS as a potential other nonpharmacological modality for pain control for postthoracotomy pain syndrome.[92,]

National Comprehensive Cancer Network

National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines on adult cancer pain (v2.2022) indicate that nonpharmacologic interventions, including TENS, may be considered in conjunction with pharmacologic interventions as needed (category 2A).[93,]

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

In 2016, the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance on low back pain indicated that, despite the long history of use of TENS for back pain, the quality of research studies is poor. This guidance recommended against TENS as a treatment.[94,]

In 2014, the NICE guidance on osteoarthritis care and management in adults indicated that TENS be considered "as an adjunct to core treatments for pain relief."[95,]

In 2017, the NICE guidance on intrapartum care recommended against the use of TENS for "established labour."[96,]

North American Spine Society

In 2020, the North American Spine Society clinical guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of low back pain provided guidance on the effectiveness of different physical medicine and rehabilitation therapies.[97,] The guideline noted that there is conflicting evidence that TENS results in improvement in pain or function at short- to medium-term follow-up. The work group further recommended that randomized clinical trials with long-term follow-up are needed to evaluate the benefits of TENS compared to exercise/physical therapy or as adjunctive use to usual care for low back pain.

In 2011, the North American Spine Society clinical guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of cervical radiculopathy from degenerative disorders discussed the role of ancillary treatments such as bracing, traction, electrical stimulation, acupuncture, and TENS in the treatment of cervical radiculopathy from degenerative disorders.[98,] A consensus statement from the Society recommended that ozone injections, cervical halter traction, and combinations of medications, physical therapy, injections, and traction have been associated with improvements in patient-reported pain in uncontrolled case series. Such modalities may be considered, recognizing that no improvement relative to the natural history of cervical radiculopathy has been demonstrated.

Osteoarthritis Research Society International

In 2014, the guidelines from the Osteoarthritis Research Society International recommended that TENS was inappropriate for use in patients with multi-joint osteoarthritis; moreover, the guidelines suggested that TENS has an uncertain value for the treatment of knee-only osteoarthritis pain.[99,] Updated guidance (2019) on the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis does not address TENS nor include it in their patient-focused treatment recommendations.[100,]

U.S. Preventive Services Task Force Recommendations

Not applicable.

Medicare National Coverage

The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services currently have a number of national coverage decisions on TENS.[101,102,103,] The different coverage decisions address the use of TENS in the treatment of chronic intractable pain, noncoverage of TENS for chronic low back pain except to conduct research for said indication, and coverage for acute postoperative pain.

REFERENCES

1. Food and Drug Administration. De Novo Classification Request for Cefaly Device. 2012; http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/reviews/K122566.pdf. Accessed September 20, 2022.
2. Food and Drug Administration. Cefaly Dual Device: K173006. 2017; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf17/K173006.pdf. Accessed September 20, 2022.
3. Food and Drug Administration. Cefaly Acute Device: K171446. 2017; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf17/K171446.pdf. Accessed September 20, 2022.
4. Food and Drug Administration. HeadaTerm Device: K172450. 2018; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf17/K172450.pdf. Accessed September 20, 2022.
5. Food and Drug Administration. Allive Device: K192773. 2019; https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf19/K192773.pdf. Accessed September 20, 2022.
6. Cala Health news release. Cala Health receives FDA breakthrough device designation for Cala Trio therapy to treat action tremors in Parkinson's disease. https://calahealth.com/uploads/pd-breakthrough-status.pdf. Accessed September 20, 2022.
7. FDA news release. FDA permits marketing of first medical device for treatment of ADHD. April 19, 2019. https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-permits-marketing-first-medical-device-treatment-adhd. Accessed September 20, 2022.
8. Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Technology Evaluation Center (TEC). TENS or PENS in the treatment of chronic and postoperative pain. TEC Assessments. 1996;Volume 11, Tab 21. PMID
9. Bronfort G, Nilsson N, Haas M, et al. Non-invasive physical treatments for chronic/recurrent headache. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2004; (3): CD001878. PMID 15266458
10. Brosseau L, Judd MG, Marchand S, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis in the hand. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2003; (3): CD004377. PMID 12918009
11. Brosseau LU, Pelland LU, Casimiro LY, et al. Electrical stimulation for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2002; (2): CD003687. PMID 12076504
12. Cameron M, Lonergan E, Lee H. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for dementia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2003; (3): CD004032. PMID 12917999
13. Carroll D, Moore RA, McQuay HJ, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for chronic pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2001; (3): CD003222. PMID 11687055
14. Dowswell T, Bedwell C, Lavender T, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for pain relief in labour. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Apr 15 2009; (2): CD007214. PMID 19370680
15. Hurlow A, Bennett MI, Robb KA, et al. Transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation (TENS) for cancer pain in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Mar 14 2012; (3): CD006276. PMID 22419313
16. Khadilkar A, Milne S, Brosseau L, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Jul 20 2005; (3): CD003008. PMID 16034883
17. Khadilkar A, Odebiyi DO, Brosseau L, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) versus placebo for chronic low-back pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Oct 08 2008; (4): CD003008. PMID 18843638
18. Kroeling P, Gross A, Goldsmith CH, et al. Electrotherapy for neck pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Oct 07 2009; (4): CD004251. PMID 19821322
19. Martimbianco ALC, Porfirio GJ, Pacheco RL, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for chronic neck pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Dec 12 2019; 12: CD011927. PMID 31830313

20. Milne S, Welch V, Brosseau L, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for chronic low back pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2001; (2): CD003008. PMID 11406059
21. Mulvey MR, Bagnall AM, Johnson MI, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for phantom pain and stump pain following amputation in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. May 12 2010; (5): CD007264. PMID 20464749
22. Nnoaham KE, Kumbang J. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for chronic pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Jul 16 2008; (3): CD003222. PMID 18646088
23. Osiri M, Welch V, Brosseau L, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for knee osteoarthritis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2000; (4): CD002823. PMID 11034768
24. Price CI, Pandyan AD. Electrical stimulation for preventing and treating post-stroke shoulder pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2000; (4): CD001698. PMID 11034725
25. Proctor ML, Smith CA, Farquhar CM, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and acupuncture for primary dysmenorrhoea. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2002; (1): CD002123. PMID 11869624
26. Robb KA, Bennett MI, Johnson MI, et al. Transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation (TENS) for cancer pain in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Jul 16 2008; (3): CD006276. PMID 18646140
27. Rutjes AW, Nuesch E, Sterchi R, et al. Transcutaneous electrostimulation for osteoarthritis of the knee. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Oct 07 2009; (4): CD002823. PMID 19821296
28. Walsh DM, Howe TE, Johnson MI, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for acute pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Apr 15 2009; (2): CD006142. PMID 19370629
29. Zimpel SA, Torloni MR, Porfirio GJ, et al. Complementary and alternative therapies for post-caesarean pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Sep 01 2020; 9: CD011216. PMID 32871021
30. Dubinsky RM, Miyasaki J. Assessment: efficacy of transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation in the treatment of pain in neurologic disorders (an evidence-based review): report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Neurology. Jan 12 2010; 74(2): 173-6. PMID 20042705
31. Wu LC, Weng PW, Chen CH, et al. Literature Review and Meta-Analysis of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation in Treating Chronic Back Pain. Reg Anesth Pain Med. May 2018; 43(4): 425-433. PMID 29394211
32. Jalalvandi F, Ghasemi R, Mirzaei M, et al. Effects of back exercises versus transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation on relief of pain and disability in operating room nurses with chronic non-specific LBP: a randomized clinical trial. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. Mar 26 2022; 23(1): 291. PMID 35337314
33. Leemans L, Elma O, Nijs J, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation and heat to reduce pain in a chronic low back pain population: a randomized controlled clinical trial. Braz J Phys Ther. Jan-Feb 2021; 25(1): 86-96. PMID 32434666
34. Keskin EA, Onur O, Keskin HL, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation improves low back pain during pregnancy. Gynecol Obstet Invest. 2012; 74(1): 76-83. PMID 22722614
35. Jamison RN, Wan L, Edwards RR, et al. Outcome of a High-Frequency Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulator (hfTENS) Device for Low Back Pain: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Pain Pract. Jun 2019; 19(5): 466-475. PMID 30636101
36. Gossrau G, Wahner M, Kuschke M, et al. Microcurrent transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation in painful diabetic neuropathy: a randomized placebo-controlled study. Pain Med. Jun 2011; 12(6): 953-60. PMID 21627767
37. Dailey DL, Rakel BA, Vance CGT, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation reduces pain, fatigue and hyperalgesia while restoring central inhibition in primary fibromyalgia. Pain. Nov 2013; 154(11): 2554-2562. PMID 23900134
38. Lauretti GR, Chubaci EF, Mattos AL. Efficacy of the use of two simultaneously TENS devices for fibromyalgia pain. Rheumatol Int. Aug 2013; 33(8): 2117-22. PMID 23423539
39. Jamison RN, Edwards RR, Curran S, et al. Effects of Wearable Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation on Fibromyalgia: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Pain Res. 2021; 14: 2265-2282. PMID 34335055
40. Schneider MP, Tellenbach M, Mordasini L, et al. Refractory chronic pelvic pain syndrome in men: can transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation help?. BJU Int. Jul 2013; 112(2): E159-63. PMID 23433012
41. Reichenbach S, Juni P, Hincapie CA, et al. Effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) on knee pain and physical function in patients with symptomatic knee osteoarthritis: the ETRELKA randomized clinical trial. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. Mar 2022; 30(3): 426-435. PMID 34826572
42. Cherian JJ, Harrison PE, Benjamin SA, et al. Do the Effects of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation on Knee Osteoarthritis Pain and Function Last?. J Knee Surg. Aug 2016; 29(6): 497-501. PMID 26540652
43. Palmer S, Domaille M, Cramp F, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation as an adjunct to education and exercise for knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). Mar 2014; 66(3): 387-94. PMID 23983090
44. Vance CG, Rakel BA, Blodgett NP, et al. Effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation on pain, pain sensitivity, and function in people with knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. Phys Ther. Jul 2012; 92(7): 898-910. PMID 22466027
45. Chen WL, Hsu WC, Lin YJ, et al. Comparison of intra-articular hyaluronic acid injections with transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation for the management of knee osteoarthritis: a randomized controlled trial. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. Aug 2013; 94(8): 1482-9. PMID 23628378
46. Sawant A, Dadurka K, Overend T, et al. Systematic review of efficacy of TENS for management of central pain in people with multiple sclerosis. Mult Scler Relat Disord. May 2015; 4(3): 219-27. PMID 26008938
47. Amatya B, Young J, Khan F. Non-pharmacological interventions for chronic pain in multiple sclerosis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Dec 19 2018; 12: CD012622. PMID 30567012
48. Johnson MI, Mulvey MR, Bagnall AM. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for phantom pain and stump pain following amputation in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Aug 18 2015; 8: CD007264. PMID 26284511

49. Diaz-Pulido B, Perez-Martin Y, Pecos-Martin D, et al. Efficacy of Manual Therapy and Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation in Cervical Mobility and Endurance in Subacute and Chronic Neck Pain: A Randomized Clinical Trial. J Clin Med. Jul 23 2021; 10(15). PMID 34362029
50. Boldt I, Eriks-Hoogland I, Brinkhof MW, et al. Non-pharmacological interventions for chronic pain in people with spinal cord injury. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Nov 28 2014; (11): CD009177. PMID 25432061
51. Schoenen J, Vandersmissen B, Jeangette S, et al. Migraine prevention with a supraorbital transcutaneous stimulator: a randomized controlled trial. Neurology. Feb 19 2013; 80(8): 697-704. PMID 23390177
52. Magis D, Sava S, d'Elia TS, et al. Safety and patients' satisfaction of transcutaneous supraorbital neurostimulation (tSNS) with the Cefaly(R) device in headache treatment: a survey of 2,313 headache sufferers in the general population. J Headache Pain. Dec 01 2013; 14: 95. PMID 24289825
53. De Giorgi I, Castroflorio T, Sartoris B, et al. The use of conventional transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in chronic facial myalgia patients. Clin Oral Investig. Jan 2017; 21(1): 275-280. PMID 27000071
54. Ferreira AP, Costa DR, Oliveira AI, et al. Short-term transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation reduces pain and improves the masticatory muscle activity in temporomandibular disorder patients: a randomized controlled trial. J Appl Oral Sci. Mar-Apr 2017; 25(2): 112-120. PMID 28403351
55. Ahmed S, Plazier M, Ost J, et al. The effect of occipital nerve field stimulation on the descending pain pathway in patients with fibromyalgia: a water PET and EEG imaging study. BMC Neurol. Nov 12 2018; 18(1): 191. PMID 30419855
56. Takla MKN. Low-frequency high-intensity versus medium-frequency low-intensity combined therapy in the management of active myofascial trigger points: A randomized controlled trial. Physiother Res Int. Oct 2018; 23(4): e1737. PMID 30095858
57. Johnson MI, Paley CA, Jones G, et al. Efficacy and safety of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for acute and chronic pain in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 381 studies (the meta-TENS study). BMJ Open. Feb 10 2022; 12(2): e051073. PMID 35144946
58. Gibson W, Wand BM, Meads C, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for chronic pain - an overview of Cochrane Reviews. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Apr 03 2019; 4: CD011890. PMID 30941745
59. Lang T, Barker R, Steinlechner B, et al. TENS relieves acute posttraumatic hip pain during emergency transport. J Trauma. Jan 2007; 62(1): 184-8; discussion 188. PMID 17215752
60. Zhu Y, Feng Y, Peng L. Effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for pain control after total knee arthroplasty: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Rehabil Med. Nov 21 2017; 49(9): 700-704. PMID 28933513
61. Ramanathan D, Saleh A, Klika AK, et al. The Use of Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation After Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial. Surg Technol Int. Jul 25 2017; 30: 425-434. PMID 28537354
62. Parseliunas A, Paskauskas S, Kubiliute E, et al. Transcutaneous Electric Nerve Stimulation Reduces Acute Postoperative Pain and Analgesic Use After Open Inguinal Hernia Surgery: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. J Pain. May 2021; 22(5): 533-544. PMID 33309784
63. Oztas B, Iyigun E. The effects of two different electrical stimulation methods on the pain intensity of the patients who had undergone abdominal surgery with a midline incision: Randomized controlled clinical trial. Contemp Nurse. Apr 2019; 55(2-3): 122-138. PMID 31169066
64. Galli TT, Chiavegato LD, Liebano RE. Effects of TENS in living kidney donors submitted to open nephrectomy: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. Eur J Pain. Jan 2015; 19(1): 67-76. PMID 24831862
65. Tokuda M, Tabira K, Masuda T, et al. Effect of modulated-frequency and modulated-intensity transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation after abdominal surgery: a randomized controlled trial. Clin J Pain. Jul 2014; 30(7): 565-70. PMID 24901753
66. Silva MB, de Melo PR, de Oliveira NM, et al. Analgesic effect of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. Aug 2012; 91(8): 652-7. PMID 22311059
67. DeSantana JM, Walsh DM, Vance C, et al. Effectiveness of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation for treatment of hyperalgesia and pain. Curr Rheumatol Rep. Dec 2008; 10(6): 492-9. PMID 19007541
68. Forogh B, Aslanpour H, Fallah E, et al. Adding high-frequency transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation to the first phase of post anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction rehabilitation does not improve pain and function in young male athletes more than exercise alone: a randomized single-blind clinical trial. Disabil Rehabil. Mar 2019; 41(5): 514-522. PMID 29117738
69. Tucker DL, Rockett M, Hasan M, et al. Does transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) alleviate the pain experienced during bone marrow sampling in addition to standard techniques? A randomised, double-blinded, controlled trial. J Clin Pathol. Jun 2015; 68(6): 479-83. PMID 25759407
70. Binny J, Joshua Wong NL, Garga S, et al. Transcutaneous electric nerve stimulation (TENS) for acute low back pain: systematic review. Scand J Pain. Apr 24 2019; 19(2): 225-233. PMID 30849052
71. Koukoulithras I, Stamouli A, Kolokotsios S, et al. The Effectiveness of Non-Pharmaceutical Interventions Upon Pregnancy-Related Low Back Pain: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Cureus. Jan 30 2021; 13(1): e13011. PMID 33728108
72. Arik MI, Kiloatar H, Aslan B, et al. The effect of TENS for pain relief in women with primary dysmenorrhea: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Explore (NY). Jan-Feb 2022; 18(1): 108-113. PMID 32917532
73. Guy M, Foucher C, Juhel C, et al. Transcutaneous electrical neurostimulation relieves primary dysmenorrhea: A randomized, double-blind clinical study versus placebo. Prog Urol. Jul 2022; 32(7): 487-497. PMID 35249825
74. Platon B, Thorn SE, Mannheimer C, et al. Effects of high-frequency, high-intensity transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation versus intravenous opioids for pain relief after hysteroscopy: a randomized controlled study. Obstet Gynecol Sci. Sep 2020; 63(5): 660-669. PMID 32717773
75. Lison JF, Amer-Cuenca JJ, Piquer-Marti S, et al. Transcutaneous Nerve Stimulation for Pain Relief During Office Hysteroscopy: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Obstet Gynecol. Feb 2017; 129(2): 363-370. PMID 28079781

76. Deussen AR, Ashwood P, Martis R, et al. Relief of pain due to uterine cramping/involution after birth. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Oct 20 2020; 10: CD004908. PMID 33078388
77. Thuvarakan K, Zimmermann H, Mikkelsen MK, et al. Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation As A Pain-Relieving Approach in Labor Pain: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Neuromodulation. Aug 2020; 23(6): 732-746. PMID 32691942
78. Kurata NB, Ghatnekar RJ, Mercer E, et al. Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation for Post-Cesarean Birth Pain Control: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Obstet Gynecol. Aug 01 2022; 140(2): 174-180. PMID 35852266
79. Kayman-Kose S, Arioz DT, Toktas H, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) for pain control after vaginal delivery and cesarean section. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. Oct 2014; 27(15): 1572-5. PMID 24283391
80. Baez Suarez A, Martin Castillo E, Garcia Andujar J, et al. Evaluation of the effectiveness of transcutaneous nerve stimulation during labor in breech presentation: a case series. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med. Jan 2021; 34(1): 24-30. PMID 30654675
81. Njogu A, Qin S, Chen Y, et al. The effects of transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation during the first stage of labor: a randomized controlled trial. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. Feb 24 2021; 21(1): 164. PMID 33627077
82. Goldman AR, Porsch L, Hintermeister A, et al. Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation to Reduce Pain With Medication Abortion: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Obstet Gynecol. Jan 01 2021; 137(1): 100-107. PMID 33278292
83. Butera KA, George SZ, Borsa PA, et al. Prolonged Reduction in Shoulder Strength after Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation Treatment of Exercise-Induced Acute Muscle Pain. Pain Pract. Nov 2018; 18(8): 954-968. PMID 29505689
84. Hokenek NM, Erdogan MO, Hokenek UD, et al. Treatment of migraine attacks by transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation in emergency department: A randomize controlled trial. Am J Emerg Med. Jan 2021; 39: 80-85. PMID 31983598
85. Domingues FS, Gayoso MV, Sikandar S, et al. Analgesic efficacy of a portable, disposable, and self-applied transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation device during migraine attacks: A real-life randomized controlled trial. Pain Pract. Nov 2021; 21(8): 850-858. PMID 34013542
86. Chesterton LS, Lewis AM, Sim J, et al. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation as adjunct to primary care management for tennis elbow: pragmatic randomised controlled trial (TATE trial). BMJ. Sep 02 2013; 347: f5160. PMID 23999980
87. Isaacson SH, Peckham E, Tse W, et al. Prospective Home-use Study on Non-invasive Neuromodulation Therapy for Essential Tremor. Tremor Other Hyperkinet Mov (N Y). Aug 14 2020; 10: 29. PMID 32864188
88. McGough JJ, Sturm A, Cowen J, et al. Double-Blind, Sham-Controlled, Pilot Study of Trigeminal Nerve Stimulation for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. Apr 2019; 58(4): 403-411.e3. PMID 30768393
89. Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, McLean RM, et al. Noninvasive Treatments for Acute, Subacute, and Chronic Low Back Pain: A Clinical Practice Guideline From the American College of Physicians. Ann Intern Med. Apr 04 2017; 166(7): 514-530. PMID 28192789
90. American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) Committee Opinion Number 766 on Approaches to Limit Intervention During Labor and Birth. February 2019. https://www.acog.org/Clinical-Guidance-and-Publications/Committee-Opinions/Committee-on-Obstetric-Practice/Approaches-to-Limit-Intervention-During-Labor-and-Birth. Accessed September 20, 2022.
91. Benzon HT, Connis RT, De Leon-Casasola OA, et al. Practice guidelines for chronic pain management: an updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Chronic Pain Management and the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. Anesthesiology. Apr 2010; 112(4): 810-33. PMID 20124882
92. National Cancer Institute. Pain (PDQ)-Health Professional Version. 2022. https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/treatment/side-effects/pain/pain-hp-pdq#_3. Accessed September 20, 2022.
93. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Adult Cancer Pain. Version 2.2022. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/pain.pdf. Accessed September 20, 2022.
94. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Low back pain and sciatica in over 16s: assessment and management [NG59]. 2016 (last updated 2020); https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/NG59. Accessed September 19, 2022.
95. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Osteoarthritis: care and management [CG177]. 2014. Updated December 2020. https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg177. Accessed September 20, 2022.
96. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Intrapartum care for healthy women and babies [CG190]. 2014 (last updated 2017); https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg190. Accessed September 18, 2022.
97. North American Spine Society. Diagnosis and Treatment of Low Back Pain. 2020. https://www.spine.org/Portals/0/assets/downloads/ResearchClinicalCare/Guidelines/LowBackPain.pdf. Accessed September 20, 2022.
98. Bono CM, Ghiselli G, Gilbert TJ, et al. An evidence-based clinical guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of cervical radiculopathy from degenerative disorders. Spine J. Jan 2011; 11(1): 64-72. PMID 21168100
99. McAlindon TE, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. Mar 2014; 22(3): 363-88. PMID 24462672
100. Bannuru RR, Osani MC, Vaysbrot EE, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis. Osteoarthritis Cartilage. Nov 2019; 27(11): 1578-1589. PMID 31278997
101. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid. National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulators (TENS) (280.13). 2012; https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/search/document-id-search-results.aspx?DocID=280.13&bc=gAAAAAAAAAAA&. Accessed September 19, 2022.
102. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid. Decision Memo for Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation for Chronic Low Back Pain (160.27). 2012; https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/nca-details.aspx?NCAId=256&DocID=CAG-00429N&NCDId=63&ncdver=2&bc=gAAAABAAAAAA&d. Accessed September 18, 2022.
103. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid. National Coverage Determination (NCD) for Assessing Patient's Suitability for Electrical Nerve Stimulation Therapy (160.7.1). 2006; https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=63&ncdver=2&DocID=160.7.1&bc=gAAAABAAAAAA&. Accessed September 16, 2022.

POLICY HISTORY - THIS POLICY WAS APPROVED BY THE FEP® PHARMACY AND MEDICAL POLICY COMMITTEE ACCORDING TO THE HISTORY BELOW:

Date	Action	Description
September 2012	New policy	
December 2013	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review, references 22, 24, 26, 32 35, 36 & 54 added. Policy statements are unchanged.
June 2014	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review; References 1, 26-28, 3135, 45-48, 50-52 added; last policy statement revised to specifically list use of TENS in prevention of migraine headaches as not medically necessary.
June 2015	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review. References 33, 43, and 45-46 added, and references 55-56 updated; policy statements unchanged.
June 2016	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 12, 2015 references 33-34, 50, and 52 added. Policy statements unchanged.
March 2018	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through September 12, 2017; references 33, 39-40, 49, and 55 added. Policy statements unchanged except "not medically necessary€ corrected to "investigational€ due to 510(k) status.
March 2019	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through September 18, 2018; references 25, 27-28, 51, and 63 added; references 72-74 updated. Policy statements unchanged.
March 2020	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through September 7, 2019, references added. Policy statements unchanged.
March 2021	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through October 7, 2020, references added. Policy statements unchanged.
March 2022	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through November 10, 2021, references added. Investigational policy statements for the use of specific TENS devices for essential tremor and ADHD indications added to policy.
March 2023	Replace policy	Policy updated with literature review through September 19, 2022, references added. Policy statements unchanged.